REVIEW OF THE STATE OF TECHNOLOGY AND R&D OPPORTUNITIES IN THE MINERAL PROCESSING INDUSTRY

Prepared by the

This document is an unedited interim report prepared primarily for discussion and internal reporting purposes. It does not represent a final expression of the opinion of the Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology (CANMET)

Ce document est un rapport provisoire non-révisé et rédigé principalement aux fins de discussion et de documentation interne. Il ne représente nulle-ment l'expression définitive de l'opinion du Centre canadien de la technologie des minéraux et de l'énergie (CANMET)

Mineral Sciences Laboratories and
The Coal Research Laboratories

227 Ap

MICROMEDIA
JUL 21 1984

CANMET
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources
Ottawa
December, 1982

DECLASSIFIED
DATE 25/4/84
AUTHORIZED BY

Background Paper - Mineral Processing - 1982

L. Sirois

R.J.C. MacDonald

September 1982

Project: MRP 390040
Preparation of Mineral Technology Policy Paper
For Submission to Cabinet

MINERALS RESEARCH PROGRAM
MINERAL SCIENCES LABORATORIES
DIVISION REPORT MRP/MSL 82-9 (CF)

FOREWORD

The authors gratefully achnowledge the cooperation and effort of CANMET scientists from Mineral Sciences Laboratory, Coal Research Laboratories and Office of Technology Transfer. The material presented within this report is organized on a commodity basis of six groupings. The groupings are listed below with the team members responsible for each group.

1. Base Metals - Precious Metals
   L. Sirois, W. Craigen, M. Cristovici, A. Stemerowicz and A. Gilmore
2. Iron Ore - Steelmaking (Ferroalloys)
   W. Cameron, M. Campbell and J. Wilson
3. Industrial Minerals
   R. Collings, G. Riley, M. Malhotra, K. Bell and P. Andrews
4. Light and Reactive Metals
   C. Hamer, J. Skeaff
5. Uranium
   G. Ritcey
6. Coal
   T.D. Brown, L. Sirois, H. Hamza, J. Picard and M. Mikhail

INTRODUCTION

MINERAL PROCESSING BACKGROUND PAPERS

by

L. Sirois * and R.J.C. MacDonald**

INTRODUCTION

Canadian mineral production in 1980 was $32 400 million, equal to 11.2% of Canada's Gross National Product (1). Altogether the mineral industry in Canada provided jobs for an estimated 645 000 persons directly and indirectly or about 6.1% of the Canadian work force (1). These figures are exclusive of the petroleum and national gas sectors which provide employment for approximately 33 600 persons. Table 1 gives an overview of all Canadian mineral production including the dollar value and Canada's position relative to other countries where possible.

---

* L. Sirois, Head, Mineral Dressing Section, Mineral Sciences Laboratories, CANMET, Energy Mines and Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

** R.J.C. MacDonald, Technical Advisor, Office of Technology Transfer, CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

TABLE 1: Canada's Mineral Production (1980)(3)

	Unit Measure (000)	Quantity	$ Value (000)	Rank (World)
Metals				
Aluminum	t	1068	N/A	4
Antimony	kg	79	7059	
Bismuth	kg	149	1015	
Cadmium	kg	1033	7568	
Calcium	kg	531	3422	
Cobalt	kg	2118	134 748	6
Copper	kg	716 363	1 859 637	4
Gold	g	50 620	1 165 417	3
Iron Ore	t	49 068	1 700 915	6
Iron (Remelt)	t	N/A	118 990	
Lead	kg	251 627	273 766	4
Magnesium	kg	9252	27 822	
Molybdenum	kg	11 889	299 323	3
Nickel	kg	184 802	1 497 418	1
Niobium (Columbium)	kg	2463	15 302	
Platinum Group	g	12 776	159 088	3
Selenium	kg	279	8779	
Silver	kg	1070	828 805	4
Tantalum	kg	115	21 810	
Tellurium	kg	15	754	
Tin	kg	243	5090	
Tungsten	kg	4007	N/A	
Uranium	kg	6739	702 038	
Zinc	kg	883 697	858 190	1
Total Metals			**9 696 956**	

TABLE I: Con't

	Unit Measure (000)	Quantity	$ Value (000)	Rank (World)
Nonmetals				
Arsenious Oxide	kg	N/A	N/A	
Asbestos	t	1323	618 493	2
Barite	t		4380	
Diatomite	t	N/A	N/A	
Gemstones	kg		1632	
Gypsum	t	7336	39 539	
Magnesium Dolomite Brucite	t		10 404	
Mica	kg	N/A	N/A	
Nepheline Syenite	t	600	15 936	
Peat	t	32	345	
Potash	t	7201	1 020 705	2
Pyrite and Pyrrhotite	t	32	345	
Quartz	t	2252	29 184	
Salt	t	7423	122 775	
Soapstone Talc Pyrophylite	t	92	3877	
Sodium Sulphate	t	481	30 468	
Sulphur (in Smelter Gas)	t	895	28 913	
Sulphur (elemental)	t	7656	444 095	
Titanium Dioxide etc.	t	N/A	117 060	
Total Nonmetals			**2 532 361**	
Structural Materials				
Clay Products	t	N/A	108 453	
Cement	t	10 274	581 372	
Lime	t	2554	129 232	
Sand and Gravel	t	276 452	508 364	
Stone	t	103 366	341 156	
Total Structural Materials			**1 668 577**	

TABLE I: Con't

	Unit Measure (000)	Quantity	$ Value (000)	Rank (World)
Fuels				
Coal	t	36 688	932 000	
Natural Gas	000 m^3	87 108	6 148 826	
Natural Gas Byproducts	m^3	19 108	1 825 148	
Petroleum Crude	m^3	83 477	9 037 890	
Total Fuels			**17 943 864**	

Mineral processing is to be looked upon primarily as a manufacturing process for which the raw material is the ore, and the finished product is that derivative thereof which best satisfies the demand for the primary consumer. Three main branches of technology are involved in the production of an intermediate or finished product: ore dressing, which comprises the methods of separation of solid ores by means which do not effect substantial chemical change; hydrometallurgy which utilizes chemical reaction for separation of the constituents of solid ores or products; and pyrometallurgy which is involved in winning and refining metals from ores and concentrates, where heat is used.

Mineral processing is a complex series of events which are based on a number of unit operations chosen to suit the ore being treated and the product desired. Table 2 illustrates a number of the principal unit operations. Each of these can again be subdivided to fit requirements of ore and product. A choice of operations from preparation, beneficiation/concentration and extraction will produce a flowsheet of treatment from ore to metal.

TABLE 2: Principal unit operations involved in mineral processing

Ore/material Preparation	Ore Concentration Beneficiation	Metal Extraction
Crushing	Heavy Media Separation	Roasting
Grinding	Gravity Separation	Calcining
Classification	Electrical Separation	Sintering
Sizing	Magnetic Separation	Smelting
Sorting	Flotation	Converting
Waste Disposal	Flocculation	Refining
	Dewatering	Vapormetallurgy
	Drying	Leaching
	Pelletizing	Cementation
	Tailings Disposal	Solvent Extraction
		Ion Exchange
		Electrowinning
		Liquid/Solid Separation
		Slags, Gases and
		Tailings Disposal

In the following background papers, the material is organized on a commodity basis of six main groupings (2). These groupings are:

a) Base Metals - Precious Metals
b) Iron and Steel
c) Industrial Minerals
d) Light and Reactive Metals
e) Uranium (Thorium and the Rare Earths)
f) Coal

Each of the above six groups are discussed according to the following format:

1. General Description of the Industry
2. Current Technology
3. Problems
4. Current R&D
5. Gaps and Challenges

The specific gaps and challenges emanating from the analysis of the six commodity groupings are numerous and complex and affect more than one grouping at a time. In general, the gaps and challenges as discussed under each of the major groupings, are related to the following ten issues:

1. The demand for improved working conditions in the mineral industry to reduce the risk of accident and health problems to workers;
2. The demand that mineral-based activities reduce to a minimum potential damage to the natural environment;
3. The expanding mineral development in the less developed countries that will make it increasingly difficult for Canadian operators to remain competitive in world markets;
4. A continued demand for self-sufficiency in mineral products such as chromium, manganese and other metals not now produced in Canada.
5. The rapid development of the so-called high technology industries such as computers, space, communications and new energy sources that will increase the demand for more exotic mineral-based materials;
6. The demand to conserve mineral resources through increased recovery of primary products and byproducts and by recycling;
7. The increasing cost of energy will force the industry to seek methods to reduce the energy component of various operations;
8. The current movement away from oil to electricity as an energy source will have increasing impact on the mineral industry in a number of ways;
9. The continuing reluctance of the Canadian mineral industry to diversify significantly into such areas as the development and sale of machinery and other technology;
10. The increasing reluctance of people to work in remote areas where Canadian mineral activities usually take place;

An appendix at the end of each commodity grouping lists a directory of federally supported research in universities 1971-1972 - 1980/1981. It was produced by the Information Exchange Centre of CISTI.

REFERENCES

1. "Mining In Canada - Facts and Figures", Mining Association of Canada, 1981.
2. Gow, W.A. "Mineral Processing Background Paper"; Memorandum, July 8, 1982.
3. "Canadian Mineral Survey - 1981"; Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, Can. Min. Journal, Feb. 1982.

BASE METALS

BASE METALS - PRECIOUS METALS

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

Canada's base metals and precious metals industries are mature and very important to the economy of the country. Of all the mines operating in Canada as of January, 1981(1), 36%, or 100 mines, produced precious metals or sulphide ores of one kind or another. Table 1 illustrates the scope and magnitude of this portion of the mineral industry.

TABLE 1: 1980 Canadian production of sulphides, precious metals and byproducts(2).

Metal	Unit Measure	Quantity (000)	$ Value (millions)	World Ranking
Copper	t	716.4	1 859.6	4
Lead	t	251.6	273.8	4
Zinc	t	883.7	850.2	1
Nickel	t	184.8	1 497.4	1
Molybdenum	t	11.9	299.3	3
Cobalt	kg	211.8	134.7	6
Platinum group	g	12 776.0	159.1	3
Gold	g	50 620.0	1 165.4	3
Silver	g	1 070.0	828.8	4

The metal complexity of the sulphide ores varies from the single species low grade porphyry copper, to multicomponent nickel-copper, to fine grained complex zinc-lead-copper, with most of them including important byproducts. Of the precious metals, 100% of the platinum, 80% of the silver and 30% of the gold are recovered as byproducts from sulphides. The mines vary in size from 100 t/d to 45,000 t/d and are distributed throughout Canada.

About one half of Canada's nonferrous mine production leaves the country in concentrates and relatively unprocessed forms(3). The balance is treated in Canada in seven copper smelters and three refineries, four nickel smelters and three refineries, two lead smelters and refineries and four zinc smelter/refineries. In the recovery of the byproducts and elimination of

impurities such as arsenic and mercury in an environmentally acceptable manner, the major problem facing Canada's smelters in the years to come is undoubtedly the control of SO_2 emissions and the effect that this will have on Canada's competitive position in world markets.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Beneficiation

Beneficiation is the first step in recovering the contained metals from sulphide ores. Its purpose is to produce a concentrate for the extraction processes by reducing the content of gangue and other unmarketable material to the extent possible. Typically, it consists of two major separate operations: first, comminution which includes crushing and grinding, and second, a concentrating process which, with base metal sulphide ores, usually takes the form of flotation. Fig. 1 describes a generalized flowsheet for the concentration of base metal sulphide ores (4).

The mineralogy of the ores determines the exact technology of the milling method to be used (5). Of particular importance is grain size and degree of intergrowth of valuable minerals with each other and the gangue minerals. The very coarse run of mine material is first reduced by jaw or gyratory crushers and then fed to rod and ball mills to attain the liberation size required by the ore. Depending on the competency of the ore, single stage autogeneous milling may replace crushing and grinding. The comminution stage is usually the most expensive unit operation in any ore treatment as it is very energy intensive. The finer a material has to be ground the higher the cost involved. No new major technological breakthroughs in comminution have surfaced to greatly reduce the costs involved except by making the machines stronger and larger.

All the various types of Canadian base metal sulphide ores are concentrated by flotation. Flotation is a unit operation which through the use of specialized chemicals absorbing on the surface of selected minerals will permit them to become attached to air bubbles generated in flotation cells and float to the top in the form of a froth and thus separate themselves from the non-float material which is removed from the bottom of the cells usually as rejects or tailings. Flotation is governed by the science of surface chemistry which to this day has not unlocked all the secrets affecting this unit operation.



Fig. 1. Generalized Flowsheet For Concentration Of Base Metal Sulphide Ores

For copper-zinc and lead-zinc ores, sequential selective flotation is employed with a copper or lead concentrate floated first. For copper-lead-zinc ores, the most efficient route is to float copper and lead minerals together in a bulk concentrate and then to produce separate concentrates by selectively floating one mineral from the other by the use of selective depressants. Molybdenum is also recovered by flotation while for other types of ores containing tin, the tin is recovered by gravity concentration from the flotation tailings.

For nickel-copper ores, the usual process is to float a nickel-copper concentrate and produce a pyrrhotite concentrate by magnetic separation ahead of the nickel-copper flotation or by flotation after the pentlandite-chalcopyrite collecting stage. The nickel-copper concentrate can be delivered as such to the smelter or subjected to selective flotation where the pentlandite it depressed and the chalcopyrite is floated. In this case the two products go to separate metallurgical treatment. Nickel in the copper-concentrate is not usually recovered in conventional copper smelting. The pyrrhotite product is reground and again subjected to a nickel-copper scalping flotation while depressing the pyrrhotite. The pyrrhotite can be cleaned further for iron recovery or stockpiled within the tailings disposal area. Cobalt if present can be recovered by gravity concentration or flotation. In Canada, cobalt is associated with the copper-nickel ores where it is recovered in the copper-nickel concentrates and separated during metallurgical treatments.

South Africa is the largest producer of platinum where it occurs in platinum metals-bearing ores and is recovered by gravity and flotation methods before shipment to smelter and refinery. In Canada, platinum is recovered as a byproduct from the nickel-copper ores. The platinum bearing residues from copper and nickel refining are shipped to England or Norway for further processing.

Native silver and silver minerals are readily recovered by flotation provided they are free and of a floatable size. Generally, the greatest proportion of silver is recovered in the lead and copper concentrates with a smaller amount in the zinc concentrate (6). On straight silver ores a combination of gravity concentration and flotation is employed to concentrate the silver.

Cyanidation is universally employed to recover gold from gold ores. All of the ore may be cyanided or if gold is associated with sulphides a gold-bearing sulphide concentrate is floated and cyanided -- in most cases it is necessary to roast the flotation concentrates prior to cyanidation. After solids-liquid separation which consists of thickening, filtering and clarification, the gold is precipitated from the solution with zinc dust. The gold precipitate is filtered out by filter presses and periodically collected and smelted with fluxes to produce an impure gold bar as the final product. An alternate technology for gold recovery is the carbon-in-pulp cyanidation method which is gaining favour. The main advantage of this method is that it eliminates the sometimes difficult solids-liquid separation step. Also for gold ores containing arsenic minerals it eliminates the possibility of toxic arsene formation. In this method carbon is added to the agitators to absorb the gold as soon as it dissolves in the weak cyanide solution. Gold is then stripped from the loaded carbon by elution with a hot caustic cyanide solution. The gold is extracted from the eluate by electrowinning on a steel wool cathode while the stripped carbon is recycled for re-use. Carbon-in-pulp cyanidation is to be employed at the Detour Lake mill which is under construction. In recent years a number of cyanide heap leaching operations have started in the southwest USA. This method has proven to be economically feasible for gold recovery from low-grade ores provided that the cyanide solution can percolate through the ore.

Extraction and Refining

The better the grade of concentrate delivered to the smelter, the more efficiently and profitably can the metal be extracted. High grade concentrates usually involve trade-offs with the amount of useable minerals rejected to the tailings. In non-complex base metal ores, the problem is not particularly serious. Acceptable concentrates can be produced with recoveries in excess of 90%. But with multicomponent fine-grained ores, the difficulties in segragating several desirable minerals from each other, as well as separating them from the waste, are such that, to produce concentrates leading to profitable smelting, overall recoveries can be less than 70%. The metal sulphide concentrates used as feed to the different smelters usually vary in grade fom 10% to 15% for nickel, 20% to 30% for copper, 50% to 55% for zinc and 30% to 60% for lead. Fig. 2 gives an example

of a simplified smelting/refining process for a nickel concentrate to demonstrate the complexity of base metal extraction.



Fig. 2. Simplified Smelting/Refining Proceses for Nickel Concentrates

The technologies employed in Canada's base metal smelting and refining industry vary widely from the very old to the very new. They not only differ from commodity to commodity, but in many cases the technologies can vary substantially for the same commodity. For simplification therefore the industry has been segmented on a major commodity basis for identifying and evaluating the technologies presently in use. Appendix II will give a more detailed description of current technology of extraction and refining which is summarized below.

i) Copper smelting and refining (Pyrometallurgical processes)

Canada has seven copper smelters with a total rated production capacity of about 635 000 t/a of copper, 94% of which is in the form of impure blister copper. One company produces Ni/Cu matte which is further converted and refined in the company's overseas plant. In addition to these smelters, Canada has three electrolytic copper refineries capable of refining all of the blister copper produced into high purity cathode and wire bar copper.

There are no fewer than six copper smelting technologies presently in commercial use throughout the world, five of which are employed in Canada.

Reverb smelters

Reverb smelters use air and carbonaceous fuel to produce a low grade (40-45%) copper matte and a discard iron bearing slag. The matte is then converted to blister copper by air blowing in batch converters to remove additional sulphur and slag off most of the remaining iron. The converter slag, which contains appreciable copper, is recycled to the smelter to minimize copper losses.

Flash smelters

The Inco flash smelter(8,9) was first commercialized in 1952, and the present version has been in operation in Sudbury since 1968. A second Inco flash smelter is presently being built in the USA. In the Inco smelter, concentrate is injected with pure oxygen in a "flash chamber" to produce a 45% to 55% copper matte, a discard slag and a 70% to 80% SO_2 stream which is recovered and sold as liquid SO_2. Because the SO_2 concentrations are so high, gas handling and cleaning costs and SO_2 recovery costs are minimal. The process is also exceptionally energy efficient, requiring essentially no carbonaceous fuel, except for predrying the concentrate.

The Outokumpu flash smelter(8,10) is different in design from Inco's and uses preheated air or oxygen enriched air, rather than pure oxygen. Off-gases therefore contain lower concentration of SO_2 and sulfuric acid is produced as a byproduct rather than liquid SO_2. In both the Inco and Outokumpu processes, conversion of the matte to blister copper is still carried out batch-wise in conventional Pierce Smith converters. In both processes, the converter slag, which is high in copper, is usually recycled to the smelter.

Top-blown rotary converter (TBRC)

Afton Mines in British Columbia is the site of the first commercial application of a TBRC (8,11,12) for copper extraction, in which both smelting and converting are carried out batch-wise in a single vessel. Pure oxygen, introduced through a water cooled lance, is used for smelting and converting. Oil or natural gas is introduced with the oxygen on an as required basis, with coal or coke also being used for slag cleaning and refining of the blister copper. The ability to adjust the TBRC rotational speed and lance angle facilitates high utilization of fuel and oxygen. The

process therefore is reasonably energy efficient. However the completely batch nature of the process makes SO_2 recovery difficult and expensive.

Continuous smelters/converters

The Noranda continuous reactor (8,14,15) was originally designed to produce blister copper directly, using air or oxygen enriched air and any carbonaceous fuel to provide the required additional energy. Today, for various reasons, some related to the control of impurity levels in the blister copper, the reactor operation is controlled to produce 75% copper matte using tuyere injected oxygen enriched air (up to 35%). At this level of enrichment, carbonaceous fuel requirements are low, and a continuous high strength (more than 20%) SO_2 gas is produced which is readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. Reactor slag is too high in copper for discard. Slag therefore is treated by slow cooling, milling and flotation to recover the copper for recycle to the process. Overall copper recovery in the Noranda process is exceptionally high. The high grade copper matte is converted to blister copper in conventional Pierce Smith converters, and the converter slag is recycled to the reactor.

The Mitsubishi continuous process(8,17,18) was commercialized on a relatively small scale in Japan in 1974. A larger commercial plant went into operation in Canada at Kidd Creek Mines in 1981. The process utilizes oxygen enriched air and is the only truly continuous commercial pyrometallurgical process for copper. Essentially all of the sulphur in the concentrate is released in a continuous stream of high concentration (up to 30%) SO_2 gas which is recovered in an acid plant. The process consists of three separate furnaces; a smelting furnace, an electric slag cleaning furnace and a converting furnace. Reaction gases are introduced through lances above the molten bath surface. Matte and slag are transported in heated launders between the furnaces. Matte grade is 65%. Slag is cleaned in the slag cleaning furnace prior to discard, while matte is converted to blister copper in the converting furnace. Converter slag is recycled to the smelting furnace. Gas streams from the smelting and converting furnace are combined for SO_2 recovery in the acid plant. Solid, liquid or gaseous carbonaceous fuels can be used in the process. Fuel consumption is lowest and throughput is highest at high oxygen enrichments.

The KHD cyclone smelting continuous converting process(8,19,20) was developed in Germany by Kloeckner-Humboldt-Deutz. The process utilizes two

furnaces, a smelting/slag cleaning furnace and a converting/refining furnace. Smelting is carried out in a "cyclone smelter" using 100% oxygen. Smelting rates are very high and the cyclone smelter has unusual flexibility with respect to throughput rates and matte grade, which can be varied from 70% to 110% of rated capacity and 46% to 80% Cu respectively.

Copper refining

Regardless of the smelting/converting process used, blister copper is usually further treated in anode furnaces to reduce sulfur and oxygen levels prior to casting into anodes for electrorefining. In the refinery, well established electrorefining techniques, employing sulphuric acid/copper sulfate electrolyte, are used to produce high purity cathode copper, and to recover precious metals and other byproducts in the form of insoluble slimes, which are further refined to high purity products. Impurity build-up in the electrolyte is controlled by means of a bleed stream which is treated for copper and often nickel recovery prior to discard to waste.

ii) Hydrometallurgical processes for copper

There have been a great many hydrometallurgical processes proposed and investigated for copper extraction from concentrates over the past 25 years. However very few have been carried to a pilot plant scale and only one is presently operating on a commercial scale. This is the Clear process (22), developed and commercialized in the USA by Duval Corporation with a production capacity of 40 000 t/a. The process consists of a cupric/ferric chloride atmospheric leach to dissolve the copper and iron from the chalcopyrite concentrate, while converting sulfides primarily to insoluble elemental sulphur. Copper is recovered by electrowinning from cuprous/ferrous chloride media in diaphragm cells, where the leachant is regenerated in the anolyte. Electrowinning from cuprous/ferrous solution in this manner minimizes power requirements. Copper is recovered from the cell as coarse crystalline metallic particles. Iron is rejected as a discard hydroxide/jarosite by pressure oxidation with oxygen. Most impurities in the concentrate are also removed in the iron residues.

iii) Nickel smelting and refining (Pyrometallurgical Processes)

Canada has 3 nickel smelters and one hydrometallurgical nickel extraction plant, with a total rated capacity of about 265 000 t/a of nickel,

approximately 80% of which is further processed in Canada to refined nickel products. One company produces Ni/Cu matte which is refined in the company's overseas plant.

Reverb Smelters

Inco's Copper Cliff Nickel Smelter (28,29) was built in 1930. Nickel concentrates containing about 10% Ni and 2% Cu are partially roasted with air in multi-hearth roasters and smelted in reverberatory furnaces to produce a 20% to 25% nickel/copper matte and a discard slag. The matte is further oxidized to remove iron and sulphur in conventional Pierce Smith converters. Converter slag is recycled to the reverb furnaces. Converter matte containing about 50% nickel, 26% copper and 0.5% iron is cast into moulds for slow cooling and separation by grinding, flotation and magnetic separation into a nickel sulfide concentrate (70% Ni, 1% Cu), a copper sulfide concentrate (73% Cu, 5% Ni) and a metallics concentrate (65% Ni, 20% Cu) which also contains most of the precious and platinum group metals in the original concentrate. The copper concentrate is converted to blister copper in the copper smelter, and the copper converter slag, containing residual nickel is recycled to the nickel smelter. The nickel concentrate is roasted with oxygen enriched air in fluid bed roasters to produce nickel oxide for direct sale, or for further refining by a chlorination hydrogen reduction process to remove copper and produce a 95% nickel alloy. Nickel oxide is also sent to the Port Colborne refinery for reduction melting with coke, casting and electrorefining to produce high purity nickel, and copper and cobalt byproducts.

The metallics concentrate is processed in the Copper Cliff nickel refinery (built in 1973) to produce high purity nickel pellets and powder and ferro-nickel powder (29,30). The process involves melting and controlled desulfurizing with oxygen in a TBRC. The molten metallic product is water granulated and fed to a high pressure moderate temperature carbonylation process, where nickel preferentially reacts with CO to form nickel carbonyl which is liquified and further purified in a distillation column to remove iron. The nickel carbonyl is then thermally decomposed to form high purity nickel pellets and CO for recycle to the process. Iron in the metallic concentrate which has reacted to form iron carbonyl is recovered as ferro-nickel powder (29% Ni). Copper, residual nickel, cobalt and precious and platinum group metals are recovered from the carbonyl plant residues.

The residues are oxygen pressure leached with spent electrolyte to selectively dissolve nickel and cobalt which are precipitated as carbonates for recovery and further refining to nickel and cobalt products. Copper is also recovered by oxygen pressure leaching, followed by purification and electrowinning to produce high purity cathode copper. Precious and platinum group metals, which remain in the leach residues are sent to Port Colborne and overseas for concentration and recovery.

Electric Furnace Smelters

Inco's Thompson Nickel Smelter and Refinery (29,31,32) was built in 1961. The smelter utilizes fluid bed roasters to partially roast the concentrate which is then smelted in an electric furnace to produce a 25% nickel matte and a discard slag. The matte is further oxidized in conventional batch converters to remove iron and sulphur and produce a 75% to 80% nickel/copper matte which is cast into anodes for electrorefining. Converter slag is recycled to the electric furnace. In the refinery a chloride/sulfate electrolyte at controlled pH is used to produce high purity nickel cathodes from the impure matte anodes. The anode residues are treated for the recovery of precious metals and elemental sulphur, which is produced in the electroysis reaction. The undissolved portion of the anode is recycled, partly to the smelter, and partly to the refining process to maintain the required nickel levels in the electrolyte.

The Falconbridge Nickel Smelter (33) was completed in 1978 and is essentially a modern version of Inco's Thompson smelter; utilizing fluid bed roasters, electric furnaces and converters to produce a 75% Ni/Cu matte. The matte is shipped to Falconbridge's refinery in Norway, where it is treated to produce refined nickel, copper and cobalt products, and recover precious metal by-products. Two different refining processes are used. In the Falconbridge Matte Leach Process (34), the matte is leached in strong HCl solution to selectively dissolve the nickel. The solution is then purified by solvent extraction techniques to remove iron and recover cobalt and any copper that is leached. High purity nickel chloride is crystallized from solution and converted to nickel oxide by oxy-hydrolysis in a fluid bed reactor. High purity nickel granules are produced by hydrogen reduction of the NiO in a rotary kiln. Most of the copper and all of the precious and platinum group metals remain in the leach residue. Copper is recovered by roasting and sulfuric acid leaching, followed by conventional purification

and electrowining to produce cathode copper. Precious and platium group metals are recovered from the acid leach residues.

The second refining process (36,37) utilizes two-stage controlled aqueous chlorine leaching to selectively leach the nickel and cobalt from the nickel/copper matte, while converting sulfides to elemental sulphur. Cobalt is separated by a solvent extraction technique and the nickel chloride solution is then purified to remove iron and lead. Nickel and cobalt are both recovered by electrowinning, and chlorine produced in the electrolysis cell is recycled to the process. All of the copper and precious metals, along with residual nickel remain in the leach residue. The copper is recovered by roasting, selective sulphuric acid leaching and electrowinning. Residual nickel and copper are removed by chlorine leaching and recycled to the process. Precious metals are treated in the PM refinery for separation and recovery.

Flash Smelters

Outokumpu flash smelters (29,38) are used for processing nickel concentrates in Norway, Australia and Botswana, and a new plant is being built in the USSR. In the process, pre-dried concentrate and flux are flash smelted with preheated air or oxygen enriched air to produce a 45% to 60% Ni/Cu matte, and a 10% or greater SO_2 gas which is readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. The matte is further oxidized in conventional converters to produce a final matte grade of 75% to 85% which is suitable for refining. Smelter and converter slag are cleaned in electric furnaces with the addition of coke and sulfidizing agent (usually pyrite) to recover nickel/copper values prior to discard.

A sulphuric acid leach process followed by purification and electrowinning is used to recover refined nickel, copper and cobalt from the matte. Precious metals are recovered from the leach residues.

Inco's flash smelter (39) has also been tested on an experimental basis on nickel concentrates. As with copper, the Inco flash smelter using 100% oxygen can operate autogenously and produce a 25% to 45% Ni/Cu matte and a discard slag. Up to 60% of the sulphur in the concentrate can be removed in a continuous very high concentration (greater than 70%) SO_2 gas, which can be sold as liquid SO_2 or readily converted to acid. The very high SO_2 concentration and high oxygen utilization can also facilitate conversion to

elemental sulphur (40), if this option should prove to be desirable due to sulphuric acid marketing problems.

(iv) Hydrometallurgical Processes for Nickel

Sherritt Gordon Ammonia Leach Process (29,42)

Although many hydrometallurgical proceses have been investigated for extracting nickel from sulfide concentrates only the Sherritt Gordon process is presently in commercial production, with plants operating in Canada since 1954 and in Australia since 1971 (43). In the Sherritt process nickel sulfide concentrate is pressure leached with air in an ammoniacal solution at 0.8MPa (115 psig) and 93°C (200°F) in a continuous two-stage process to dissolve nickel, copper and cobalt, convert iron to an insoluble hydrated oxide and convert sulfide sulphur to ammonium sulfate. Byproduct copper is recovered as copper sulfide from the leach solution by boiling to remove ammonia, followed by H_2S precipitation. The nickel bearing solution is then further oxidized at much higher temperatures and pressures to convert thiosalts to sulfates. Nickel is recovered as a metallic powder by pressure hydrogen reduction in batch autoclaves. Under the conditions used cobalt remains in solution and is recovered later, along with residual nickel by H_2S precipitation. The metal free solution is then evaporated to crystallize ammonium sulfate which is sold as fertilizer. The economics of the process are greatly affected by the marketability of this fertilizer byproduct.

Sumitomo Oxygen Pressure Leach Process (45)

The Sumitomo process went into commercial production in 1978 on relatively small scale (2500 t/y Ni) to recover nickel, cobalt and copper from a 25% Ni matte produced from a laterite ore by a sulfidization technique. The matte although relatively low in nickel and copper compared to high grade converter mattes, contains nearly 12% cobalt and less than 2% iron. The feed to the process therefore contains nearly four times as much Ni/Co/Cu values as normal nickel concentrates from sulfide ores and the iron content is less than 10% of that normally associated with conventional nickel concentrates. The process therefore is not directly applicable to conventional high iron nickel sulfide concentrates.

Inco Process for Pyrrhotite (28,29)

Inco's plant for producing nickel, iron ore and sulphuric acid from pyrrhotite was built in 1955. Pyrrhotite contains less than 1% nickel, so that nickel production is only a minor part, (less than 1% by weight) of the

total plant output. In the process fluid bed roasters are used to convert most of the sulfides to SO_2 which is recovered in an acid plant. The roaster calcine, which is primarily hematite with nickel present as both ferrite and sulfide is selectively reduced with natural gas in rotary kilns to convert the nickel to a leachable form and the hematite to magnetite. The product is then countercurrently leached in ammonia, with CO_2 addition from the reduction kiln off gases. Magnetic separators are used for solid/liquid separation and the leached magnetite is pelletized and sintered on a travelling grate to produce 67% Fe pellets.

The nickel bearing solution is treated to recover copper and cobalt, and ammonia is boiled off for recycle to the process. Nickel is precipitated as carbonate and decomposed to produce a reactive nickel oxide. Nickel recovery in the process is about 80%.

(v) Zinc Smelting and Refining

Canada has four zinc smelter/refineries with a total production capacity of about 665 000 t/y of zinc. All four use the roast-leach-electrowin (RLE) process and three have acid plants for SO_2 emission control. One producer (HBMS) does not have an acid plant, primarily because SO_2 gases are too dilute, but also because of H_2SO_4 marketing problems resulting from their isolated location.

Two producers (Noranda and Kidd Creek) have jarosite circuits for iron removal, while Cominco and HBMS recycle zinc plant leach residues to the lead smelter and copper smelter respectively to recover residual zinc, plus lead, copper and precious metals originally present in the zinc concentrates.

One producer (Cominco) also has the first completely hydrometallurgical zinc plant in the world, with a capacity of 30 000 t/y Zn (53). A second hydrometallurgical zinc plant, using the same technology is presently being built in Ontario for Kidd Creek Mines (54).

Processes for Conventional Zinc Concentrates

Roast-Leach Electrowin (RLE) Process (55,56,57,58)

The RLE process is used extensively throughout the world. By far the major part of present day world zinc production comes from this process. The process uses autogeneous fluid bed roasting at high temperatures to convert all of the sulphur in the concentrates to SO_2, which is removed as a continuous high strength (10%) gas readily amenable to recovery in an acid

plant. The roaster calcine is leached in sulphuric acid (spent electrolyte), usually in two stages to ensure recovery of zinc from ferrite which is formed during the roasting step. The second stage leach is carried out at high temperature and high acid concentration to decompose the ferrite. Iron and minor impurities are removed by jarosite precipitation (59,60,61) and the leach liquor is recycled to the first stage dilute acid leach. First stage leach solution is pH adjusted for final iron removal and further purified by cementation techniques to recover cadmium and copper. High purity cathode zinc is produced by electrolysis of zinc sulfate solution. In some cases leach residues are processed in lead or copper smelters to recover lead, copper and silver.

Sheritt Gordon Pressure Sulphuric Acid (PSA) Process (62, 63)

The PSA process utilizes oxygen pressure leaching in sulphuric acid (spent electrolyte) at 150°C to solubilize zinc and convert metal sulfides to insoluble elemental sulphur. Pyrite is not significantly attacked in the process. The leach liquors are pH adjusted to remove iron, arsenic and other imurities, and are further purified by conventional cementation techniques to recover cadmium and copper. High purity cathode zinc is produced by electrolysis of zinc sulfate solution. Spent electrolyte is treated to control chloride levels prior to recycling to leaching. Elemental sulphur can be recovered from the leach residues. In some cases the residues can be further processed in lead or copper smelters to recover lead, copper and silver values.

Processes for Bulk Concentrates

The Imperial Smelting Process (ISP) (64)

The ISP is the only process presently in commercial use which can treat bulk concentrates containing primarily zinc and lead, with minor amounts of copper and precious metals. There are thirteen ISP plants throughout the world. One was built in Canada, but did not prove to be viable on bulk concentrates and was eventually converted to a lead smelter. All of the ISP plants operate by blending a specific ratio of relatively high grade zinc and lead concentrates to provide the major portion of the feed to the process. Significant amounts of "true" bulk concentrate can then be blended in and recovered in the process.

The blended concentrates are mixed with recycle sinter and roasted with air in an up-draft sintering machine to convert most of the sulfides to SO_2 and produce a zinc/lead/iron oxide sinter, which is crushed, screened and fed to a blast furnace with sufficient coke and flux to produce a molten lead bullion and molten discard slag which are both tapped off at the bottom of the furnace. Zinc leaves the furnace as a vapour and is condensed in a splash condenser by dissolving in a recycle stream of hot molten lead. The molten lead is cooled in launders causing zinc to crystallize out of solution and float on the surface of the molten lead. The zinc is skimmed off, while the cooled lead is recycled to the condenser. Most of the zinc produced is sold as "prime western" grade containing up to 1.5% lead. High grade zinc can however be produced by a distillation process in reflux columns. Zinc and cadmium are distilled off and recovered separately, while molten lead is tapped from the column discharge. Most ISP plants have a zinc refining facility to meet the demand for high grade zinc.

SO_2 from the sintering furnace is recovered in an acid plant and the lead bullion from the blast furnace is usually electrolytically refined to produce a high purity product and recover precious metals from the anode slimes. Copper in the lead bullion is recovered as a lead/copper dross.

Emerging Technologies for Bulk Concentrates

The N.B. Research and Productivity Council's (RPC) sulfation roast leach (SRL) process (65) and Sherritt Gordon's modified pressure sulphuric acid (MPSA) process (66) are two very promising Canadian developed technologies for bulk concentrates. Both produce electrolytic zinc and high grade lead/silver and copper byproducts suitable for further processing in lead and copper smelters. Both can operate on a wide range of bulk concentrate grades, metal ratios and impurity levels and have no significant emission control problems.

(vi) Lead Smelting and Refining (Pyrometallurgical Processes)

Canada has two lead smelter/refineries with a total production capacity of aobut 245 000 t/y of lead. Both smelters use the sinter/blast furnace process and both recover SO_2 from the sintering furnace in an acid plant. One plant (Cominco) uses electrolytic refining to produce a high purity lead product and recover valuable byproducts. The other (BMS)

utilizes thermal refining techniques, and also recovers valuable byproducts. Cominco also have a slag fuming plant to recover zinc from the lead furnace slag.

Sinter/Blast Furnace Process (71)

Nearly all of the world's present primary lead production is produced using the sinter blast furnace process. In the process the concentrates, mixed with recycle sinter, are roasted in a travelling grate sintering furnace to produce a lead/iron oxide sinter, which is crushed, screened and fed to a blast furnace. Sufficient coke and flux are added to produce a molten lead bullion and a molten discard slag. SO_2 from the sintering furnace is recovered in an acid plant. The lead bullion is refined either electrolytically or thermally to produce high purity lead and precious metal and other byproducts.

Emerging Pyrometallurgical Processes for Lead

A number of direct smelting processes (72) have been porposed for lead concentrates over the past few years and at least three processes (73,74,75) have been developed on a demonstration plant scale. All employ the concept of oxygen smelting, followed by slag cleaning to produce a lead bullion and discard slag. The processes therefore make good use of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate and are designed to minimize materials handling, off-gas volumes and fugitive emissions. Two of the processes, (Outokumpu (74) and KIVCET (73)) use flash furnaces for smelting and electric furnaces for slag cleaning. Both use pure oxygen and produce very high SO_2 concentrations in the smelter gases. In the Outokumpu process the flash smelter and electric furnace are separated, while in the KIVCET process they are combined into a single unit. Both processes use coal or coke for reduction in the electric furnace.

In the QSL Process (75) smelting and slag cleaning are carried out in a single "Noranda type" reactor. The concentrate is first pelletized for feeding to the reactor, pure oxygen is injected through tuyeres in the smelting zone, and pulverized coal is injected in the slag cleaning zone. Combined off-gases from the reacter contain 15% SO_2.

All these processes are capable of obtaining high recoveries of lead (98%) and associated byproducts, and the KIVCET process also claims to

recover zinc. Process gases are readily amenable to SO_2 recovery in an acid plant, and the SO_2 concentration in the Outokumpu and KIVCET processes is high enough to also produce liquid SO_2.

(vii) Hydrometallurgical Processes for Lead

One of the most attractive hydrometallurgical processes for lead is the ferric chloride leach process (76,77,78). Although there are a number of variations to the process it essentially consists of selective ferric chloride leaching to solubilize lead as chloride and convert sulfides to elemental sulphur. The lead chloride is then selectively crystallized and lead is recovered by aqueous or fused salt electrolysis in an electrolytic cell. Chlorine from the electrolysis is recycled to regenerate ferric chloride.

The process has been demonstrated on a small scale (79, 80) but considerably more R&D is required to develop a commercial aqueous or fused salt cell, and to develop viable methods for controlling impurities and recovering byproducts.

3. PROBLEMS

Health and Safety

Workplace health and safety has become a major industrial concern, particularly as knowledge of occupational diseases grow (3). The direct cost of accidents and industrial diseases is increasing as compensation payments are raised to reflect broader definition of compensable diseases and wage increases.

Accident rates vary by type of mine and by occupation within mines (3). Open pit are safer than underground mines, with the fatality rate in the former only about one third that of the latter. The fatality rate in underground silver mines is nine times that in underground nickel mines. Mills and smelters provide a safer working environment, followed by shops and surface facilities. Accident rates vary among companies. While size appears to be a factor -- larger companies presumably can afford safer working environments and better safety programs -- other factors may contribute.

Although efficient dust collecting systems are in use in most crushing plants, these have not been applied to dust control in concentrators. The two main sources of dust in the atmosphere are at

transfer and feed chutes of ore feed conveyors and from mineralized bubbles bursting and releasing dust and chemical vapours above the flotation cells. Handling of deadly and corrosive chemicals also presents dangers. The use of surface active agents to suppress dust before the ore is beneficiated may affect the chemical properties of the minerals and cause grave problems. Workers in smelters and refineries are also exposed to dust, fumes, high temperatures, corrosive liquids and labour intensive tasks which create major health and safety problems, a great number of which still require to be resolved.

Environment

Like most industrial activities, mineral processing can disrupt the environment (3). After the resource is mined, processing operations can affect water and air quality by dispersing contaminants in tailings or into the atmosphere. Acid rain, caused by the emission of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides into the air, is one of the more serious environmental problems today.

While its ecological effects and health hazards are not yet fully understood, acid rain has undoubtedly contributed to the acidification of lakes and streams, is thought to be adversely affecting some agricultural crops and forest growth, and has the potential to contaminate drinking water systems. Unless the loading of acid rain into the environment can be arrested and reversed, this environmental degradation will continue.

Generally speaking, sulphur dioxide is responsible for about two thirds of the acidity in precipitation, (in Canada, reverse in USA), the other one third is from nitrogen oxides. Sulphur dioxide is at present the prime target for control measures because it is the major source of acidity and also the more tractable of the two sources (3).

The problem is particularly acute in eastern Canada and the northeastern United States. The major sources of sulphur dioxide in eastern Canada are nonferrous metal smelters, which produce more than 40 percent of the region's total emissions. In the United States, the major sources are fuel-fired electrical generating stations, which account for well over 60 percent of all emissions.

It should be noted that sulphur dioxide emissions at some eastern Canadian nonferrous operations have been significantly reduced over the past decade. Emissions of the Inco smelter in Copper Cliff were reduced from 5500 tonnes per day in 1969 to 2270 tonnes per day in 1980. This smelter now successfully contains about 70 percent of the sulphur in its feed. The Falconbridge nickel smelter, which emitted about 940 tonnes per day in 1969, now emits about 420 tonnes per day. Falconbridge's emission control system contains about 80 percent of the sulphur in the ore processed. Other smelters control well over 85 percent of the sulhpur in their ore -- zinc smelters at Vallyfield, Quebec, and Timmins, Ontario, a lead smelter at Belledune, New Brunswick, and the copper smelter near Kamloops, British Columbia.

More than 50 percent of the sulphur dioxide that falls in eastern Canada comes from the United States, while Canada's contribution to total American depositions is only about 10 percent. To all intents and purposes, Canada is a recipient of transboundary pollution -- it is subjected, in absolute and relative terms, to more pollution than it inflicts upon others.

This is a bilateral problem that can be solved only through cooperative action with the United States. In 1980, a Memorandum of Intent was signed by the two governments setting up the framework for negotiation of a transboundary air pollution agreement, the "core" of which would be a mutual obligation to reduce pollutant emissions. This obligation is consistent with the responsibility of states under international law to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the environment of other states.

The direct costs of reducing sulphur dioxide emissions are expected to be high. The Department of the Environment (DOE) estimates that a capital investment of $620 million (in 1980$) would be required by eastern Canadian nonferrous smelters to reduce emissions by 57%. The cost of an 80% reduction is estimated to be $1000 million. The net increase in annualized operating and capital costs for the 57% reduction is estimated at $120 to $150 million (in 1980$) -- equivalent to $0.33 to $0.44/kg of nickel and $0.11 to $0.18/kg of copper. The annualized operating and capital costs for an 80% reduction are estimated to exceed $200 million.

DOE estimates that, at capacity operations, an 80% reduction in sulphur dioxide emissions from the Inco copper-nickel smelter at Sudbury would require an investment of $450 million (in 1980$), with a net increase in annualized capital and operating costs of approximately $100 million. This is equivalent to about $0.44 to $0.66/kg of nickel.

In addition to sulphur dioxide emissions, mineral processing activities can affect the quality of the air, soil and water in a number of other ways. In terms of air quality, metallurgical plants can emit heavy metals, inorganic and organic compounds, and many gaseous emissions other than sulphur dioxide. Technology offers the promise of nearly pollution-free processes, but until these are fully developed and commercially proven, governments will, as in the case of sulphur dioxide emissions, face trade-offs between industrial activity and environmental quality.

The degradation of the terrestrial environment is also of concern. But due to the remote location of many mining and milling operations, the visual impact on the landscape -- aesthetic pollution -- is minimal.

The most significant aspect of soil pollution is potential groundwater contamination from tailings disposal and effluent impoundments containing cyanide, heavy metals and thiosalts, with possible seepage and migration to underlying aquifers, or surface runoff that could pollute major drainage basins. In the fragile northern environment, with slow natural recovery rates due to low temperatures for much of the year, this is an important consideration.

Tailings disposal in lakes can destroy fish habitat, contribute to eutrophication and generally upset the local ecology. In addition, oceans are susceptible to pollution from tailings disposal or other runoffs arising from insufficient water circulation and movement in coastal areas.

Competitiveness

The competitive position of the Canadian mineral industry on international markets will, to a large measure, determine its performance in the years to come and its contribution to the Canadian economy. Canada's competitive position is based on relative costs. The lower the cost associated with a mineral deposit in Canada, the more price-competitive it is relative to other deposits in the world (3).

The most important factors affecting competitive position are ore grade and type. Copper can serve as an example to illustrate the importance and trend in ore grades and types.

The average ore grades for all known Canadian reserves of copper fell significantly from 1960 to 1976, compared to ore grades in other countries. This is not an altogether unwelcome development, since it implies that technological advances in the 1960s and 1970s greatly reduced the economic cut-off grade for large-scale, open-pit porphyry copper deposits which, in turn, had the effect of significantly expanding Canada's copper reserves. The fact remains, however, that ore grades in Canada are considerably lower than the world average. The average ore grade for producing mines in Canada is 0.7%, among the lowest in the world and significantly below the world average of 1.03%. In other words, the grade of copper ore currently being mined in Canada is, on average, below that of all major competitors except the Philippines, Papua New Guinea and Mexico. However, it should be noted that despite the high ore grades in Zaire and Zambia, mining and processing costs in those countries are high due to difficult geological structures and the metallurgical characteristics of the ore deposits (3).

The disadvantage of mining lower average copper ore grades can be offset by the valuable coproducts and byproducts contained in many Canadian deposits.

There are essentially two kinds of copper orebodies in Canada -- porphyry deposits found in British Columbia, which are mined by open-pit methods; and sulphide deposits found mostly in Manitoba, Ontario and Quebec, which are mined by underground methods. The sulphide deposits contain significant quantities of gold, nickel, cobalt and zinc, and to a lesser extent, silver, the platinum group metals and lead. For some mining operations, the total value of coproducts and byproducts exceeds the value of the copper produced. The same however, is not true for the porphyry copper deposits in British Columbia. These contain few coproducts and byproducts, though some deposits do contain signficant quantities of molybdenum and gold and, to a lesser extent, silver. Because of this lower coproduction of metals, the porphyry deposits are more vulnerable to competitive pressures than the massive sulphide deposits.

Over the 1980s and 1990s, the average ore grade at Canadian mines is expected to fall more than those of our major competitors, thus increasing Canada's disadvantage on the basis of reserve availability and ore grade.

Remoteness of mine location will continue to grow in importance as a factor determining competitiveness in the mineral industry. This is particulary true in Candaa, where many major new mineral developments are likely to occur far from existing infrastructure and be subject to severe climatic conditions. In addition to higher infrastructure costs, unfavourable geographic location can mean longer development and preproduction phases because of the long distances from supply sources. It is sufficient to emphasize here that infrastructure for a major mineral development in Canada's North can represent well over half the total capital costs of the project.

In contrast, some relatively unexplored or more richly endowed Third World countries might be able to develop deposits in future that will be more favourably located. This could happen in Chile, Peru and the Philippines. It is therefore likely that Canada will experience a comparative disadvantage in the years to come, as more and more of its developments take place in remote areas.

Labour is the single most important component of operating costs for Canadian mineral producers. While wages and salaries in the mineral sector have generally reflected wage developments in the rest of the economy, they have consistently exceeded the average for the total industrial sector, with the gap widening in recent years. A gap which is also widening between Canada and its major third world competitors.

Since the dramatic increase in world oil prices, energy costs have assumed great importance for all Canadian industries, including the mineral sector. Energy, as a component of cost, has made and will continue to make a positive contribution to the competitive position of the Canadian mineral industry during the 1980s. There may be periods when oil prices rise faster in Canada than elsewhere, but over the decade, oil prices will not exceed world prices, given that the Canadian price for "old" oil will not exceed 75% of international prices. Moreover, the parity price of natural gas should decline. Furthermore, Canada is well endowed with other sources of energy,

such as hydro power and coal, and has an excellent nuclear energy potential. The competitive edge on energy costs, however, will vary among mineral commodities -- the more energy-intensive the commodity, the greater will be its competitive edge.

Canada seems to have an edge in terms of exploration, mining and processing technology compared to many other mineral producers. But to remain competitive, Canada will have to stay in the forefront of technology development, and new technology must be continuously adopted by industry.

The major factors affecting the competitive position of the Canadian mineral industry, relative to competitors, are summarized below, together with their impact on the industry during the 1970s and their expected impact through the 1980s (3):

	Impact on competitiveness	
Factors	1970s	1980s
Ore grade	Slightly negative	Slightly negative
Type of ore (e.g. co-products and byproducts)	Strongly positive	Strongly positive
Location	Slightly negative	Moderately negative
Capital costs	Neutral	Slightly negative
Labour costs	Strongly negative but with improving trend	Strongly negative
Energy costs	Strongly positive since 1973	Moderately positive
Exchange rate	Strongly positive since 1976	Strongly positive in the absence of appreciation
Taxation	Slightly negative	Slightly negative
Technology	Strongly positive	Strongly positive
Environment	Neutral	Slightly negative
Tariffs and trade barriers	Strongly negative for processed minerals	Strongly negative for processed minerals

Self Sufficiency

Several recent events such as the formation of OPEC, political tensions in Africa and Southeast Asia, the rapid reversal of the U.S.S.R. from an exporter to an importer of some important raw materials, have

heightened Western anxieties regarding the security of mineral and metal supplies.

Tables 2 and 3 outline the materials for which Canada has no apparent import reliance and for which the import reliance is due to their processing abroad (3).

TABLE 2: Materials for which Canada has no apparent import reliance

Lightweight aggregates: clays, shale and slag		Potash
Asbestos	Gold	Pyrophyllite
Barite	Gypsum and anhydrite	Salt
Bismuth	Indium	Selenium
Cadmium	Iron ore	Silicon: metal
Calcium	Iron and steel	Silver
Cement	Lead	Sodium sulphate
Clays: common	Lime	Sulphur
Cobalt	Magnesium	Tellurium
Copper	Nepheline syenite	Titanium dioxide (ilmenite)
Gallium	Nickel	Zinc

Sources: Resource Strategy and Economic Analysis Branch, EMR, based on numerous sources.

TABLE 3: Important reliance entirely due to the processing of domestic minerals abroad (content of exported ore and concentrates greater than content of imported material)

Antimony	Platinum group	Tantalum
Columbium	Silica: artificial abrasives	Tungsten
Molybdenum		

Sources: Resource Strategy and Economic Analysis Branch, EMR, based on numerous sources.

As far the base metals and precious metals are concerned, the major problem which exists is in the further processing of some of our mineral resources.

Further processing of mineral resources (3) has been a continuing objective of Canadian public policy, because it provides employment, increases value added, promotes regional development and increases tax revenues. Most provincial mines acts require processing of resources before export but contain grounds for waiving the requirement. In 1974 the federal government amended the Export and Import Permits Act to support upgrading of resources. In addition, the extent of further processing in Canada is a criterion used by FIRA in assessing mineral development proposals.

Despite these measures and the policy that underlies them, about one half of Canada's nonferrous mine production still leaves the country in relatively unprocessed form. And although Canada's position at the Tokyo round of General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) negotiations was based on the same policy, efforts to reduce foreign tariff barriers to more processed products have not been entirely successful (3).

On the surface, it would appear that Canada's best opportunity for further processing relates to the high volume of nonferrous mineral concentrate that is exported. If all this concentrate were smelted and refined in Canada, approximately 3300 jobs would be created in five new world-scale plants costing approximately $1800 million (in 1980$). The location of some of this activity in areas of high unemployment, such as northeastern New Brunswick and the Yukon, would also further the federal government's regional employment objectives.

Aside from direct employment benefits, additional processing capacity would offer marketing and cost advantages to industry. The products of a west-coast copper smelter and refinery could be marketed throughout the world, providing greater options for those British Columbia mines that are locked in to long-term contracts with specific Japanese smelters. A domestic smelter could treat mineral concentrates at lower concentrations of metal than foreign smelters will buy; savings in milling costs and additional revenues from lower cut-off grades would be possible with cooperation between mining and smelting operations. Moreover, Canadian smelters would obtain additional revenue from byproducts for which overseas smelters may not provide full credit when buying concentrate.

World smelting and refining overcapacity is expected to last to the mid-1980s, after which substantial new and replacement capacity for primary nonferrous metal processing probably will be required. Whether a significant portion of this new capacity will be built here depends on the extent to which Canada can remove or reduce the constraints that have prevented further processing in the past.

The 100 000 tonne Brunswick zinc smelter will come into production in the mid-1980s, to coincide with the expected upturn in market conditions for zinc metal. This expected improvement, combined with a favourable tax regime, should be sufficient to ensure that the smelter will be financially viable.

High Technology

Concentrates are usually produced to specifications which have been determined to meet smelter needs or usages which meet general needs and most beneficiation techniques have been geared to satisfy those standards. In today's technology, pure materials are commonly required which are produced chemically. Most of those are industrial minerals although on the metallic side, iron ore superconcentrates have been used.

Because of the high prices available for those chemically produced materials of higher purity, because of some advances in the beneficiation state-of-the-art, it would be advantageous to the mineral industry to be able to produce superconcentrates from ores which could be amenable to further treatment. The type of products which could be looked at first are pure magnesia, high purity silica for fiber optics, etc.

Automatic process control of the individual unit operation in mineral processing and of a plant as a whole is still in a phase of initial development and growth and in that aspect is less advanced than some other application areas of automatic control engineering and science. The basis of this apparent technological lag lies in the peculiar difficulties which mineral treatment processes present to the process control engineer in comparison to the "cleaner" process encountered, for example in aerospace systems and even in most chemical process plants.

The causes of the relatively slow development of instrumentation are the variables to measure and the harsh environment. Accurate and maintenance free operation at reasonable cost for such simple but essential measurements as rock mass, flow and density of a slurry in a pipe are relatively recent

achievements. At the other end of the range of difficulty of measurements are on-stream analysis and on-stream measurement of size distribution of slurry streams. The necessary measurement principles were well established in the laboratory but took many years of development effort to translate these into plant instruments.

Other measurements which are necessary for modelling and control are not available at all in the plant at present. As an example, in froth flotation, the concentration of specific ions and certain flotation reagents, reagent adsorption, flotation rate constants, froth drainage rates, etc. are important state variables. While prototype instruments are being thought of, their practical application lies many years in the future. No well developed body of theory exists which would generate realisable optimal solutions to control problems in this difficult environment within a short span of time.

Conservation of resources

Conservation of resources is a complex problem which must be looked at from many aspects.

The increasing world demand for materials has resulted in resources being extracted, processed and consumed as products at ever increasing rates. In addition, waste products, generated from mining and processing of these resources and from discarding of the products after their useful life has ended, have created serious environmental problems. It has become increasingly clear from both an environmental quality and resource conservation point of view, that this open-ended materials cycle is no longer acceptable, and must be replaced with a closed cycle incorporating recovery, reprocess and reuse of materials. The recycling of metals can also result in a considerable reduction in energy requirements, since the energy expended to extract metals from their ores does not have to be expended again. This energy saving can range from 80% for iron to about 98% for magnesium.

Planning conservation policy must take into account the three ways whereby more effective use of mineral and metal resources can be achieved: substitution, recycling and utilization of byproduct wastes. Canada is considered to have abundant resources of several important metals including copper, nickel, and lead. For these metals conservation practices probably should be directed towards the development of improved recycling techniques. In other instances where our raw material resources are limited, attention

should be directed towards the development of improved recycling techniques. In other instances where our raw material resources are limited, attention should be turned to finding suitable substitutes for some uses, thus conserving the resource in question for top priority applications. Substitution is of particular importance where the use pattern is such that recycling is impossible or difficult.

More specifically, there is not always the kind of cooperation required between mineral dressing plants and smelters which would permit a more optimal recovery of metal values from certain ores because smelters require certain grades which can only be obtained at lower recoveries.

Because mineral dressing plants and smelters can operate at a profit with existing processes and technology, there is a lack of incentive to try and optimize and develop new technology which would permit greater recoveries of resources.

Fines and slimes have always created problems in processing. In many cases, it is easier to reject this fine fraction to tailings than to apply or develop processes to recuperate it.

Many byproducts are now rejected to tailings and slags because no technology exists to beneficiate them or the producer of a certain commodity has no expertise or is not interested in the byproducts present in the ore or concentrate treated.

And finally valuable resources are lost to the country by shipping byproducts contained in concentrates to foreign buyers who offer very little or no payment for these byproducts while they recover them for their own use.

Concervation of energy

The mineral industry is energy intensive, expecially at the smelting and refining stages. As a proportion of direct operating costs, energy makes up to 30 to 35% for zinc and 20 to 25% for copper.

Until quite recently, the popular belief was that Canada had abundant readily available energy resources. Recent studies conducted by the National Energy Board and EMR have done much to dispel this belief and suggest that Canada could be faced with an energy supply problem in the future. For this reason it is thus imperative to encourage still greater conservation by implementing extensive programs to eliminate energy waste and to improve the technology of energy use in the base metal industry.

Canada is fortunate with regard to electric power in that it has substantial reserves of uranium and coal for thermal electric generation. In addition, there are still a few undeveloped hydroelectric power sites. This situation should encourage industry to switch form oil to electricity whenever possible.

Diversification

Although Canada is the world's third largest mineral producer, domestic production of mining equipment and machinery is disproportionately small, providing less than half the mining industry's requirements and leaving the rest of this large market to foreign manufacturers (3). Canadian imports of mining machinery and equipment in 1980 totalled about $640 million, 53% of all such purchases by the mining industry.

The growing need for energy efficiency that will rapidly make the existing technology and equipment obsolete, stricter environmental regulations, increased automation and controls should offer wide opportunities for diversification.

Labour

Industry faces a recurring shortage of skilled people. If several large-scale projects get underway at the same time, e.g. petroleum, pipe-lining and mining, a greater demand for many of the same skills will be created (1). And this will happen as the once-large youth group of the population ages, as early retirement becomes more the norm, and as competition becomes more effective in other countries for skilled tradespeople.

The mining industry is vulnerable in such periods of shortages, partly because of the remoteness of location and partly because of some misunderstanding of the industry in the public mind.

This developing trend to critical labour shortage could impair the industry's goals for growth and expansion, and hence have an adverse impact on the Canadian economy generally.

There is a singular difficulty of attracting and retaining employees in remote areas (particularly in the Territories). Mining companies traditionally (and governments too in their employee programs) have offered extra benefits -- such as subsidized housing, vacation travel allowances.

Although the government is currently re-examining the tax status of these allowances, the industry feels strongly that some exceptions must be made to exempt them from tax, in order to make it easier for employers to attract and retain staff at northern and remote locations.

4. CURRENT R&D

Many performers around the world have been involved in the last few decades in R&D on hydrometallurgy and pyrometallurgy of base metals and to a much lesser extent on the mineral dressing of these ores. The present downturn in the mineral industry has cancelled a number of Canadian and other projects and shelved many more. Because of this, it is impossible to come up with accurate figures, but the following will give a short list of some of the past, present and most likely future performers and some of the recent past and ongoing work.

Performers

CANMET, through its Mineral Sciences Laboratories, expended about 150 PY in the last few years, on a large project on complex, fine-grained sulfide ores.

Centre de recherches minérales in Quebec City, with a staff of 130 people, has been involved in some confidential base metal R&D.

Noranda Mines Ltd., through its Research Centre in Pointe Claire, Qué, (approximately 100 people) and at its many mines, smelters and refineries, carry out many short and long range base metal projects.

Inco Metals Co., through its Research Centre in Sheridan Park in Mississauga, Ont (approximately 150 people) and its many mines, smelters and refineries, also are involved in many short and long term R&D projects.

Falconbridge Limited, through its research department (approximately 100 people) support R&D activities.

Sherritt Gordon Mines Limited, through its research department (approximately 75 people) is involved in extensive work.

Cominco Limited, through its Research Centre at Sheridan Park, Mississauga, Ont (approximately 100 people) and its mines, smelter and refinery, carry out extensive development work.

Ontario Research Foundation carries out contract work for the base metal industry.

B.C. Research Foundation is involved in bacterial leaching of sulphides.

New Brunswick Research Productivity Council is involved with fine-grained complex sulphide ores.

Lakefield Research, through its pilot plant facilities, services the base metal industry.

Dominion Engineering Works Ltd. is involved with the design and construction of large mills servicing the base metal industry.

Universities, mainly, Laval in Québec City, Alberta in Edmonton, British Columbia in Vancouver and to a lesser extent, Queens in Kingston, and Toronto in Toronto, are involved in graduate student research and contract work for governmental or industrial concerns.

Most mines beside those listed above, expend a portion of a person year to development work and some, like Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. may go as high as ten.

Consultants are not usually involved in R&D, but some such as Bacon and Donaldson from Vancouver will perform actual research on base metal projects.

Recent Past and Ongoing R&D

Past, present and possible future R&D can best be summarized as follows:

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMER	FUNDING
Bulk Base Metal Concentrates			
1975-83	Sulfation-Roast-Leach process for bulk concentrates	Research Productivity Council-New Brunswick	$800K DREE $250K Anaconda
1976-83	Modified pressure sulphuric acid leach process for bulk concentrates	Sherritt Gordon	$300K DREE $120K Sherritt $120K CANMET
1976-83	Ferric chloride leach process and dry way chlorination -leach process for bulk concentrates	CANMET	$4500K

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMER	FUNDING
1970-83	Chloride leach processes for	USBM	
1975-83	bulk concentrates	Elkem (Norway)	
1973-83		Minemet (France)	
	Lead Concentrates (Hydrometallurgical Research)		
1975-83	Chloride leach/fused salt electrolysis process	USBM	$50K/yr (USBM, St.Joe Minerals and Asarco Cominco)
1975-77		Cominco	$500K Cominco
	Pyrometallurgical Research (Oxygen Smelting)		
1970-82	KIVCET Process	Russia	
1977-83	QSL Process	Germany	
1979-83	Outokumpu Process	Finland	
	Copper and Nickel Concentrates		
	Pyrometallurgical Research		
1980-83	New roast-electric smelt process for nickel	Inco	$20 000K
1978-82	Continuous smelting-converting process for nickel and copper	Inco	$5000K (50% Inco; 50% IT&C)
1982-83	SO_2 capture and conversion to magnesium sulphate	SNA/Noranda	$1000K (25% Noranda; 25% SNA; 50% Quebec Gov't.
1981-82	Basic studies on slag-matte -metal systems	CANMET	2 PY's
	Hydrometallurgical Research		
1979-82	Ferric chloride leach process for copper	Bacon/Donaldson Co. Ltd.	$800K (Great Central Mines)

YEAR	DESCRIPTION	PERFORMER	FUNDING
1975-83	Pressure leach process in sulphate/chloride media	Noranda	
1981-82	Review of hydrometallurgical process for nickel and copper	CANMET	1 PY
1970-82	Chloride leach processes for copper	Cyprus Metallurgical (USA)	$20 000K
1975-83	Chloride leach processes for copper	Elkem (Norway)	
1970-83	Chloride leach processes for copper	Minemet (France)	
?	Chloride leach processes for copper	Australia	
1981-83	Pressure leach-hydrogen reduction process for copper	U.B.C.	NSERC

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Because of the diversity of commodities and processes required to produce metals from ores and the general review of problems facing the industry, outlined in section 3, the gaps and challenges facing the industry will be grouped and profiled under beneficiation and smelting.

Beneficiation

Existing concentration techniques are not entirely adequate for many commodities. New techniques and more selective promoters are needed to increase the recovery of nickel, cobalt, platinum, molybdenum in present operations. Reducing the pyrrhotite content in nickel concentrates would improve SO_2 emission problems. Sulphide slimes represent a major loss of metal values in tailings, sometimes as high as 2/3 of the total loss. Slimes of other minerals have been successfully concentrated by selective flocculation (hematite) or piggy-back flotation (anatase from kaolinite using calcite as a carrier) but so far no one has been successful in applying these techniques to sulphide slimes.

Byproduct recovery from tailings leaves much to be desired. There are still no truly effective techniques for recovering the small but very high value contents of Sn, Co, Bi in sulphide flotation plant mill tailings.

A prime example of loss of mineral resources is tin in the Kidd Creek mill tailings. The tailings contain 0.13% Sn which amounts to about 10 t/d or 3500 t/y. At $6.25/lb Canadian ($5.00 US) yearly value is $43.75 million. State-of-the-art gravity concentration only recovers about 10% of the tin in the tailings. Tin content in the Brunswick Mining and Smelting Corporation and Heath Steel mill tailings is reported to be 0.107% and over 0.0905% respectively (7,85). Assuming 7700 t/d BMS tailings and 3500 t/d HS tailings, yearly loss in the two tailings is estimated to be 3900 t or $48.75 million.

Other valuable byproducts which are recovered at some stage of the principal base metal beneficiation process, e.g. with zinc: cadmium, germanium, indium, gallium, etc; with lead: bismuth; with copper: selenium, tellurium, are lost through shipment of concentrates to overseas smelters. The shipper receives no payment for the minor amounts of valuable elements contained in the concentrates. Therefore, as far as Canada is

concerned, this is a loss of mineral resources and methods must be devised to selectively beneficiate these values.

CANMET should initiate a program of gold and silver recovery from Canadian ores and tailings by heap leach cyanidation, carbon-in-pulp cyanidation and electrowinning. The cyanidation of gold ores is in a rebirth stage due to a multitude of innovations (86). CANMET should be more knowledgeable about this new technology to be able to transfer information to both large and small Canadian developers. A definite technological gap exists in this area, which may be classified as high risk and long term. Canadians interested in this technology, at the moment, have had to go south of the border where the technology is in industrial application in Nevada and the Western States. The advantages of heap leaching are:

1. capital and operating costs are 20% and 40% respectively of conventional milling.
2. a minimum of surface disturbance.
3. less waste generation or land restoration.
4. a shorter lead time of 2 to 3 years before production compared to 7 to 10 years for conventional mining.

Heap leach cyanidation may be the process to increase extraction efficiency of the silver byproduct in the treatment of base-metal ores.

Another area in gold processing requiring attention is the problems associated with arsenopyrite present in numerous small deposits which cannot be exploited because there is not enough roasting capacity in existing facilities. Novel processes to circumvent roasting which is not environmentally desirable would be of major value.

Not enough of nature's available tools have been made use of or exploited to a great enough extent in the form of bacteria to alter surfaces, remove impurities or unacceptable rejects, of vegetation which has affinities for definite species of material and which will selectively concentrate certain elements in their cell structure. Research in these areas will create very pleasant surprises.

To alleviate costs in mining, materials handling, equipment buildings, reagents, preconcentration techniques and equipment must be rethought, refined and incorporated in flow sheet designs. To maximize product values, properties of certain types of ores must be taken advantage of to obtain superconcentrates which can compete with chemically produced materials and thus command higher prices.

Two major areas of research which are somehow interelated but require wide ranging experimentation and research to produce benefits in the next 20 years are fine particle processing and super selective surface reagents. Conservation of resources require 100% recoveries and thus elimination of losses due to fines and slimes; complete utilization of all our resources requires treatment of fine complex ore bodies. What basically needs to be better understood and translated into practice are the surface chemical properties of minerals and the means to make these characteristics more prevalent than the bulk properties of the material through an enhancement by more selective promoters and separation techniques designed specifically for that purpose. Equipment will be required to translate these findings into practical applications. Energy considerations must be taken into account as liberation is a very important factor.

Liberation is a topic which must be considered separately as it is of such high magnitude; in most cases it is the highest fraction of the concentration costs. New concepts of mineral liberation must still be investigated in an attempt to move away from the age-old inefficient comminution schemes. This avenue of research has been promoted many times and for a long time, but the problem still exists and must be solved. What can be solved within a reasonable length of time is the development of on-line liberation probes to obtain optimal control and automation of comminution circuits. The instrumentation to measure liberation is already developed for batch samples. Its impact on process understanding and optimization can be seen and the concept of liberation is quickly overriding that of size characterization.

Effective controls and instrumentation in mineral dressing plants is still a major objective to be reached. The causes of this relatively slow development are the still to be determined operating variables to be measured and the harsh environment in which the instrumentation must function. Modelling and simulation of unit operations and flow sheets will determine the specific points where controls will be necessary and instruments will then have to be devised to permit these controls to be effective. Innovative research will be necessary to devise the types of probes necessary.

Many unit operations in common use today will face greater challenge in the coming years and new separation concepts must be thoroughly explored to offer alternatives to present beneficiation techniques and most of those

are in the field of fine particles. Of importance are solid-liquid separation, materials handling facilities, high gradient magnetic separation, magnetohydrostatic and magnetohydrodynamic systems, cryogenic systems, flocculation - flotation systems, magneto-cyclones, to name the ones on the horizon now. It is crucial to delve deeply in this area and effect a commendable technology transfer to our mineral industry. Reaching even further, it is about time that processing in "space" should be considered and this research will have to deal with entirely new problems, namely low or zero gravity, no air or water, low atmospheric pressure or vacuum and extreme temperatures.

Mining in the far North is hampered by many constraints and processing problems, but for Canada to reach its full potential, a comprehensive long range approach must be realized to solve barriers which are impeding progress. A large number of research projects must be undertaken, some mentioned in topics already discussed, but which must be slanted towards existing conditions in the North. To mention a few: flotation reagents which will perform selectively in cold water, more effective filtration, modular transportable mills, greater use of automation and controls, total recycling of process water, greater regards for a fragile ecology, etc.

Energy conservation has become a perennial topic for discussion and so it should be. Again many of the topics mentioned include areas where new equipment, new instrumentation and controls, new techniques, new methods, preconcentration strategies will offer great possibilities for energy savings. A project which needs special attention in this regard is one to improve separation efficiencies in Canadian mineral processing plants which is long range but will produce extremely valuable information and solutions to liberation problems and alternative flotation schemes.

Decades will permit us to win a number of battles against human and environmental pollution but it must continue to be an all out war and must resolve problems dealing with dust, toxic fumes and compounds, noise, radiation, release of dangerous elements in the ground and water systems through tailing disposal. In the coming years research must be concentrated on elimination of dust through surface active suppressants, abatement of noise through better engineering of equipment, 100% recirculation systems, new concentration flow-sheets to remove deleterious elements, better

individual protection equipment.

Smelting/Refining

There is little doubt that the control of SO_2 emissions will be the major problem facing Canada's base metal smelting industry over the next decade. However there are other factors to consider which have a very significant effect on the viability and competitiveness of the smelting/refining process, such as energy efficiency, impurity elimination, byproduct marketability, major metal and by-product metals recovery and capital and operating costs. Many of these may be adversely affected by the measures taken to facilitate SO_2 control. The ideal process technology therefore may have to be a compromise which will minimize environmentally related problems and obtain acceptable recoveries from the resource base, while maintaining the long term competitiveness of Canda's base metal smelting industry.

Copper/nickel

Smelting/Converting:

The SO_2 problem is more severe for copper and nickel than it is for zinc and lead because of the high sulphur to metal ratio in the concentrates. If all of the sulphur in the concentrates in converted to sulphuric acid the resulting acid production will amount to about 3 t/t of copper and nearly 9 t/t of nickel. In many developed countries throughout the world, particularly Japan, very high recoveries of SO_2 from smelter gases have been achieved using existing technology. This has been accomplished by blending converter gases with flash smelter gases for conversion of SO_2 to acid, by producing saleable gypsum from dilute SO_2 gas streams and by using expensive SO_2 absorption processes (81,82,83) to concentrate the SO_2 and facilitate conversion to acid or gypsum. However these methods for SO_2 control are economically viable only if markets for the byproducts are very close at hand. In Canada this is not the case. Markets for byproduct gypsum do not exist, and the netback to Canadian smelters from acid sales seldom comes close to covering the cost of production. The problem is particularly severe for smelters in isolated inland locations where transportation costs to markets can be higher than the value of the acid. Although liquid SO_2 from the Inco flash smelter commands a premium price relative to acid, markets are extremely limited, and sales seldom match production capacity.

Even if a viable continuous smelting/converting process (such as the Mitsubishi copper process) was available for both copper and nickel to facilitate SO_2 recovery, the problems of marketing acid or liquid SO_2 would still be paramount. Canada's SO_2 problem therefore is unique and requires unique solutions particularly for copper/nickel. It is necessary to develop new uses and/or other alternatives to improve the marketability of the sulphur byproduct by converting SO_2 at the smelter site to other higher value saleable products such as fertilizer, elemental sulphur or sulphur chemicals.

In this respect those processes which make the most efficient use of pure oxygen (such as Inco's flash smelter) to produce very high SO_2 concentrations should facilitate the economic conversion of SO_2 to elemental sulphur or other sulphur chemicals. These technologies, designed to minimize the cost of SO_2 control, can be applied to all pyro-metallurgical processes which produce SO_2 as a byproduct regardless of the process used or the commodity produced. They are therefore extremely important, not only to provide acceptable solutions to the "acid rain" problem, but also to the long term competitiveness of Canada's base metal smelting industry.

To facilitate the introduction of continuous energy efficient smelting/converting technology for both nickel and copper it is desirable to minimize the problems related to impurity elimination and metal and by-product recovery. This requires the development of a much more sophisticated data base on the chemical and physical properties of molten slag-matte-metal systems, and the effect of gas phase composition on their equilibria composition. The development of better methods to reject undesirable impurities such as arsenic, antimony and bismuth, and to reduce copper and precious metal losses to the slag will greatly enhance the viability and flexibility of continuous processes for copper. The recovery of molybdenum and cobalt should also be investigated in cases where significant quantities of these elements are present in the concentrate. For continuous nickel processes, impurities of some concern are arsenic, bismuth, lead and selenium, while the major effort in improving recovery should be directed toward cobalt and platinum group metals, as well as nickel and copper. Because of the very high iron content in nickel concentrates, very small reductions in the metals content of the slag can result in significant increases in recovery.

Efforts should also be continued to improve the energy efficiency of pyrometallurgical processes for nickel. As with copper this can be best accomplished by maximizing the utilization of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate, and by developing a continuous counter-current process to produce a high grade matte and a discard slag.

Refining

Although refining processes for copper and nickel are well established and have few problems, research directed toward obtaining a better understanding of the factors controlling impurity disposition in copper and nickel electro-refining and electrowinning cells would provide guidance with respect to the degree of impurity removal required in the smelting/converting processes. This could also lead to improved recovery methods, and ultimately to improved recovery of byproducts, such as cobalt. Electrolysis R&D from chloride media is particularly relevant for nickel, since many of the refining processes already involve chloride solutions for both electrowinning and electrorefining. However hydrometallurgical processes for either nickel or copper are also likely to be carried out in chloride media, thus making electrowinning studies relevant to both metals.

Hydrometallurgical and other Alternate Processes to Smelting

Hydrometallurgical processes do not produce SO_2 and therefore have virtually no environmental constraints related to SO_2 emissions, or production constraints related to sulphuric acid marketability. Some of the processes can also convert most of the sulfides in base metal concentrates to elemental sulphur, which can be recovered and sold if markets are available, or stored indefinitely with no detrimental environmental effects. Elemental sulphur is also a much higher value commodity than sulfuric acid and can be shipped at least three times as far for the same cost. Sulphur producing processes therefore could be ideal for inland base metal sulfide mines located far from acid markets. They can however have other problems related to the control of aqueous emissions, precious metals recovery, energy requirements or iron removal.

For coper the most desirable processes are those that convert sulfides in the concentrates directly to elemental sulphur, while maintaining copper and iron in their lower valence states. This minimizes the capital and energy costs of the process, and can be accomplished effectively only if the process is carried out in chloride media. Iron rejection is also

facilitated in chloride media and a saleable iron product can be produced if economics so warrant. However precious metals recovery and the production of wire bar grade copper remains a problem. R&D is required to develop adequate methods for silver removal and recovery from chloride leach liquors to facilitate the production of wire bar grade copper; to optimize the design of diaphragm electrolytic cells for copper chloride electrowinning, and to recover gold, sulphur and molybdenum from leach residues. Research to facilitate the recovery of a sponge iron byproduct rather than a discard iron residue would also be useful to maximize resource utiliztaion, and possibly improve the process economics.

For nickel the most desirable alternate process to conventional smelting would also be one that converts sulfides in the concentrate to elemental sulphur. However as stated earlier nickel concentrates contain more iron than copper concentrates, and are usually much more complex, containing copper, cobalt, and precious and platinum group metals. To ensure the economic viability of the process, these valuable byproducts must be recovered, and iron must be removed in an economically and environmentally acceptable manner. None of the processes developed to date can efficiently recover nicekl and associated byproducts from nickel concentrates while converting sulfides to elemental sulphur. As with copper the most promising processes appear to be those that utilize chloride metallurgy to facilitate conversion of sulfides to elemental sulphur and iron to insoluble oxides. Because of the complexity of nickel concentrates a great deal more basic and applied research is required to optimize process operating parameters for rejecting iron; converting sulfides to sulphur; recovering precious and platinum group metals and recovering pure nickel, copper and cobalt products from chloride leach solutions. To accomplish this it is not necessary to rely exclusively on hydrometallurgy. A pyrometallurgical extraction process, involving dry chlorination/oxidation of sulfide concentrates (52,70,84) can be very effectively utilized to convert sulfides to elemental sulphur, iron to insoluble hematite and non-ferrous metals to soluble chlorides, which can then be separated and refined by hydrometallurgical techniques. The pyrometallurgical steps in the process can be exceptionally energy efficient, and the process has the potential to recover all of the valuable components in the concentrate, including sulphur and iron, as saleable products. The process therefore could be ideal for conventional nickel sulfide concentrates

or nickeliferrous pyrrhotite, particularly in Canadian inland locations where SO_2 and sulphuric acid marketing problems pose a considerable constraint to further processing of these ore deposits in Canada. Sulphur and possibly iron could also add substantially to the total revenues, making process economics more attractive. However the dry chlorination/oxidation process has not been tested on nickel concentrates, and a substantial long term R&D effort is required to demonstrate the technical and economic viability of the technology and its applicability to Canadian ore deposits.

Zinc/lead

Zinc Concentrates:

For conventional zinc concentrates the control of SO_2 emissions is not a serious problem. In the roast leach electrowin (RLE) process, SO_2 from the fluid bed roasters is readily amenable to conversion to H_2SO_4 in an acid plant. If acid marketing is a problem the Sherritt pressure sulphuric acid leach (PSA) process can be used to produce sulphur instead of SO_2 and acid. Neither process has any serious problems in treating high grade, relatively pure zinc concentrates. However zinc concentrates containing appreciable amounts of silver can pose a problem. Recovery of silver from RLE process leach residues is often difficult because of the complexity of the jarosite circuit for iron removal. In the PSA process silver recovery is complicated due to the presence of sulphur and pyrite in the leach residues. Research is required to obtain a better understanding of the factors controlling silver solubility and silver disposition in the leach circuits, which will lead to the development of improved methods for silver recovery.

Bulk Concentrates

In many cases, ore deposits are too complex and fine-grained to allow the efficient production of high grade zinc, lead and copper concentrates by conventional benefication techniques. Under such circumstances a bulk concentrate must be produced to obtain acceptable recoveries from the ore body. Bulk concentrates can contain any two or more of the base metals zinc, lead and copper, plus silver, gold and other associated byproducts, and are not amenable to treatment in the conventional RLE or PSA process. The Imperial Smelting Process (ISP) does not have the flexibility to handle the range of metal ratios and grades encountered in the bulk concentrates. It is necessary therefore to develop alternate technologies which will efficiently

and economically recover the valuable metals from these complex relatively low grade concentrates while minimizing associated environmental problems. The RPC Sulfation Roast Leach (SRL) process (65) and the Sherritt Gordon Modified Pressure Sulphuric Acid (MPSA) process (66) are two Canadian developed technologies which have an excellent chance of being technically and economically viable for application to bulk concentrates in the short to medium term. As with zinc concentrates the two processes provide industry with the flexibility to produce either acid (SRL process) or elemental sulphur (MPSA process) as a saleable byproduct. The SRL process can also be applied directly to the complex sulfide ore, if such an option should prove to be more attractive than producing a bulk concentrate. However considerably more development work is required on a pilot scale on both the SRL and MPSA processes, particularly with respect to improving precious metals recovery, minimizing the costs of producing a high value lead product and eliminating problems associated with roaster gas cooling and cleaning (SRL process) and sulphur recovery (MPSA process).

As with copper and nickel, processes which employ chloride metallurgy to convert sulfides to sulphur can also be attractive options in the medium to long term for bulk concentrates. The hydrometallurgical chloride leach processes (25,67,68,69), all of which can be carried out at atmospheric pressure, could be attractive alternatives to the Sherritt MPSA process. The dry chlorination/oxidation pyrometallurgical process (70) could be ideal for highly pyritic ores or bulk concentrates, particularly in cases where elemental sulphur and possibly iron can provide substantially more revenues than could be obtained from sulphuric acid sales (SRL process).

Both the hydro and pyro chloride metallurgy alternatives require substantially more R&D to demonstrate the technical and economic viability of the technology. The dry chlorination process in particular requires laboratory and pilot scale studies to verify design criteria for flash chlorination and for the gaseous conversion of ferric chloride to hematite. Both hydro and pyro processes require research to optimize solution purification and electrolysis techniques in chloride media for both zinc and lead, with fused salt electrolysis being particularly important for the latter.

Lead Concentrates

Because of health, safety and energy efficiency considerations, the conventional pyrometallurgical sinter/blast furnace process for lead concentrates is almost certain to be replaced by a new generation lead smelter which will employ flash smelting/slag cleaning techniques designed to maximize the use of the sulphur energy in the concentrate and obtain high recoveries of lead and associated byproducts, while minimizing health and environmental problems due to materials handling and fugitive emissions. The process will also have to be capable of handling a variety of lead concentrates and lead residues containing varying levels of undesirable impurities. Regardless of the pyrometallurgical process used the development of a better data base on the chemical and physical properties of molten slag-metal systems and the deportment of impurities will greatly assist the process developers to reduce metal losses to the slag and increase the flexibility of the process to recover byproducts and reject undesirable impurities. R&D should also be directed toward obtaining a better understanding of the factors controlling impurity disposition in lead electrorefining cells, which would provide guidance for the degree of impurity removal required in the smelting process. The effect on the recovery of byproducts such as copper, antimony and bismuth, would also have to be investigated in cases where thermal refining techniques must be replaced by electro-refining to meet work place emission regulations.

From an environmental and health safety point of view the hydrometallurgical ferric chloride leach process for lead concentrates is probably ideal since it operates at low temperatures and produces sulphur instead of SO_2. Lead extraction and recovery is also very high. However the recovery of precious metals and other byproducts, and the ability of the process to handle a variety of lead concentrates and residues has not been adequately investigated. Much more R&D is also required on a bench and pilot plant scale to optimize cell design for fused salt electrolysis of lead chloride, and to determine the technical and economic advantages of this approach versus aqueous electrolysis, for the recovery of lead and associated byproducts.

REFERENCES

1. Facts and Figures - The Mining Association of Canada - Published 1981.
2. Canadian Mineral Survey 1981, Minieral Policy and Energy Policy Sectors; Energy, Mines and Resources Canada.
3. Mineral Policy, A Discussion Paper; Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; Published 1981.
4. Milling practice in Canada, CIM special volume 16.
5. Mineralogy and characteristics that affect recoveries of metals and trace elements form theore at Health Steele Mines, New Brunswick, Chan and Petruk, CIM Bulletin, November 1980.
6. Canadian mines: perspective from 1980, EMR, MR 190.
7. Queneau, P.E. "Coppermaking in the Eighties - productivity in metal extraction from sulfide concentrates"; J. Met 33:2:1;8-46; 1981
8. "British Columbia copper smelting and refining, technologies seminar"; Paper 1981-5; edited by F.C. Basham; November 5-6, 1980, Vancouver, 11-253; 1981.
9. Antonioni, A.D., et al. "Operation of the INCO flash smelting furnace with recycle of converter slags"; 18th Annual Conference of Metallurgists, CIM; August 1979; Sudbury, Ontario.
10. Makine, J.K. and Jafs, G.A. "Production of Matte, White Metal, and Blister Copper by Flash Furnace"; J Met; June, 1982;54-59.
11. Pazour, D.A. "Afton, new Canadian copper mine"; World Min; April, 1978.
12. Danielle, R.A. and Jaquay, L.H. "Full scale TBRC smelting tests on copper concentrate"; in: 1974 AIME Annual Meeting; Feb. 24-28, 1974; Dalas, Preprint.
13. Peterson, S. et al. "Treatment of complex copper concentrates in the TBRC at Boliden"; Can Min Metall Bull; August, 1981:123-127
14. Dayton, S. "Utah copper and the $280 million investment in clean air"; Eng Min J; April 1979.
15. Bailey, J.B.W., and Storey, A.G. "The Norando process after six years operation"; 18th Annual Conference of Metallurgists, CIM; August 19-23, 1979; Sudbury, Ontario.
16. Queneau, P.E. and Schuhmann, R.Jr. "The Q-S oxygen process"; J. Metal; August 1974.
17. Amsden, M.P. et al. "Selection and design of Texasgulf Canada's copper smelter and refinery"; J. Metal; 30:16-26, 1978.

18. Suzuki, T. and Goto, M. "Recent improvements in Mitsubishi process operation"; 1979 AIME Annual Meeting; Dec 3, 1979; Tucson, Arizona.
19. Melcher, G. et al. "The KIVCET cyclone smelting process for impure copper concentrates"; J Metal; July 1976:4-8.
20. Sauert, F. et al. "High-efficiency system for pyrometallurgical recivery of high-grade copper matte from sulfide concentrate"; Erzmetall 33 (1980) Nr. 1/8
21. Braun, T.B. et al. "Factors affecting the quality of electrorefined cathode copper"; In: Symp on Extractive Metallurgy of Copper; edited by J.C. Yannopoulos and J.C. Agarwal; Vol. 1, Chapt. 25; sponsored by the Metallurgical Society of AIME.
22. Atwood, G.E. and Ligingston, R.W. "The CLEAR process a Duval Corporation development"; Ergmetall 33(1980) Nr. 5:251-259.
23. McNamara, J.H. et al. "A hydrometallurgical process for the extraction of copper"; CIM Bull; March 1980.
24. Demarthe, J.M. et al. "A new hydrometallurgical process for copper"; In: Sump on Extractive Metallurgy of Copper; edited by J.C. Yannopoulos and J.C. Agarwal; Vol II, Chapt 43; sponsored by the Metallurgical Society of AIME.
25. Andersen, E. et al. "Production of base metals from complex sulphide concentrates by the ferric chloride route in a small, continuous pilot plant"; In: Proc of Symposium on Complex Sulfide Ores; IMM, 1980; Rome; 186-192; 1980.
26. Swinkels, G.M. et al., Kaurilka, P. et al. and Maschmeger et al. "The Sherritt-Cominco copper process - parts I, II, and III, CIM Bull Vol. 71, February, 1978:105-139.
27. Kuhn, M.C. et al. "Anaconda's Arbiter process for copper"; CIM Bull; February, 1974.
28. Inco Staff "The Sudbury operations of INCO metals company"; Can Min J 98:5; May 1977.
29. Boldt, J.R. Jr. "The winning of NICKEL, its geology, mining and extractive metallurgy"; Toronto, Longmans Canada Limited.
30. Queneau, P. et al. "Some novel aspects of the pyrometallurgy and vapometallurgy of nickel"; J Metal; July, 1969:35-45.

31. Thompson Mine Staff. "Symposium on the Thompson operation of the International Nickel Company of Canada Ltd."; CIM Annual General Meeting; Montreal, April, 1964; CIM Bull; November, 1964.
32. Cook, W.R. et al. "Current practice at the Thompson Nickel Refinery"; Inco File; February 1973.
33. McKaque, A.L. "Falconbridge Nickel Mines Limited: new smelting process"; CIM Bull; June 1980.
34. Thornhill, P.G. et al. "The Falconbridge matte leach process"; J Metal; July 1971:13-18.
35. Hougen, L.R. "Operating experiences with a pilot plant for the electrowinning of nickel from all-chloride electrolyte"; CIM Bull; June 1977:136-143.
36. Hougen, L.R. Can. Patent 131,603, 1972.
37. Falconbridge Staff. "The recovery of metals from sulphides by Falconbridge"; Falconbridge Nickel Mines Limited.
38. Anon. "Holding down energy costs at Seleki-Phikive"; E&MJ; May 1980.
39. Solar, M.Y. "Smelting nickel concentrates in Inco's oxygen flash furnace"; 107th AIME Annual Meeting; February 26-March 2, 1978; Denver, Colorado.
40. Hissong, D.W. et al. "Reduction systems for flue gas desulfurization"; CEP; June 1977.
41. Simons, C.S. "Nickel pyrometall in perspective"; J Metal; November 1971.
42. Clegg, M.A. "The production of nickel cobalt and composite powders by hydrometallurgy"; Modern Developments in powder metallurgy; Vol. 12; edited by Henry Hausner, Harry Antes, Gaylord Smith; 1981; Princeton, N.J.
43. Giles, D. "Kwinana nickel refinery"; Aust. Chem. Eng.; 17-18, Reb, 1969.
44. Plasket, R.P. and Romanchuk, S. "Recovery of nickel and copper from high grade matte at Impala Platinum by the Sheritt Gordon process"; 103 Annual General Meeting of the AIME, Dallas, Texas, Feb. 24-28, 1974.
45. Suetsuna, A. et al. "Sumitomo's new process for nickel and cobalt recovery from sulphide mixture"; Met. Soc. AIME; A80-2.
46. Can. Chem. Process; PP-6, Aug, 1971.
47. Anon. "A new use for a white elephant"; Can. Chem. Process; 35-37; August 1974.
48. Palperi, M. and Aaltonen, O. J Met; 23:34; 1971.

49. Toguri, J.M. "A review of the methods of treating nickel-bearing pyrhotite; with special reference to the Sudbury Area pyrhotite"; Can Metall Q; 14:4:323; 1975.
50. Van Weert, G. et al. Can Min Metall Bull; 78:63; 1974.
51. Dutrizac, J.E. and MacDonald, R.J.C. Miner Sci Eng; 6:59; 1974.
52. Hohn, H. et al. Int. Min. Cong. - Stockholm; 68; 1957.
53. Anon. "Cominco's new zinc plant opened"; West Min; 31-32; Dec 1980.
54. Pabst, M. "Kidd Creek zinc plant expands with newest techniques"; Can Min J; 69-73.
55. Anon. "Asarco's Corpus Christi zinc plant"; Eng Min J; 99-120; Sept 1981.
56. Gaunce, F.S. et al. "The Ecstall story - the electrolytic zinc plant"; Can Min Metall Bull; 67:745:116-124; 1974.
57. Huggare, T.L. et al. "How Outokumpu conversion process raises Zn recovery"; World Min; 36-42; Feb. 1974.
58. Van Den Neste, E. "Metallurgie Hoboken-Overpelt's zinc electrowinning plant"; Can Min Metall Bull; 173-185; Aug. 1977.
59. Wood, J. and Haigh, C. "Jarosite process boosts zinc recovery in electrolytic plants"; World Min; 35-38; Sept. 1972.
60. Wood, J.T. "Treatment of electrolytic zinc plant residues by the jarosite process"; Aust Min; 23-27; Jan. 1973.
61. Arregui, V. et al. "The jarosite process - past, present and future"; Lead-Zinc-Tin'80, Symp on Metallurgy and Environmental Control - 109th AIME Ann. Mtg., Feb 24-28, 1980; Las Vegas.
62. Doyle, B.N. et al. "Acid pressure leaching of zinc conentrates with elemental sulphur as a byproduct"; Inst. Min Metall, 11th Commonwealth Mining and Metallurgical Congress - Paper No. 56; Hong Kong; 1978.
63. Parker, E. and Romanchuk, S. "Pilot plant demonstration of zinc sulphide pressure leaching"; Lead-Zinc-Tin'80, Symp on Metallurgy and Environmental Control - 109th AIME Ann. Mtg., Feb 24-28, 1980; Las Vegas.
64. Lead-Zinc-Tin'80, Symp on Metallurgy and Environmental Control; 247-292; 109th AIME Ann. Mtg, Feb 24-28, 1980; Las Vegas.
65. Boorman, R.S. "The R.P.C. sulphation roast process development and application to New Brunswick complex base metal ores"; Rpt No. MDP/78/1; Research and Productivity Council, New Brunswick.

66. Sherritt-Gordon Mines Limited. "Pressure leaching of New Brunswick bulk concentrate - lead silver and zinc recovery"; Confid. Report, June, 1982.
67. Rausch, D.O., Stephens, F.M. and Mariacher, B.C., editors. "Lead-zinc update"; Chapter 16, Soc. Min Eng. AIME, New York, N.Y.; 1977.
68. Scheiner, B.J. et al. "Chlorine-oxygen leaching of complex sulphide concentrates"; Met Soc AIME; paper no. A-77-96.
69. Demarthe, J.M. and Georgeaux "hydrometallurgical treatment of complex sulphides"; Sump on Complex Metallurgy; edited by M.J. Jones; Inst. Min Metall, London. 1978.
70. Pint, P. and Craigen, W.J.S. "Applications of chloride pyrometallurgy to the extraction of base metals from sulphide concentrates"; Division Report MRP/MSL 81-121(OP); CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1981.
71. "Lead smelting and refining"; Section VI; Lead and Zinc Symp on Mining and Metallurgy of Lead and Zinc - Vol 11 - Extractive Metallurgy of Lead and Zinc; Edited by C.H. Cotterill and J.M. Cigan; AIME, New York, N.Y.; 1970.
72. Matyas, A.G. and Malk, P.J. "Metallurgy of the direct smelting of lead"; J Met; Nov, 1976.
73. Ing, K.B. et al. "How KIVCET lead smelting compares with other direct reduction processes for lead"; Eng Min J; April 1978.
74. White, L. "Lead flash smelting and a new ore preconcentrator"; Eng Min J; Aug, 1982.
75. Fisher, P and Maczek, H. "The present status of development of the QSL-Lead Process"; J Met; 60-64; June, 1982.
76. Haver, F.P. and Wong, M.M. "Ferric chloride-brine leaching of galena concentrate"; USBM RI-8105; 1976.
77. Haver, F.P. et al. "Recovery of lead from lead chloride by fused-salt electrolysis"; USBM RI-8166; 1976.
78. Phillips, T.A. "Economic evaluation of a leach-electrolysis process for recovering lead from galena concentrate"; USBM IC-8773; 1978.
79. Demarthe, J. and Georgeaux, A. "Hydrometallurgical treatment of lead concentrates"; Lead-Zinc-Tin'80 Symp on Metallurgy and Environmental Control; edited by J.M. Cigan, et al.; 426-444; 109th AIME Ann Mtg, Feb 24-28, 1980; Las Vegas.

80. Wong, M. et al. "Ferric chloride leach-electrolysis process for production of lead"; Lead-Zinc-Tin'80 Symp on Metallurgy and Environmental Control; edited by J.M. Cigan, et al.; 445-456; 109th AIME Ann Mtg, Feb 24-28, 1980; Las Vegas.

81. Leckner, P. et al. "The Wellman-Lord SO_2 recovery process"; Chem Eng Prog; 66-70; Feb, 1982.

82. Lien, R.H. et al. "Description and economic evaluation of flue gas desulfurization by the modified citrate process"; USBM RI-8638; 1982.

83. Konada, T. and Nagao, J-I. "Application of the Dowa process to smelter gases"; J Met; 57-60; Mar, 1981.

84. Olsen, R.S. et al. "A chlorination process for the recovery of copper from chalcopyrite"; USBM-RC-1503.

85. CANMET Report, MRP/MSL 80-93 (J).

86. "Gold and silver innovations"; Volumes 1, 2 and Appendix I; compiled by Randol International Ltd., Bethlehem, P.A.; November 1981.

APPENDIX 1

1980-1981

1. Nickel recovery fom laterite acid leach solutions by precipitate flotation 2. metal precipitation from loaded organic extractants by reaction with hydrogen; Distin, P.A.; Mining and metallurgical engineering; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering research Council; $9042

The molecular basis for the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide; Donini, J.C.; Chemistry; St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $10 000

The molecular basis for the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide; Donini, J.C.; Chemistry; St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $25 000

Origin and metallogenic relationships of massive base metal sulfide and gold deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $18 637

Computer analysis of phase diagrams and thermodynamic properties of molten salt, oxide, silicate and sulfide systems; Lin, P.L.; Metallurgical engineering; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $8000

Phase relations and field studies pertaining to magmatic and massive volcanogenic sulfide ore deposits; Maclean, W.H.; Geological sciences; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $9500

Geology and geochemistry of massive sulfide ores; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $21 750

Metal oxidation, ionic diffusion and defect structures in solid metal oxides, nitrides and sulfides; Smeltzer, W.W.; Metallurgy/materials science; McMaster University, Hamilton; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $33 500

1979-1980

1. Nickel recovery from laterite acid leach solutions by precipitate flotation 2. Metal precipitation from loaded organic extractants by reaction with hydrogen; Distin, P.A.; Mining and metallurgical engineering; McGill University; Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $8235

The molecular basis for the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide; Donini, J.C.; Chemistry; St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $9400

The molecular basis for the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide; Donini, J.C.; Chemistry; St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $16 560

Recovery of metal values from complex sulfide ores; Habashi, F.; Mining/Metallurgy; Université Laval, Québec; Qué; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $9000

Origin and metallogenic relationships of massive base metal sulfide and gold deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $16 974

Phase relations and field studies pertaining to magmatic and massive volcanogenic sulfide ore deposits; Maclean, W.H.; Geological sciences; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $11 136

Platinum group elements and other trace elements in magmatic Ni-Cu sulfide ores associated with different host rocks; Naldrett, A.J.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $13 500

Oxidation kinetics and the beneficiation of fine particle metal sulfides; Schreiber, H.P.; Chemical engineering; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $5000

Petrology and geochemistry of sulfide ores and minerals; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $17 718

Metal oxidation, ionic diffusion and defect structures in solid metal oxides, nitrides and sulfides; Smeltzer, W.W.; Metallurgy/materials science; McMaster University; Hamilton; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $32 913

1978-1979

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions involving molten metal sulfides. 3. application of electrochemical processes to inorganic pollution; Byerley, J.J.; Chemical Engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $15 339

The molecular basis for the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide; Donini, J.C.; Chemistry; St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $16 000

Origin and metallogenic relationships of massive base metal sulfide and gold deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $16 400

Phase relations and field studies pertaining to magmatic and massive volcanogenic sulfide ore deposits; Maclean, W.H.; Geological sciences; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $10 759

Platinum group elements and other trace elements in magmatic Ni-Cu sulfide ores associated with different host rocks; Naldrett, A.J.; Chou, C.L.; Hoffman, E.L.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $11 500

Oxidation kinetics and the beneficiation of fine-particle metal sulfides; Schreiber, H.P.; Chemical engineering; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $6000

Petrology and Geochemistry of sulfide ores and minerals; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $17 119

Metal oxidation, ionic diffusion and defect structures in solid metal oxides, nitrides and sulfides; Smeltzer, W.W.; Metallurgy/Materials science; McMaster University, Hamilton; Ont; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $31 800

Ni-Cu-Fe Sulfide - Carbonate - Silicate relationships in altered ultramafic rocks and their host volcanic rocks; Watkinson, D.H.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $1350

1977-1978

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions involving molten processes to inorganic pollution; Byerley, J.J.; Chemical Engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $14 471

Origin and metallogenic relationships of mineral deposits: expecially of precambrian nickel and base metal sulfide, gold, iron and pegmatite deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $13 550

Phase relations and field studies pertaining to magmatic and massive volcanogenic sulfide ore deposits; Maclean, W.H.; Geological sciences; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NRC) Nastional Research Council of Canada; $10 150

Platinum group elements and other trace elements in magmatic Ni-Cu sulfide ores associated with different host rocks; Naldrett, A.J.; Chou, C.L.; Hoffman, E.L.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8000

Petrology and geochemistry of sulfide ores and minerals; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $16 150

Metal oxidation, ionic diffusion and defect strucutres in solid metal oxides, nitrides and sulfides; Smeltzer, W.W.; Metallurgy/Materials Science; McMaster University, Hamilton; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $30 000

Oxdation kinetics and the beneficiation of fine-particle metal sulfides; Schreiber, H.P.; Chemical Engineering; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8500

1976-1977

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. Thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions involving molten metal sulfides. 3. Application of electrochemical processes to inorganic pollution; Byerley, J.J.; Chemical Engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $13 350

Study for cooperative research on modelling of grinding and classification for fine-grained sulfides ores of New Brunswick. On behalf of EMR; Everell, M.D.; Mines; Universite Laval, Quebec; Que; (SSC) Supply and Services Canada; $18 117

1. Mineralisation et problemes d'exploitation de gisements dans la region de Chibougamau. 2. Etude de la mineralisation Pb-Zn dans le bassin de Mistassini; Guha, J.; Sciences appliquees; (UQAC) Universite du Quebec a Chicoutimi; Que; (NRC) Conseil National de Recherches du Canada; $6903

Origin and metallogenic relationships of mineral deposits: especially of precambrian nickel and base metal sulfide, gold, iron and pegmatite deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $12 500

Platinum group elements and other trace elements in magmatic Ni-Cu sulfide ores associated with different host rocks; Naldrett, A.J.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8500

1. Binary mineral flotability in the presence of arsenic trioxide 2. Dithiophosphate collectors and their adsorption characteristics on nickel sulphide minerals 3. The mechanism of copper activiation of sphalerite; Salman, T.M.; Minint/Metallurgy; McGill University, Montreal; Que; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $10 850

Physical geochemistry of sulfide ores; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $12 086

Ni-Cu-Fe-sulfide-carbonate-silicate relationships in altered ultramafic rocks; Watkinson, D.H.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $7500

1975-1976

Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide to elemental sulfur on activated carbon; Anderson, N.E.; Chemical Engineering; the University of Calgary; Atla; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $2492

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. Thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions involving molten metal sulfides. 3. Application of electrochemical processes to inorganic pollution; Byerley, J.J.; Chemical Engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $11 410

Pedologic inventory of mining and milling wastes in specified areas of Quebec (as a member of the cooperative "B" level pit slope stability project 1972-77 - revegetation). On behalf of EMR; Cossette, M.; Environment; Universite de Sherbrooke; Que; (SSC) Supply and services Canada; $30 000

1. Mineralisation et problemes d'exploitation de gisements dans la region de Chibougamau. 2. Etude de la mineralisation Pg-Zn dans le bassin de Mistassini; Guha, J.; Sciences appliquees; (UQAC) Universite du Quebec a Chicoutimi; Que; (NRC) Conseil national de recherches du Canada; $6730

Origin and metallogenic relationships of mineral deposits: especially of precambrian nickel and base metal sulfide, gold, iron and pegmatite deposits; Hutchinson, R.W.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $12 190

Pedologic inventory of sulphide mine wastes of Endako Mine at Fraser Lake, Taxada Mine of Texada Island and Coast Copper on Vancouver Island (as a member of the cooperative "B" level pit slope project. On behalf of EMR; Lavkulich, L.M.; Soil Science; University of British Columbia, Vancouver; B.C.; (SSC) Supply and Services Canada; $26 620

Development of solvent extraction system for cobalt and nickel in acid media; Lever, A.B.P.; Walker; I.M.; Chemistry; York University, Downsview; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $9000

1974-1975

Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide to elemental sulfur on activated carbon; Anderson, N.E.; Chemical engineering; the University of Calgary; Alta; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $4300

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. [illegible]mics and kinetics of reactions involving molten metal sulfides. 3. Ap[illegible] of electrochemical processes to inorganic pollution. Byerley, J.J., [illegible]l Engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Rese[illegible]l of Canada; $10 000

1. Mineralisation et problemes d'exploitation de gisements dans la region de Chibougamau. 2. Etude de la mineralisation Pb-An dans le bassin de Mistassini; Guha, J.; Sciences Appliquees; (UQAC) Universite du Quebec a Chicoutimi; Que; (NRC) Conseil national de recherches du Canada; $5900

Development of solvent extraction system for cobalt and nickel in acid media; Lever, A.B.P.; Walker, I.M.; Chemistry; York University, Downsview; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $10 000

Physical geochemistry of sulfide ores; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $11 785
Physical geochemistry of sulfide ores; Scott, S.D.; Geology; University of Toronto; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $10 330

1. Volcanogenic sulfide deposits in the Springdale ophiolite. 2. Comparison of volcanogenic deposits in different tectonic settings. 3. Petrochemistry and ore bearing potential of Newfoundland ultramafic rocks. 4. Geothermometry and sulfide isotope composition of fluorite veins at St. Lawrence and of barite-celestite-galena deposits in carboniferous rocks of western Newfoundland; Smitheringale, W.G.; Geology; Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's; Nfld; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $2975

1. Radiation chemistry of aqueous solutions of sulfhydryl compounds 2. Gas phase ionic reactions in hydrocarbon hydrogen sulfide mixtures; Stone, J.A.; Chemistry; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $11 000

1973-1974

1. Physical chemistry of hydrometallurgical reactions. 2. Thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions involving molten metal sulfides. 3. Application of electrochemical processes to inorganic pollution; Byerley, J.J.; Chemical engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $10 000

Development of solvent extraction system for cobalt and nickel in acid media; Lever, A.B.P.; Wlaker, I.M.; Chemistry; York University, Downsview; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $10 000

Geochemical relations of sulfide-silicate-oxide assemblages; Macrae, N.D.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $4850

1972-1973

Geochemical relations of silicate-oxide-sulfide assemblanges; Macrae, N.D.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $5400

1. Geological and geochronological studies in S. Norway 2. Studies of U-Ag-Cu-Bi Mineralization in the N.W.T. and of mineralization in N. Saskatchewan; Morton, R.D.; Geology; the University of Alberta, Edmonton; Alta; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7500

1971-1972

1. Fin - les minerais nickelifères de Mattagami Lake Mines. 2. Etudes de minerais nikelifères de Dumont Nickel Ltd.-Abitibi; Assad, J.R.; Géologie; Université Laval, Québec; Qué; (EMRG) La commission géologique du Canada (EMR); $1255

Contribution de la microsonde électronique à l'étude de l'altération minérale: géochimie du Cr, Mn, Fe, Mi, Co et Cu; Coy-Yll, R.; Génie Géologique; Ecole Polytechnique, Montréal; Qué; (NRC) Conseil National de recherches du Canada; $7000

Geochemical relations of silicate-oxide-sulfide assemblages; Macrae, N.D.; Geology; the University of Western Ontario, London; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $4000

1. Geological and geochronological studies in S. Norway. 2. Studies of U-Ag-Cu-Bi mineralization in the N.W.T. and of mineralization in N. Saskatchewan; Morton, R.D.; Geology; the University of Alberta, Edmonton; Alta; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7500
1971-1972

APPENDIX II

BASE METAL EXTRACTION AND REFINING

i) Copper smelting and refining (Pyrometallurgical processes)

Canada has seven copper smelters with a total rated production capacity of about 635 000 t/a of copper, 94% of which is in the form of impure blister copper. One company produces Ni/Cu matte which is further converted and refined in the company's overseas plant. In addition to these smelters, Canada has three electrolytic copper refineries capable of refining all of the blister copper produced into high purity cathode and wire bar copper.

There are no fewer than six copper smelting technologies presently in commercial use throughout the world, five of which are employed in Canada.

Reverb smelters

Reverb smelters use air and carbonaceous fuel to produce a low grade (40-45%) copper matte and a discard iron bearing slag. The matte is then converted to blister copper by air blowing in batch converters to remove additional sulphur and slag off most of the remaining iron. The converter slag, which contains appreciable copper, is recycled to the smelter to minimize copper losses.

Over 40% of Canada's copper smelter output is produced from three smelters utilizing this technology, which is the oldest technology presently in use for copper producton. Two of the smelters (HBMS and Noranda) are very old and do not have acid plants, mainly because the technology is not amendable to SO_2 capture and conversion to acid, and also because their location makes sulphuric acid marketing very difficult. SO_2 produced is therefore vented to atmosphere. The third smelter (Gaspé) utilizes a fluid bed roaster prior to smelting to drive off about 40% of the sulphur in a continuous high strength SO_2 gas stream, which is then captured and converted to acid in an on-site acid plant. Some of the converter gases are also sent to the acid plant bringing total SO_2 capture to about 60%. The remaining 40% of the sulphur in the concentrate feed to the smelter is vented to atmosphere as a dilute SO_2 gas stream.

There are two major problems with the conventional reverb smelt or roast/reberb smelt, batch conversion process. These are related to SO_2 emission control and energy efficiency considerations.

1. The reverb gas streams are too dilute and the converter gas streams are too variable for efficient capture and conversion of SO_2 to H_2SO_4 in an acid plant.
2. The smelting process is very energy inefficient compared to modern copper smelting processes.

A method (7) has been developed to modify the reverb furnaces to allow the use of oxygen enriched air, thus greatly reducing fuel requirements and simultaneously producing SO_2 concentrations high enough for efficient conversion in an acid plant. This technology is presently being tested on a commercial scale in the USA. In Canada however, because of the age and location of the smelters, it is likely that complete replacement of the reverbs with one of the modern copper smelting processes will be the most economical long term solution. Modification of the reverbs may however be an economical short term solution if SO_2 controls have to be implemented in a relatively short time frame.

Flash smelters

The Inco flash smelter(8,9) was first commercialized in 1952, and the present version has been in operation in Sudbury since 1968. A second Inco flash smelter is presently being built in the USA. In the Inco smelter, concentrate is injected with pure oxygen in a "flash chamber" to produce a 45% to 55% copper matte, a discard slag and a 70% to 80% SO_2 stream which is recovered and sold as liquid SO_2. Because the SO_2 concentrations are so high, gas handling and cleaning costs and SO_2 recovery costs are minimal. The process is also exceptionally energy efficient, requiring essentially no carbonaceous fuel, except for predrying the concentrate.

The Outokumpu flash smelter(8,10) is different in design from Inco's and uses preheated air or oxygen enriched air, rather than pure oxygen. Off-gases therefore contain lower concentration of SO_2 and sulfuric acid is produced as a byproduct rather than liquid SO_2. The smelter is not as energy efficient as Inco's and requires a high recovery and utilization of waste heat from the smelter off-gases to minimize total energy requirements. However cheap carbonaceous fuels (coal, heavy oils, etc.) can be used and fuel requirements are low at high oxygen enrichments. The Outokumpu smelter has the flexibility to produce copper mattes grading up to 70% copper. Although at these high matte grades the load in the converters is

considerably reduced, the smelter slag is too high in copper to be discarded and must be processed for copper recovery, either in an electric furnace, or by slow cooling, milling and flotation.

There are no Outokumpu flash smelters in use in Canada. However there are over 25 Outokumpu smelters throughout the world, which is a tribute to their aggressive marketing ability.

In both the Inco and Outokumpu processes, conversion of the matte to blister copper is still carried out batch-wise in conventional Pierce Smith converters. In both processes, the converter slag, which is high in copper, is usually recycled to the smelter. In the Inco process conversion results in 40% to 45% of the sulphur being released as a variable concentration, variable flow gas stream which is not readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. In the Outokumpu process this can be reduced to 25% to 30% of the sulphur if 60% to 70% copper mattes are produced. In either case however, because of the batch nature of the process, control of fugitive emissions and SO_2 concentrations and flows is difficult and costly. What is needed therefore is a continuous conversion process which would facilitate SO_2 recovery.

Top-blown rotary converter (TBRC)

Afton Mines in British Columbia is the site of the first commercial application of a TBRC (8,11,12) for copper extraction, in which both smelting and converting are carried out batch-wise in a single vessel. The process is ideally suited for Afton Mines concentrate, which is primarily native copper with a very low sulphur content. Pure oxygen, introduced through a water cooled lance, is used for smelting and converting. Oil or natural gas is introduced with the oxygen on an as required basis, with coal or coke also being used for slag cleaning and refining of the blister copper. The ability to adjust the TBRC rotational speed and lance angle facilitates high utilization of fuel and oxygen. The process therefore is reasonably energy efficient. However the completely batch nature of the process makes SO_2 recovery difficult and expensive. At Afton, SO_2 and volatile impurities are removed in a dual alkali scrubber. However this is an economical approach only because of the very high copper and very low sulphur content of the concentrate. Conventional copper concentrates would require SO_2 recovery by conversion to acid, fertilizer or other saleable products. Although the

tight-fitting water cooled hood minimizes air in-leakage and the use of oxygen can provide high SO_2 concentrations, the batch nature of the process makes it unlikely to be as attractive, particularly for SO_2 control, as alternate copper smelting technologies. The TBRC however is very flexible, and can be used for smelting, converting, slag cleaning or refining. It could therefore find other specialized applications for treating impure copper concentrates or residues(13) or for conventional converting of matte to blister copper.

Continuous smelters/converters

The Noranda continuous reactor (8,14,15) was commercialized in Canada in 1973. A second installation was put into operation in the USA in 1978. The reactor was originally designed to produce blister copper directly, using air or oxygen enriched air and any carbonaceous fuel to provide the required additional energy. Today, for various reasons, some related to the control of impurity levels in the blister copper, the reactor operation is controlled to produce 75% copper matte using tuyere injected oxygen enriched air (up to 35%). At this level of enrichment, carbonaceous fuel requirements are low, and a continuous high strength (more than 20%) SO_2 gas is produced which is readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. (At this present time however, because of acid marketing problems, Noranda does not have an acid plant at the smelter).

Reactor slag is too high in copper for discard. Slag therefore is treated by slow cooling, milling and flotation to recover the copper for recycle to the process. Overall copper recovery in the Noranda process is exceptionally high.

The high grade copper matte is converted to blister copper in conventional Pierce Smith converters, and the converter slag is recycled to the reactor. The batch conversion step again results in about 20% of the sulphur being released as a variable SO_2 gas stream, not readily amenable for recovery. Although operating the reactor to produce blister copper would eliminate the batch converting operation, the lower reactor throughput and the problem of controlling impurities such as arsenic, bismuth and antimony, appear to negate the advantages of having all of the sulphur removed in a continuous high concentration SO_2 stream. As is the case with the flash smelters what is really required is a separate conversion furnace which can be operated continuously.

Another version of the Noranda reactor called the "Q-S oxygen process"(8,16) has been proposed for producing blister copper and discard slag in a single reaction vessel. The process however requires a great deal more development to verify its technical feasibility and commercial viability.

The Mitsubishi continuous process(8,17,18) was commercialized on a relatively small scale in Japan in 1974. A larger commercial plant went into operation in Canada at Kidd Creek Mines in 1981. The process utilizes oxygen enriched air and is the only truly continuous commercial pyrometallurgical process for copper. Essentially all of the sulphur in the concentrate is released in a continuous stream of high concentration (up to 30%) SO_2 gas which is recovered in an acid plant. The process consists of three separate furnaces; a smelting furnace, an electric slag cleaning furnace and a converting furnace. Reaction gases are introduced through lances above the molten bath surface. Matte and slag are transported in heated launders between the furnaces. Matte grade is 65%. Slag is cleaned in the slag cleaning furnace prior to discard, while matte is converted to blister copper in the converting furnace. Converter slag is recycled to the smelting furnace. Gas streams from the smelting and converting furnace are combined for SO_2 recovery in the acid plant. Solid, liquid or gaseous carbonaceous fuels can be used in the process. Fuel consumption is lowest and throughput is highest at high oxygen enrichments.

Because the process is completely continuous it is excellent for efficient and inexpensive SO_2 recovery and therefore ideal from a environmental point of view. However, because of the heating requirements for the launders and the energy requirements for electric furnace slag cleaning the process is unlikely to be as energy efficient as the Inco or Noranda processes. There is also some doubt about the process' ability to handle high impurity concentrates. This is probably the major area of concern for any continuous copper smelting/converting process, and is the main reason that batch converting is still the preferred route.

The KHD cyclone smelting continuous converting process(8,19,20) was developed in Germany by Kloeckner-Humboldt-Deutz. The process utilizes two furnaces, a smelting/slag cleaning furnace and a converting/refining furnace. Smelting is carried out in a "cyclone smelter" using 100% oxygen.

Smelting rates are very high and the cyclone smelter has unusual flexibility with respect to throughput rates and matte grade, which can be varied from 70% to 110% of rated capacity and 46% to 80% Cu respectively.

Slag from the cyclone smelter, containing 6% to 8% copper, is treated in a continuous top-blowing (contop) furnace using a reducing gas to produce a discard slag containing less than 0.5% copper. Matte from the smelter flows through a closed launder to a continuous contop converting/refining furnace where oxygen and a reducing gas are used to produce a low sulphur low oxygen blister copper. Converter slag is re-cycled to the smelter. Off-gases from the smelting/slag cleaning furnace and from the converting/refining furnace are combined to produce a greater than 40% SO_2 gas stream which is recovered in an acid plant.

The KHD process has been demonstrated on a pilot plant (1000 kg/h) scale in Germany but to date no commercial plant has been built. The process has many potential advantages, including high smelting rates and potentially low capital costs, which could make it attractive for relatively small throughput plants. The completely continuous nature of the process and the use of 100% oxygen for both smelting and converting make it ideal for efficent and inexpensive SO_2 recovery. The high oxygen utilization efficiency should result in reasonably low energy requirements for the process, and the relatively high operating temperatures should facilitate the removal of undesirable impurities. The technology therefore may come closest to meeting the ideal requirements for a pyrometallurgical copper process. Development work, however is far from complete and a great deal more information is required, particularly on the operation of contop furnaces, to verify the technical and economic viability of the technology.

Copper refining

Regardless of the smelting/converting process used, blister copper is usually further treated in anode furnaces to reduce sulfur and oxygen levels prior to casting into anodes for electrorefining. In the refinery, well established electrorefining techniques, employing sulphuric acid/copper sulfate electrolyte, are used to produce high purity cathode copper, and to recover precious metals and other byproducts in the form of insoluble slimes, which are further refined to high purity products. Impurity build-up in the electrolyte is controlled by means of a bleed stream which is treated for copper and often nickel recovery prior to discard to waste.

There are very few problems associated with present day copper refining processes. Some impurities such as arsenic, antimony, bismuth, selenium and silver can pose problems if levels in the blister copper are too high(21). If may be desirable therefore to investigate ways of minimizing these problems in the refining process to facilitate the development and use of continuous smelting/converting processes which are not constrained by impurity levels in the concentrate and/or the blister copper.

ii) Hydrometallurgical processes for copper

There have been a great many hydrometallurgical processes proposed and investigated for copper extraction from concentrates over the past 25 years. However very few have been carried to a pilot plant scale and only one is presently operating on a commercial scale. This is the Clear process (22), developed and commercialized in the USA by Duval Corporation with a production capacity of 40 000 t/a. The process consists of a cupric/ferric chloride atmospheric leach to dissolve the copper and iron from the chalcopyrite concentrate, while converting sulfides primarily to insoluble elemental sulphur. Copper is recovered by electrowinning from cuprous/ferrous chloride media in diaphragm cells, where the leachant is regenerated in the anolyte. Electrowinning from cuprous/ferrous solution in this manner minimizes power requirements. Copper is recovered from the cell as coarse crystalline metallic particles. Iron is rejected as a discard hydroxide/jarosite by pressure oxidation with oxygen. Most impurities in the concentrate are also removed in the iron residues.

A similar process was developed and piloted in the USA by Cyprus Mines Ltd. (23). The main differences from the Clear process is that the copper is recovered by hydrogen reduction of selectively crystallized cuprous chloride and that the iron is rejected by oxydation at atmospheric pressure.

Other processes (24,25) similar to the Duval and Cyprus Mines processes have been piloted in France and Norway. There are two main problems associated with all of these chloride leach processes. On is the difficulty in recovering precious metals, particularly gold, and the other is the difficulty in producing wire bar grade copper directly in the process. The latter problem is primarily due to the silver content of the copper product. Most of the silver in the concentrate leaches with the copper and a

satisfactory method of separating and recovering the silver prior to copper recovery has not yet been developed.

Another somewhat different process for copper, called the S-C Copper Process, (8,26), has been developed and piloted in Canada by Sherritt Gordon and Cominco. The S-C process employs an oxidation reduction roast to facilitate selective sulfuric acid dissolution of the iron in the copper concentrate. After solid/liquid separation, iron is precipitated from the leach liquors as jarosite for disposal to tailings, while copper in the leach residue is extracted by pressure oxygen leaching and recovery by conventional electrowinning from purified sulfate electrolyte. Precious metals and molybdenum remain in the pressure leach residues. Approximately 50% of the sulphur in the concentrates is converted to SO_2 and H_2S, which are converted to sulphuric acid and elemental sulphur (claus plant) respectively. Most of the remaining 50% is converted directly to elemental sulphur in the pressure leach.

Although the S-C process produces wire bar grade cathode copper it is not as energy efficient as the "chloride leach" processes because electrowinning is carried out from cupric solutions and the anode reaction generates oxygen. Power requirements therefore can be up to four times those required for cuprous chloride electrolysis in diaphram cells. The capital costs of the process are also considerably higher because of the requirement for a roaster, an acid plant and a claus plant. Although precious metal and molybdenum recovery from the leach residues should be possible, the technology and asociated costs for so doing have not been adequately demonstrated.

Another hydrometallurgical process for copper which has been investigated on a pilot scale is the Arbiter process (27). This process utilizes low pressure ammonia-ammonium sulfate leaching to solubilize copper and convert iron to an insoluble hydroxide. Sulfides are converted to ammonium sulfate, which is boiled with lime to recycle ammonia to the process and remove sulfate as gypsum. Copper is recovered by solvent extraction, followed by electrowinning from acid sulfate media. Precious metals remain in the leach residues and are said to be recoverable by cyanidation.

The process has never been commercialized probably because the high cost reagent (ammonia), high energy requirements (electrowinning, lime boil)

and difficult solid-liquid separation have combined to make the process economically unattractive.

iii) Nickel smelting and refining (Pyrometallurgical Processes)

Canada has 3 nickel smelters and one hydrometallurgical nickel extraction plant, with a total rated capacity of about 265 000 t/a of nickel, approximately 80% of which is further processed in Canada to refined nickel products. One company produces Ni/Cu matte which is refined in the company's overseas plant.

There are a large number of nickel extraction/refining technologies, both pyrometallurgical and hydrometallurgical, in use or under development throughout the world. However, many of them are processes for recovering nickel and byproducts from laterite deposits or from sea nodules. Since neither of these are ever likely to become a source of nickel for Canadian smelters this paper will confine the description of the technologies to those that are applicable to sulfide nickel resources only, whether they occur naturally, or are produced artificially as a method of recovering the nickel in a concentrated form from the other ore sources.

It should also be noted that nickel extraction/refining technologies are more complex than those for copper, primarily because copper, cobalt and platinum group metals are nearly always associated with nickel sulfide deposits, and efficient recovery of these byproducts has a profound effect on process economics. The high iron to nickel ratio of nickel concentrates also puts more stringent demands on slag cleaning techniques to maximize the recovery of nickel and associated byproducts in the pyrometallurgical extraction processes.

Reverb Smelters

Inco's Copper Cliff Nickel Smelter (28,29) was built in 1930. Nickel concentrates containing about 10% Ni and 2% Cu are partially roasted with air in multi-hearth roasters and smelted in reverberatory furnaces to produce a 20% to 25% nickel/copper matte and a discard slag. The matte is further oxidized to remove iron and sulphur in conventional Pierce Smith converters. Converter slag is recycled to the reverb furnaces. Converter matte containing about 50% nickel, 26% copper and 0.5% iron is cast into moulds for slow cooling and separation by grinding, flotation and magnetic separation into a nickel sulfide concentrate (70% Ni, 1% Cu), a copper

sulfide concentrate (73% Cu, 5% Ni) and a metallics concentrate (65% Ni, 20% Cu) which also contains most of the precious and platinum group metals in the original concentrate. The copper concentrate is converted to blister copper in the copper smelter, and the copper converter slag, containing residual nickel is recycled to the nickel smelter. The nickel concentrate is roasted with oxygen enriched air in fluid bed roasters to produce nickel oxide for direct sale, or for further refining by a chlorination hydrogen reduction process to remove copper and produce a 95% nickel alloy. Nickel oxide is also sent to the Port Colborne refinery for reduction melting with coke, casting and electrorefining to produce high purity nickel, and copper and cobalt byproducts.

The metallics concentrate is processed in the Copper Cliff nickel refinery (built in 1973) to produce high purity nickel pellets and powder and ferro-nickel powder (29,30). The process involves melting and controlled desulfurizing with oxygen in a TBRC. The molten metallic product is water granulated and fed to a high pressure moderate temperature carbonylation process, where nickel preferentially reacts with CO to form nickel carbonyl which is liquified and further purified in a distillation column to remove iron. The nickel carbonyl is then thermally decomposed to form high purity nickel pellets and CO for recycle to the process. Iron in the metallic concentrate which has reacted to form iron carbonyl is recovered as ferro-nickel powder (29% Ni). Copper, residual nickel, cobalt and precious and platinum group metals are recovered from the carbonyl plant residues. The residues are oxygen pressure leached with spent electrolyte to selectively dissolve nickel and cobalt which are precipitated as carbonates for recovery and further refining to nickel and cobalt products. Copper is also recovered by oxygen pressure leaching, followed by purification and electrowinning to produce high purity cathode copper. Precious and platinum group metals, which remain in the leach residues are sent to Port Colborne and overseas for concentration and recovery.

As with copper reverb furnaces the major problem areas at the Copper Cliff nickel smelter are related to SO_2 emission control and energy efficiency. About 80% of the total sulphur is removed as SO_2; 40% from the roaster/reverb and 40% from the converters.

1. The multi-hearth roaster and reverb furnace gas streams are too dilute and the converter gas streams are too variable for efficient capture and conversion of SO^2 in an acid plant.

2. The smelting process is very energy inefficient and does not take advantage of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate to minimize carbonaceous fuel requirements

All of the SO_2 presently produced in the nickel smelter is vented to atmosphere. To maximize SO_2 capture and minimize energy requirements a continuous smelting/converting process is desirable which can utilize oxygen to produce a high grade nickel/copper matte and a discard slag, while simultaneously producing a high strength SO_2 gas stream and minimizing carbonaceous fuel requirements. Pre-roasting of the high iron nickel concentrates may be necessary for energy balance considerations. This can be carried out in fluid bed roasters which will also provide a continuous high strength SO_2 gas stream.

Electric Furnace Smelters

Inco's Thompson Nickel Smelter and Refinery (29,31,32) was built in 1961. The smelter utilizes fluid bed roasters to partially roast the concentrate which is then smelted in an electric furnace to produce a 25% nickel matte and a discard slag. The matte is further oxidized in conventional batch converters to remove iron and sulphur and produce a 75% to 80% nickel/copper matte which is cast into anodes for electrorefining. Converter slag is recycled to the electric furnace. In the refinery a chloride/sulfate electrolyte at controlled pH is used to produce high purity nickel cathodes from the impure matte anodes. A sophisticated electrolyte purification circuit is required to remove arsenic and iron, and recover cobalt and copper byproducts. In addition anode bags are required to contain the anode residues and prevent migration of impurities into the cathode compartment. The anode residues are treated for the recovery of precious metals and elemental sulphur, which is produced in the electroysis reaction. The undissolved portion of the anode is recycled, partly to the smelter, and partly to the refining process to maintain the required nickel levels in the electrolyte.

The Falconbridge Nickel Smelter (33) was completed in 1978 and is essentially a modern version of Inco's Thompson smelter; utilizing fluid bed roasters, electric furnaces and converters to produce a 75% Ni/Cu matte. The matte is shipped to Falconbridge's refinery in Norway, where it is treated to produce refined nickel, copper and cobalt products, and recover precious metal by-products. Two different refining processes are used. In the

Falconbridge Matte Leach Process (34), the matte is leached in strong HCl solution to selectively dissolve the nickel. The solution is then purified by solvent extraction techniques to remove iron and recover cobalt and any copper that is leached. High purity nickel chloride is crystallized from solution and converted to nickel oxide by oxy-hydrolysis in a fluid bed reactor. High purity nickel granules are produced by hydrogen reduction of the NiO in a rotary kiln. Most of the copper and all of the precious and platinum group metals remain in the leach residue. Copper is recovered by roasting and sulfuric acid leaching, followed by conventional purification and electrowining to produce cathode copper. Precious and platium group metals are recovered from the acid leach residues.

In the matte leach process most of the sulfides are converted to H_2S which can be readily recovered as elemental sulphur in a claus plant, or oxidized to SO_2 for recovery as acid or liquid SO_2. The process has additional flexibility in that nickel can be recovered by direct hydrogen reduction of the chloride or by electrowinning from chloride solution (35). To date however the process has been operated only on a relatively small scale (7000 t/a Ni).

The second refining process (36,37) utilizes two-stage controlled aqueous chlorine leaching to selectively leach the nickel and cobalt from the nickel/copper matte, while converting sulfides to elemental sulphur. Cobalt is separated by a solvent extraction technique and the nickel chloride solution is then purified to remove iron and lead. Nickel and cobalt are both recovered by electrowinning, and chlorine produced in the electrolysis cell is recycled to the process. All of the copper and precious metals, along with residual nickel remain in the leach residue. The copper is recovered by roasting, selective sulphuric acid leaching and electrowinning. Residual nickel and copper are removed by chlorine leaching and recycled to the process. Precious metals are treated in the PM refinery for separation and recovery.

In both the Inco and Falconbridge electric furnace smelting processes about 50% of the sulphur in the concentrate is removed in a continuous 10% SO_2 gas stream from the fluid bed roasters. Falconbridge recovers this SO_2 in an acid plant. At Inco Thompson, the SO_2 is vented to atmosphere because of acid marketing constraints related to the smelter's location. In both processes, electric furnace gases are too dilute and converter gases are too variable for efficient conversion to acid. These are

therefore vented to atmosphere and amount to about 10% and 25% respectively of the original sulphur in the concentrate. About 12% of the original sulphur remains with the matte product, while the remaining relatively small percentage is fixed in the discard slag.

Inco has developed and is presently piloting a new roast/electric smelt process which is capable of removing 80% of the total sulphur in the concentrate in a 10% SO_2 gas stream from the fluid bed roasters. The electric furnace is operated under reducing conditions to produce a high grade (about 50%) nickel/copper matte and a discard slag. Conventional batch converting if then used to produce a final matte grade of 75% to 80%. Converter slag is recycled to the electric furnace. There may still be problems on a commercial scale related to metal losses in the slag at the matte grades required. The process however has the potential to efficiently recover 80% of the total sulphur as acid, while limiting SO_2 emissions to about 10% of the sulphur. The latter is divided equally between electric furnace gases and converter gases which are too dilute and too variable respectively for efficient conversion to acid.

In general the electric furnace smelting processes facilitate the recovery of 50 to 80% of the sulphur in the concentrate in a high strength SO_2 gas suitable for conversion to acid. The processes however are energy intensive, in that they do not make efficient use of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate to minimize total energy requirements. Nevertheless the new Inco process has the potential to limit SO_2 emissions to 10% or less of the total sulphur in the concentrate while facilitating the recovery of 80% of the sulphur as acid from the roaster off-gases. In addition most of the sulphur in the final Ni/Cu matte (about 10% of total S) can also be efficiently recovered in the refining process, either as elemental sulphur if the matte electrorefining process or the matte leach process is used, or as acid if the matte separation process followed by fluid bed roasting to nickel oxide is used. Since most of the energy used in the smelting process is electrical, with very little requirement for high cost carbonaceous fuels, the new Inco process may be a reasonable compromise between environmental and energy considerations.

Flash Smelters

Outokumpu flash smelters (29,38) are used for processing nickel concentrates in Norway, Australia and Botswana, and a new plant is being built in the USSR. In the process, pre-dried concentrate and flux are flash smelted with preheated air or oxygen enriched air to produce a 45% to 60% Ni/Cu matte, and a 10% or greater SO_2 gas which is readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. The matte is further oxidized in conventional converters to produce a final matte grade of 75% to 85% which is suitable for refining. Smelter and converter slag are cleaned in electric furnaces with the addition of coke and sulfidizing agent (usually pyrite) to recover nickel/copper values prior to discard.

A sulphuric acid leach process followed by purification and electrowinning is used to recover refined nickel, copper and cobalt from the matte. Precious metals are recovered from the leach residues.

Using oxygen enriched air, the Outokumpu flash smelter removes up to 80% of the sulphur in the concentrate as a continuous high strength SO_2 gas readily amenable for recovery in an acid plant. The process makes reasonably efficient use of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate, and at high oxygen enrichments, limits external energy requirements primarily to that required for electric furnace slag cleaning and for pre-drying the concentrate.

Inco's flash smelter (39) has also been tested on an experimental basis on nickel concentrates. As with copper, the Inco flash smelter using 100% oxygen can operate autogenously and produce a 25% to 45% Ni/Cu matte and a discard slag. Up to 60% of the sulphur in the concentrate can be removed in a continuous very high concentration (greater than 70%) SO_2 gas, which can be sold as liquid SO_2 or readily converted to acid. The very high SO_2 concentration and high oxygen utilization can also facilitate conversion to elemental sulphur (40), if this option should prove to be desirable due to sulphuric acid marketing problems.

In both the Inco and Outokumpu processes the flash smelter matte is further processed in batch converters to remove iron and sulphur and produce the required matte grade for refining. In the Inco process the converter slag can be recycled to the flash smelter, so no electric furnace is required for slag cleaning. However, because of the lower matte grade, about 30% of the total sulphur in the concentrate will be removed during batch conversion compared to about 10% in the Outokumpu process. The Inco process therefore,

although more energy efficient, is not as desirable for SO_2 emission control. There is also some doubt that high recoveries of nickel and copper can be maintained without slag cleaning, particularly at the matte grades required to ensure 60% sulphur removal in the smelter. Again, what is required is a continuous conversion process to facilitate SO_2 capture from the converting step, and thus allow greater flexibility in the smelter operation.

Continuous Smelters/Converters

There are no continuous smelting/converting processes for nickel presently operating on a commercial scale. A number of processes have been proposed in the past and at least one, the WORCRA process (41), has been investigated on a pilot scale. Over the past three years Inco has been investigating, under a government shared cost program, a continuous smelting/converting/slag cleaning process for nickel on a pilot scale at Port Colborne. The investigation is continuing and no report for publication has been released as yet.

(iv) Hydrometallurgical Processes for Nickel

Sherritt Gordon Ammonia Leach Process (29,42)

Although many hydrometallurgical proceses have been investigated for extracting nickel from sulfide concentrates only the Sherritt Gordon process is presently in commercial production, with plants operating in Canada since 1954 and in Australia since 1971 (43). In the Sherritt process nickel sulfide concentrate is oxygen (air) pressure leached in an ammoniacal solution at 0.8MPa (115 psig) and 93°C (200°F) in a continuous two-stage process to dissolve nickel, copper and cobalt, convert iron to an insoluble hydrated oxide and convert sulfide sulphur to ammonium sulfate. Byproduct copper is recovered as copper sulfide from the leach solution by boiling to remove ammonia, followed by H_2S precipitation. The nickel bearing solution is then further oxidized at much higher temperatures and pressures to convert thiosalts to sulfates. Nickel is recovered as a metallic powder by pressure hydrogen reduction in batch autoclaves. Under the conditions used cobalt remains in solution and is recovered later, along with residual nickel by H_2S precipitation. The metal free solution is then evaporated to crystallize ammonium sulfate which is sold as fertilizer. The economics of the process are greatly affected by the marketability of this fertilizer byproduct.

The Sherritt process is ideal for producing controlled particle size nickel powders for powder metallurgy applications which can command a premium price. It can also operate economically at much lower throughputs (15 000 to 30 000 t/y Ni) than conventional nickel smelters. The process also recovers copper and cobalt byproducts, although cobalt recovery is rather poor. Precious metals remain in the leach residues and are difficult to recover. For this reason it is preferable that the concentrates used in the process be relatively free of precious and platinum group metals. A modified version of the Sherritt process (44), in commercial operation in South Africa, does recover platinum group metals from the leach residues. However the feed to the process is a high grade (low iron) nickel/coper matte which facilitates recovery due to the very high concentration of the precious metals in the leach residues.

Although the Sherritt process has no environmental constraints related to SO_2 emissions, it does require a high cost reagent (ammonia) and is dependent upon having adequate markets for the ammonium sulfate fertilizer byproduct. Ammonium sulfate is not an ideal high demand, high value fertilizer. This coupled with the difficulty in recovering precious and platinum group metals, makes it unlikely that the process can be used extensively in Canada to resolve the SO_2 problem, particularly in inland locations where transportation costs seriously affect the fertilizer byproduct marketability.

Sumitomo Oxygen Pressure Leach Process (45)

The Sumitomo process went into commercial production in 1978 on relatively small scale (2500 t/y Ni) to recover nickel, cobalt and copper from a 25% Ni matte produced from a laterite ore by a sulfidization technique. The matte although relatively low in nickel and copper compared to high grade converter mattes, contains nearly 12% cobalt and less than 2% iron. The feed to the process therefore contains nearly four times as much Ni/Co/Cu values as normal nickel concentrates from sulfide ores and the iron content is less than 10% of that normally associated with conventional nickel concentrates. The process therefore is not directly applicable to conventional high iron nickel sulfide concentrates.

The process consists of oxygen (air) pressure leaching which converts the sulfides in the matte to metal sulfates. This is followed by

purification to remove iron and other impurities and recover copper as sulfide by H_2S precipitation. The nickel and cobalt sulfates in solution are converted to chlorides and separated by solvent extraction techniques. High purity nickel and cobalt are then recovered by electrowinning in chloride electrolysis cells. Chlorine from electrolysis is recycled to the process. Sulfates are initially converted to ammonium sulfate during the first solvent extraction step, and are eventually disposed of as gypsum produced by lime treatment of the ammonium salt to recovery and recycle ammonia.

Freeport Nickel Pressure Leach Process (29)

A second commercial hydrometallurgical plant for nickel using a very similar oxygen pressure leach process, was built and operated in the USA in 1960. The feed to the plant was also a very low iron Ni/Co matte (55% Ni) produced from a Cuban laterite ore. Sulfides in the matte were converted to metal sulfates and after purification nickel was recovered by hydrogen pressure reduction from ammonium sulphate solution, similar to the Sherritt process. Cobalt and ammonium sulfate byproducts were also recovered. The plant is no longer in operation.

Both the Sumitomo and Freeport Nickel processes are really refining processes for nickel mattes (rather than extraction processes for nickel concentrates), somewhat similar to the Falconbridge chloride leach processes for high grade mattes described earlier (34,35,36,37). The processes have not been proven on conventional high iron nickel sulfide concentrates, and are unlikely to be viable because of the problems asociated with iron removal and precious metal recovery. The acid sulfate processes (Sumitomo and Freeport) are particularly undesirable because sulfides are converted to sulfates rather than elemental sulphur in the extraction step, and no saleable byproduct is produced.

Inco Process for Pyrrhotite (28,29)

Inco's plant for producing nickel, iron ore and sulphuric acid from pyrrhotite was built in 1955. Pyrrhotite contains less than 1% nickel, so that nickel production is only a minor part, (less than 1% by weight) of the total plant output. In the process fluid bed roasters are used to convert most of the sulfides to SO_2 which is recovered in an acid plant. The roaster calcine, which is primarily hematite with nickel present as both ferrite and sulfide is selectively reduced with natural gas in rotary kilns to convert

the nickel to a leachable form and the hematite to magnetite. The product is then countercurrently leached in ammonia, with CO_2 addition from the reduction kiln off gases. Magnetic separators are used for solid/liquid separation and the leached magnetite is pelletized and sintered on a travelling grate to produce 67% Fe pellets.

The nickel bearing solution is treated to recover copper and cobalt, and ammonia is boiled off for recycle to the process. Nickel is precipitated as carbonate and decomposed to produce a reactive nickel oxide. Nickel recovery in the process is about 80%.

The main problem with the process has been the inability to obtain adequate markets and netbacks for the acid and iron ore products. Nickel recovery is also not very good, and has led to some marketing problems for the iron ore due to the residual nickel content.

Other Processes for Pyrrhotite

In 1972, Falconbridge built a processing plant (46,47) to recover ferronickel and sulphur from pyrrhotite containing 1.5% nickel. In the process the pyrrhotite is roasted, pelletized and reduced with coal/coke and natural gas in a very large kiln to produce a ferronickel product which is at least 95% metallized. The roaster gases are piped to an adjoining Allied Chemical Plant where SO_2 is reduced to elemental sulphur using natural gas as the reductant.

The reduction kiln never achieved design capacity and the plant was shut down after less than one year's operation, partly because of the kiln problem, but also because reduction of SO_2 to sulphur with natural gas had become uneconomic.

A sulfation roast process has also been used for pyrrhotite by Falconbridge (29) and is presently still being used by Outokumpu (48) in Finland. Controlled temperature roasting is used to convert iron sulfides to hematite and SO_2, while nickel and other non-ferrous metals are converted to soluble sulfates which are readily leached from the calcine. Nickel, copper and cobalt are recovered from the sulfate leach liquor by H_2S precipitation. SO_2 is converted to acid and the iron oxide is sold for steel production.

As with the other roasting processes for pyrrhotite the economics are mainly dependent on having adequate nearby markets for acid and iron ore.

There have been a large number of hydrometallurgical processes proposed for treating pyrrhotite. A comprehensive review of the possible process alternatives was published in 1975 (49). Although most of the processes are technically feasible the most economically attractive from a Canadian point of view are those that efficiently convert sulfide to elemental sulphur while minimizing problems associated with the removal of iron from solution and conversion to nematite. The process should also be capable of obtaining high recoveries of the nickel and asociated byproducts. The most promising processes are those that are carried out in chloride media, to facilitate high conversion of sulfides to sulphur at atmospheric pressure. The iron chlorides formed can then be selectively oxidized to hematite while nickel and other non-ferrous metals remain as chlorides and can be recovered by leaching. The sulfide and chloride oxidation steps can be carried out either hydrometallurgically (50,51) (aqueous media) or pryometallurgically (52) (non-aqueous media). The latter is particularly attractive because the process can be autogeneous and iron and sulfides are oxidized at moderately high temperatures in a dry system, thus minimizing solids/liquid separation problems for iron and sulphur recovery.

(v) Zinc Smelting and Refining

Canada has four zinc smelter/refineries with a total production capacity of about 665 000 t/y of zinc. All four use the roast-leach-electrowin (RLE) process and three have acid plants for SO_2 emission control. One producer (HBMS) does not have an acid plant, primarily because SO_2 gases are too dilute, but also because of H_2SO_4 marketing problems resulting from their isolated location.

Two producers (Noranda and Kidd Creek) have jarosite circuits for iron removal, while Cominco and HBMS recycle zinc plant leach residues to the lead smelter and copper smelter respectively to recover residual zinc, plus lead, copper and precious metals originally present in the zinc concentrates.

One producer (Cominco) also has the first commercial hydrometallurgical zinc plant in the world, with a capacity of 30 000 t/y Zn (53). A second hydrometallurgical zinc plant, using the same technology is presently being built in Ontario for Kidd Creek Mines (54).

Processes for Conventional Zinc Concentrates

Roast-Leach Electrowin (RLE) Process (55,56,57,58)

The RLE process is used extensively throughout the world. By far the major part of present day world zinc production comes from this process. The process uses autogeneous fluid bed roasting at high temperatures to convert all of the sulphur in the concentrates to SO_2, which is removed as a continuous high strength (10%) gas readily amenable to recovery in an acid plant. The roaster calcine is leached in sulphuric acid (spent electrolyte), usually in two stages to ensure recovery of zinc from ferrite which is formed during the roasting step. The second stage leach is carried out at high temperature and high acid concentration to decompose the ferrite. Iron and minor impurities are removed by jarosite precipitation (59,60,61) and the leach liquor is recycled to the first stage dilute acid leach. First stage leach solution is pH adjusted for final iron removal and further purified by cementation techniques to recover cadmium and copper. High purity cathode zinc is produced by electrolysis of zinc sulfate solution. In some cases leach residues are processed in lead or copper smelters to recover lead, copper and silver.

Sheritt Gordon Pressure Sulphuric Acid (PSA) Process (62,63)

The PSA process utilizes oxygen pressure leaching in sulphuric acid (spent electrolyte) at 150°C to solubilize zinc and convert metal sulfides to insoluble elemental sulphur. Pyrite is not significantly attacked in the process. The leach liquors are pH adjusted to remove iron, arsenic and other imurities, and are further purified by conventional cementation techniques to recover cadmium and copper. High purity cathode zinc is produced by electrolysis of zinc sulfate solution. Spent electrolyte is treated to control chloride levels prior to recycling to leaching. Elemental sulphur can be recovered from the leach residues. In some cases the residues can be further processed in lead or copper smelters to recover lead, copper and silver values.

For high grade (more than 50%) zinc concentrates the RLE and PSA processes have no serious problems. Zinc recoveries are at least 95%, and the choice of processes provides industry with the flexibility to produce either acid or elemental sulphur as a saleable byproduct.

The PSA process can also handle low grade zinc concentrates. However neither process can efficiently recover high value lead, copper and silver byproducts from the leach residues. Silver is particularly troublesome, because many zinc concentrates contain significant silver values which are difficult to recover economically from the high iron leach residues.

Processes for Bulk Concentrates

The Imperial Smelting Process (ISP) (64)

The ISP is the only process presently in commercial use which can treat bulk concentrates containing primarily zinc and lead, with minor amounts of copper and precious metals. There are thirteen ISP plants throughout the world. One was built in Canada, but did not prove to be viable on bulk concentrates and was eventually converted to a lead smelter. All of the ISP plants operate by blending a specific ratio of relatively high grade zinc and lead concentrates to provide the major portion of the feed to the process. Significant amounts of "true" bulk concentrate can then be blended in and recovered in the process.

The blended concentrates are mixed with recycle sinter and roasted with air in an up-draft sintering machine to convert most of the sulfides to SO_2 and produce a zinc/lead/iron oxide sinter, which is crushed, screened and fed to a blast furnace with sufficient coke and flux to produce a molten lead bullion and molten discard slag which are both tapped off at the bottom of the furnace. Zinc leaves the furnace as a vapour and is condensed in a splash condenser by dissolving in a recycle stream of hot molten lead. The molten lead is cooled in launders causing zinc to crystallize out of solution and float on the surface of the molten lead. The zinc is skimmed off, while the cooled lead is recycled to the condenser. Most of the zinc produced is sold as "prime western" grade containing up to 1.5% lead. High grade zinc can however be produced by a distillation process in reflux columns. Zinc and cadmium are distilled off and recovered separately, while molten lead is tapped from the column discharge. Most ISP plants have a zinc refining facility to meet the demand for high grade zinc.

SO_2 from the sintering furnace is recovered in an acid plant and the lead bullion from the blast furnace is usually electrolytically refined to produce a high purity product and recover precious metals from the anode slimes. Copper in the lead bullion is recovered as a lead/copper dross.

The present day ISP plants obtain reasonable recoveries (about 95%) of zinc, lead and precious metals, and, by utilizing waste heat sources to preheat blast furnace air and coke, are reasonably energy efficient. However the high temperature operation, the sinter size control required for the blast furnace, the large recycle of sinter and lead required for temperature control in the sintering machine and zinc condenser respectively, and the resultant materials handling and fugitive emission control problems do not make the process attractive for meeting present and future environmental and health and safety regulations, particularly for lead and possibly for arsenic. In addition copper and cadmium recoveries in the process are generally poor, and high purity zinc cannot be produced without the additional high temperature refining step. The process also does not have the flexibility to treat a wide range of bulk concentrates, with different grades and zinc/lead ratios. High levels of undesirable impurities can also be a problem, for both emission control and zinc purity.

Emerging Technologies for Bulk Concentrates

The N.B. Research and Productivity Council's (RPC) sulfation roast leach (SRL) process (65) and Sherritt Gordon's modified pressure sulphuric acid (MPSA) process (66) are two very promising Canadian developed technologies for bulk concentrates. Both produce electrolytic zinc and high grade lead/silver and copper byproducts suitable for further processing in lead and copper smelters. Both can operate on a wide range of bulk concentrate grades, metal ratios and impurity levels and have no significant emission control problems.

In the SRL process, bulk concentrate is roasted at a controlled temperature to convert iron sulfides to hematite and SO_2, and non-ferrous sulfides to sulfates. The SO_2 is recovered in an acid plant and the roaster calcine is leached in spent electrolyte in a two stage process to dissolve all the zinc and copper. Neutral leach liquor from the first stage leach is purified by conventional methods, copper and cadmium are recovered, and cathode zinc is produced by conventional electrowinning. However, due to sulfate build-up in the process the spent electrolyte cannot be completely recycled and a lime neutralization circuit is necessary to maintain the sulfate balance and recycle zinc. The high acid leach liquor from the second

stage leach, which contains high concentrations of iron and zinc from ferrite dissolution, is recycled to the roaster for temperature control and to decompose the iron sulphate to hematite and SO_2.

All of the lead is converted to lead sulfate and remains in the leach residues along with the precious metals. Lead and some of the silver is recovered by a brine leach, lime precipitation technique to produce a high grade (chloride free) calcium plumbate which is ideal feed to a lead smelter. The remaining silver is recovered either by a flotation technique, or by cyanidation, which will also recover gold if gold is present in the concentrate.

In the MPSA process the bulk concentrate is oxygen pressure leached in two stages to dissolve zinc and copper and convert sulfides to insoluble elemental sulphur. Pyrite is not significantly attacked. The leach liquor is purified by conventional methods, copper and cadmium are recovered and cathode zinc is produced by conventional electrowinning.

Most of the lead is converted to lead jarosite and remains in the leach residues along with the precious metals, pyrite and elemental sulphur. The pyrite and elemental sulphur are removed by floatation and the lead jarosite is upgraded to lead sulfate by aqueous treatment with SO_2 at about boiling temperatures. The lead sulfate and retained precious metals are fed to a lead smelter for conversion and recovery.

Both the SRL and MPSA processes can achieve very high (greater than 96%) zinc and lead recoveries from bulk concentrates. The SRL process can also achieve very high (97%) recovery of copper, regardless of the copper content of the bulk concentrate, and electrolytic grade copper can be recovered if economics so warrant. Copper recovery in the MPSA process can be as high as 90% but requires an interstage precipitation if copper levels in the bulk concentrate exceed 1%. Precious metals recovery requires improvement for both processes, but particularly for the MPSA where losses up to 25% can occur during the flotation separation of the sulfides from the leach residues. More efficient and economical methods are still required for recovering the lead and precious metals from both the SRL and MPSA process leach residues. The recovery of sulphur from the MPSA process residues should also be investigated to improve the economics.

A number of aqueous chloride leach processes (25,67,68,69) have been proposed for bulk concentrates. The processes use chlorine, ferric or cupric chloride at atmospheric pressure to solubilize non-ferrous metals and convert sulfides to elemental sulphur. Pyrite is not significantly attacked in the process. Iron and arsenic can be rejected by oxy-hydrolysis, and lead can be recovered by crystallization of lead chloride, followed by fused salt electrolysis. Zinc and copper can both be recovered by aqueous electrolysis from chloride media, or from sulfate media if the separation/purification step used is amenable to convertion of chloride to sulfate. Silver is also dissolved in the chloride leach and can be recovered by cementation. Most of the gold however remains in the leach residues.

A pyrometallurgical chlorination/oxidation process (70) has also been proposed for bulk concentrates. In the process dry concentrate is "flash smelted" with chlorine in a continuous chlorination reactor to produce molten metal chlorides and elemental sulphur which is removed as a gas and condensed. The metal chlorides flow to a deferrination reactor where more chlorine is introduced to remove iron as gaseous ferric chloride. The remaining non-ferrous metal chlorides are granulated in air or oxygen to oxidize any remaining iron to hematite, prior to dissolution in slightly acid chloride media. Lead chloride is selectively crystallized for recovery by fused salt electrolysis. Zinc and copper chlorides are separated and purified by cementation or solvent extraction techniques. Zinc is recovered by aqueous electrowinning in chloride media, while copper is recovered either by cementation or electrolysis. Precious metals are also recovered by cementation. The ferric chloride is reacted with oxygen in a dechlorination reactor to produce a high purity hematite and chlorine for recycle to the process. Chlorine produced in the electrolysis cells also recycles to the process.

The process fully utilizes the sulphur energy in the concentrate and can convert essentially all of the sulfides in the concentrate to elemental sulphur, the non-ferrous metals to chlorides and the iron to hematite without requiring any external source of energy. The process also has the potential to recover all of the valuable components in the concentrate, including sulphur and iron as high value saleable products. However, much more laboratory and pilot scale research and development is required to determine

the department of impurities, optimize reactor design and conditions for the pyrometallurgical steps in the process, and determine the most efficient solution purification and metal and sulphur recovery techniques.

(vi) Lead Smelting and Refining (Pyrometallurgical Processes)

Canada has two lead smelter/refineries with a total production capacity of aobut 245 000 t/y of lead. Both smelters use the sinter/blast furnace process and both recover SO_2 from the sintering furnace in an acid plant. One plant (Cominco) uses electrolytic refining to produce a high purity lead product and recover valuable byproducts. The other (BMS) utilizes thermal refining techniques, and also recovers valuable byproducts. Cominco also have a slag fuming plant to recover zinc from the lead furnace slag.

Sinter/Blast Furnace Process (71)

Nearly all of the world's present primary lead production is produced using the sinter blast furnace process. In the process the concentrates, mixed with recycle sinter, are roasted in a travelling grate sintering furnace to produce a lead/iron oxide sinter, which is crushed, screened and fed to a blast furnace. Sufficient coke and flux are added to produce a molten lead bullion and a molten discard slag. SO_2 from the sintering furnace is recovered in an acid plant. The lead bullion is refined either electrolytically or thermally to produce high purity lead and precious metal and other byproducts.

The process suffers mainly from the high temperature materials handling and fugitive emission problems which make it very difficult to meet present and future in-plant emission regulations. The process also is not very energy efficient, since it does not make good use of the sulphur fuel in the concentrate.

Emerging Pyrometallurgical Processes for Lead

A number of direct smelting processes (72) have been porposed for lead concentrates over the past few years and at least three processes (73,74,75) have been developed on a demonstration plant scale. All employ the concept of oxygen smelting, followed by slag cleaning to produce a lead bullion and discard slag. The processes therefore make good use of the

sulphur fuel in the concentrate and are designed to minimize materials handling, off-gas volumes and fugitive emissions. Two of the processes, (Outokumpu (74) and KIVCET (73)) use flash furnaces for smelting and electric furnaces for slag cleaning. Both use pure oxygen and produce very high SO_2 concentrations in the smelter gases. In the Outokumpu process the flash smelter and electric furnace are separated, while in the KIVCET process they are combined into a single unit. Both processes use coal or coke for reduction in the electric furnace.

In the QSL Process (75) smelting and slag cleaning are carried out in a single "Noranda type" reactor. The concentrate is first pelletized for feeding to the reactor, pure oxygen is injected through tuyeres in the smelting zone, and pulverized coal is injected in the slag cleaning zone. Combined off-gases from the reacter contain 15% SO_2.

All these processes are capable of obtaining high recoveries of lead (98%) and associated byproducts, and the KIVCET process also claims to recover zinc. Process gases are readily amenable to SO_2 recovery in an acid plant, and the SO_2 concentration in the Outokumpu and KIVCET processes is high enough to also produce liquid SO_2.

The ability of the processes to handle lead bearing residues and low grade or high impurity concentrates has not yet been adequately demonstrated. A better understanding of the factors controlling impurity and by-product distribution in the molten slag-metal systems is required. The downstream effect on refining processes, particularly electrolytic, may also pose problems which will require investigation of the refining technologies to minimize impurity related problems and maximize byproduct recovery while meeting in-plant emission regulations.

(vii) Hydrometallurgical Processes for Lead

One of the most attractive hydrometallurgical processes for lead is the ferric chloride leach process (76,77,78). Although there are a number of variations to the process it essentially consists of selective ferric chloride leaching to solubilize lead as chloride and convert sulfides to elemental sulphur. The lead chloride is then selectively crystallized and lead is recovered by aqueous or fused salt electrolysis in an electrolytic cell. Chlorine from the electrolysis is recycled to regenerate ferric chloride.

The process has been demonstrated on a small scale (79,80) but considerably more R&D is required to develop a commercial aqueous or fused salt cell, and to develop viable methods for controlling impurities and recovering byproducts.

IRON AND STEEL

IRON AND STEEL

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

Among the iron ore producing countries in the world, Canada is a major producer, supplying 50 Mt of usable ore, valued at approximately 1800 million dollars in 1980. This represented about 6% of the total world production. Within the Canadian metals industry, iron ore production is a close second to copper and accounts for 19% of total value of metals produced compared to 20% for copper. The major producing areas in the country are located in the following provinces (1):

Province	Tonnes x1000, natural weight*
Quebec-Labrador	42 576
Ontario	6 550
British Columbia	635
	49 761

As can readily be seen, the Quebec-Labrador area is the principal producing centre with about 85% of the Canadian output (1). The Canadian industry is largely export oriented and foreign owned with the US controling 80% of production (1).

Although the iron and steel industry is still essentially a supplier of domestic needs, there has been a recent shift from being a small net importer of steel to a small exporter. Annual crude steel production totalled about 16 Mt in 1980 with about 3 Mt being exported. The Canadian steel industry is largely Canadian owned comprising about two dozen plants. Five companies (Sysco, Dofasco, Stelco, Algoma and Sidbec-Dosco) are iron and steel producers. These plants are mainly located along the Great Lakes/St. Lawrence Seaway System (2).

An important requirement of the steel industry is the ready availability of ferroalloys which are additives used for modifying the properties of steel. Ferroalloys of chromium, manganese and silicon are produced world wide in large tonnages, while ferroalloys of columbium, (niobium), vanadium, molybdenum and tungsten are produced in relatively small volumes.

Canada is dependent on imports to meet all its chromium, manganese and vanadium requirements. Although Canada has the capabilities of producing

---

* Based on 1980 figures.

all of its ferroalloy needs, the economics of doing so are not favorable at this time. The industry is mostly foreign controlled and relies upon domestic supply in a branch-plant type arrangement. The total value of Canadian consumption in 1980 was approximately $135 million (3).

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Most iron ore cannot be used directly as mined. The demands of modern iron-making dictate that the iron ore feed material contain low amounts of gangue. Typically good grades contain 65% iron and 5% gangue. Most Canadian ores run from 23 to 40% Fe and as a result must be upgraded before they can be used for ironmaking.

During the milling of the ore, large quantities of water are added. The amount of water added varies with the method of upgrading, but a typical gravity-magnetic separation operation may use about 1.25 t of raw water for every ton of ore processed to the concentrate stage (5). Additional water is used during pelletizing; this amount can vary drastically depending upon whether the concentrate is reground for pelletizing and if the regrinding is wet or dry. When dealing with dry concentrate in pelletizing, water is added to maintain the moisture content between 7-9%. Much of the water used can be reclaimed and recycled. Typically about 75% of the water for the milling of hematite, up to 95% in some magnetite operations (6) can be reclaimed.

All iron ore produced in Canada is mined from open pits except that produced as a byproduct of a different operation. (The Iron Ore Recovery Plant built by Inco Ltd., at Copper Cliff in 1955, has a capacity of over 2000 tonnes of iron pellets per day.) The pit is divided into mineable blocks which are blasted and trucked to a crusher. The ore is then blended, crushed and ground to a size suitable for the upgrading techniques to be used: gravity processes, magnetic separation techniques and flotation or a combination of them.

After crushing, the ore is usually ground to liberate the iron mineral from the gangue material. The sizes to which the ore is ground may range from minus 2 mm for some gravity separation techniques down to 44 μm for flotation. Magnetic cobbing is often done during the crushing stage at much larger sizes (4).

The wet iron concentrate from the last stage of concentration is then filtered to remove water. The filter cake is usually unsuitable for direct use in iron-making because its fine size would lead to high dust losses and

plugging during further processing. Therefore the fine particles are agglomerated into larger sizes. Two approaches are used to accomplish this. The fine particles can be rolled into balls of about 8 mm diameter which are hardened by heating to 1300°C to enable them to withstand further handling, shipping and processing. Alternatively the particles are mixed with fine coke, limestone and steel-plant wastes and heated to form fused lumps called sinter.

The iron blast furnace is charged with lump iron ore, pellets and/or sinter along with coke and limestone. An upward blast of preheated air partially burns the coke and generates temperatures in excess of 1500°C. Oxygen is removed from the iron ore by the partially combusted gases to produce molten elemental iron. The liquid iron forms a pool at the bottom of the furnace. The gangue combines with the limestone to form a liquid slag which floats on top of the liquid iron because of its much lower specific gravity. The iron (pig iron) and slag are tapped separately from the bottom of the furnace.

Liquid iron is then refined to steel by removing residual amounts of silicon and carbon with oxygen. Other deleterious elements such as phosphorous and sulphur must also be removed. Steel producton is normally carried out in the Basic Oxygen Furnace (B.O.F.), but alternatively the electric arc furnace and open hearth furnace may be used. In the final stage of steel production, relatively small amounts of alloying elements, as ferroalloys, are added to provide the required properties of the various grades of steel. The molten steel is then cast into ingots or continuously cast slabs, blooms, or billets (steel shapes of different dimensions)(7).

Steel can also be produced in electric arc furnaces fed with steel scrap and/or sponge iron. Sponge iron is iron ore which has been reduced directly to metallic iron without melting using a variety of techniques (8). One advantage of direct reduction is that coke is not required however none of the commercial processes can match the efficiency of a blast furnace. There are approximately eight different commercial direct reduction processes worldwide (9) but in Canada only the Midrex process is now used, at Contrecoeur, Quebec. Steel is produced by melting sponge iron and/or steel scrap in the electric arc furnace, and then refining the molten metal to

remove deleterious elements using oxygen, adding alloys and casting as in B.O.F. steel making.

At the Griffth Mine of Stelco, a direct reduction kiln process known as the SL/RN was installed several years ago but has operated only occasionally when the market demand and price were high.

Ferroalloys provide an inexpensive source of alloying elements in a form that is easily handled and dissolves readily in molten steel. The tonnage ferroalloys i.e., silicon, manganese and chromium are made by smelting the oxide minerals of these elements with coke, limestone and iron ore in an electric arc furnace. The oxides are reduced to metal and combine with the iron to form a relatively low melting ferroalloy. Traditionally high grade raw materials have been found necessary to meet the quality and price demands of the industry. Metals such as molybdenum, vanadium, tungsten and columbium (niobium) are produced by metallothermic reduction in unfired vessels using strong reducing agents such as aluminum and silicon. For all ferroalloys the molten product is allowed to solidify, broken into lumps smaller than 20 cm and sold in bulk or conveniently sized packages.

3. PROBLEMS

Health and Safety

The iron ore industry enjoys a better than average safety record compared with other mining and heavy industries. This may be attributed to their aggresive safety campaigns and the fact that the mining is predominately open-pit. The latest figures available (1) indicate there are one-third less accidents occurring in the open pit mines as opposed to shaft or underground mining. It has just recently been realized that much of the gangue material is made up of amphiboles (e.g. anthophyllite and grunerite). These minerals are fibrous and come under the definition of asbestiform and could be a potential health hazard to the lungs of the miners (10). Further investigations will have to be done to determine the extent of this potential hazard.

The iron and steel sector does not have as good a safety record as the iron ore industry but it is considered good compared with other hot metal industries. The presence of molten metal and the use of very large equipment present special hazards.

Environment

The very size of the ferrous industry produces a problem in the handling of voluminous solid, liquid and gaseous wastes. Slags are relatively inert and can be used as structural materials and stable landfill. However, water from iron ore concentrators, especially hematite ores, contains very fine ore particles which are difficult to settle and can severely discolour local watersheds. In addition, vast volumes of cooling water are used in steel making, which must be cooled for reuse or discharged into the environment. Air borne dust from materials handling and process fumes from sinter plants, steelmaking and ferroalloy furnaces present problems of control, collection and disposal.

Competition

The Canadian iron ore industry is in serious difficulty in trying to remain competitive with other world exporters, mainly Brazil which supplies approximately 20% of world export demand. Brazil has very abundant iron ore reserves including vast quantities of high grade ore which does not require concentration and pelletization prior to shipping (diret-shipping ore). The new Carajas Iron Ore Project in the Amazon Basin will be coming on-line in the mid-1980's when a railroad is completed to the coast. With approximately 30 000 Mt of ore grading 66% iron (11), Brazil may begin to seriously affect Canada's ability to compete because Canadian ores must be concentrated and pelletized before shipment at an extra cost in excess of $20/t of iron (energy, supplies and materials only)(12). Even companies which own Canadian iron ore mines are beginning to sign contracts for Brazilian ore.

Canada is reasonably competitive in iron and steel making. Japan is a leader in technology because of its very modern facilities and the advances in Japanese research and development. Canada is competitive domestically and in the USA with Canadian steel supplying roughly 90% of domestic consumption and approximately 15% of all steel imports to the USA (2). Canada has been able to acquire new technology abroad and apply such technology effectively and efficiently. There is concern that Japanese technology is developing faster than Canada can assimilate it into existing facilities so that the technology gap is widening. One area of significance is with respect to the production of very low-impurity steel.

Self Sufficiency

With reserves of over 150 000 Mt of iron ore (13) and a current consumption rate of 16 Mt/a (14), Canada has ample iron ore to meet its domestic needs for the foreseeable future. Current iron and steel making capacity is more than adequate for industrial demands and could be readily expanded if the demand should increase.

For ferroalloys, Canada is dependent on imported chromium and manganese (15,16). The world's main sources of chromium are in politically sensitive areas such as the African continent and the USSR (15). Manganese has more varied sources but it too is subject to the vagaries of international politics (16). Domestic sources of both chromium and manganese exist but the grades are low and process costs would make domestic products non-competitive (15,16). Canada is a major exporter of molybdenum and columbium (niobium) (3). Silica for ferrosilicon is in abundance. Vanadium occurs in the Canadian oil sands bitumen but to date the vanadium has not be exploited (27). In summary, existing technology has not overcome the economic disadvantage of Canadian production of chromium, manganese and vanadium.

High-Tech Impact

The greatest effect on the milling industry would appear to be more sophisticated automated control of processes along with the possible use of robotics where more hazardous or physically difficult tasks are required. The increased demand for lighter and stronger metals by large consumers such as aerospace and Department of Defense (e.g. ships, airplanes, ground transport, and weaponery) would present an outstanding challenge to the industry to develop new processes and more varied alloys.

Conservation

Recoveries of iron from ore are relatively low, about 70% (12) because of the separation processes used. This means that a significant amount of iron is lost to mill tailings. This iron is normally considered unrecoverable. Unpredictable and poorly defined variations in the ore as-mined can also cause iron losses in the concentration step.

Canada has abundant titaniferous magnetites which could become a future source of iron, titanium and byproduct elements such as vanadium, chromium and aluminum, but the current market does not warrant the expense of

developing the new technology needed to exploit these deposits. Quebec Iron and Titanium currently produces iron and a valuable titanium-bearing slag from ilmenite (17,19).

Iron- and steel-making slags are used locally as a replacement for structural fill, as pozzolannic cement, and as an ingredient in the manufacture of mineral wool. There is considerable scope for an expansion of these uses since most of these slags are disposed of as onsite land fill.

The steel industry consumes the majority of steel scrap generated in Canada by remelting it. Remelting scrap requires considerably less energy than producing steel from primary iron ore. Such scrap also contains metals such as zinc, lead and cadmium which report to steel-making dusts. Currently, these dusts present a problem for environmental reasons but they could be a source of metal values with the right technology.

Energy Conservation

The largest energy-consuming step in the production of steel is the reduction stage. Theoretically the process should consume about 260 kg of carbon per tonne of iron. Prior to the development of metallurgical coke in the 18th Century, some 6000 kg of charcoal was required per tonne of iron. In the 1950's, technology had reduced carbon consumption to 1000 kg/t and in the late 1970's Japan was reporting fuel rates of about 500 kg/t. Further improvements in technology are expected to produce only marginal changes in iron-making energy consumption (18).

The induration of iron ore pellets is another major energy consuming step, requiring about one thousand MJ of energy per tonne of pellets produced. Heat recuperation is being utilized more commonly now but heat losses still account for a large part of production costs (12).

Energy Replacement

To date there has been no effective means of replacing heavy fuel oil for pellet induration in the Quebec-Labrador iron ore industry. Alternatives such as plasma (electrically fired) have been evaluated by the companies but no specific plans have been made.

In iron-making, metallurgical coke has been partially replaced by fuel injection (fuel oil, natural gas and coal). Plasma assisted injection is being proposed (9). The open hearth steel making process using fuel oil has been replaced by autogenous oxygen processes such as B.O.F. The Midrex direct reduction process uses natural gas as a reductant instead of coke, and

the SL/RN kiln can use coal, oil, natural gas or a combination of fuels (9).

Diversification

The ferrous industry has not diversified significantly, the production of iron ore, iron and steel and ferroalloys remain the central business. Localized transportation systems to serve corporate needs such as railway haulage or iron ore have been developed. The Costell group, owners of Lake Ontario Steel, have diversified broadly into engineering, design and construction of steel plants. The Iron Ore Company of Canada has an aggresive program underway to look at silicon, ferrosilicon and strategic metals (12).

Labour

Iron ore operations are generally remote from major Canadian centres resulting in the problem of labour retention. In an attempt to induce workers and their families to move to these remote areas, the iron ore companies used incentives such as tax free transportation costs, subsidized housing and other benefits with the resulting maintance of a relatively stable labour force. However in 1980, with the enactment of the Northern Allowance Remission Order (20), all such benefits became taxable and combined with the higher cost of goods in these remote areas, the employee turnover now is approaching 40%. Although the order is under review, one company (12) conservatively estimates by 1984, their employees will have lost $4 million in income. With such a high turnover among employees, it is becoming increasingly expensive for companies to operate in remote areas, due to a continuous training program for new employees, with a resultant loss in productivity. The latest figures available report a total of 10 070 employees earning a total of $203 million in 1977 (17).

The steel industry on the other hand has a relatively more stable working force since it tends to be located near its markets and becomes the focal point for large industrial centres such as Hamilton. Labour rates for the relatively skilled working force in the steel industry are generally much higher than average. In 1977, iron and steel mills employed 41 275 employees earning a total of $678 million (17).

Although the industry has become more automated and the processes more remotely controlled, physically demanding jobs still exist in the housekeeping and maintenance areas.

4. CURRENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The amount of Canadian research and development in the iron ore industry is minimal and consists mainly of process trouble shooting. Major or long term research is done by the US laboratories of the American owner companies (e.g. Pickands Mather & Co., Hanna Corporation, Cleveland-Cliffs, etc.)(17). In recent years, these laboratories have become preoccupied with non-Canadian problems and as a result there has been an increased level of interest in utilizing the expertise and facilities at CANMET. In 1979, an 'Iron Ore Technical Task Force' was formed to discuss areas of mutual concern for the iron ore producers. Cooperative research projects have resulted with contributions from CANMET, other federal agencies such as Environment Canada, provincial agencies such as the Quebec Dept. of Natural Resource, Centre de Recherche Minérale and the iron ore companies. For example, the red water study of Quebec-Labrador was a cooperative effort which involved seven mining companies, CANMET, Environmental Protection Service (Atlantic and Quebec regions) and Inland Waters of Burlington, Ontario. The total Canadian R&D complement devoted to iron ore processing is estimated at 10 PY (21). University research devoted to iron ore is undertaken by several universities across the country (22).

The Canadian iron and steel industry has about 250 researchers of whom an estimated 40% are working on process metallurgy. Stelco has the largest R&D complement with 125 people at its Burlington, Ontario, research centre. CANMET has only a nominal number of people working in process metallurgy. Ferrous process metallurgy is a strong element of Canadian university metallurgical engineering courses and research programs (23).

5. GAPS IN TECHNOLOGY AND CHALLENGES

Health and Safety

Based upon the finding of anthophyllite in the living tissue of a miner at Wabush (10), it would appear that both long and short term studies should be undertaken at the iron ore mines to see if this is a chronic hazard which has just now emerged or perhaps only an isolated case which may have been contracted off the mine site. Chest X-rays at least should be given on a regular basis to check against any hazardous materials.

Trends toward more automation and remote control should improve the health and safety record, e.g. development and use of robotics. Removing the worker from hazardous areas in the steel industry is a continuing trend.

Environment

The environmental problems in the ferrous industry are far from being solved. From the red water study mentioned previously in this report (6), seven different chemical treatment strategies have been developed on a jar-test scale only, which would incur reagent costs on a per annum basis (Canadian dollars) between $75 000 and $650 000. These costs are based on an average flow of 750 L/s to achieve total iron less than 1 mg/L of effluent. The more expensive strategies incorporate a decrease in settling time which would reduce the size of tailings ponds with an overall capital savings. It must be stressed that these strategies have all been developed in a laboratory on a jar-test scale under rather quiescent conditions, but have not as yet been employed or even pilot tested under actual conditions. Cooperation and financial committment by the industry is needed to do these pilot-scale studies.

At this point in time the Department of Environment have been able to ascertain that the only toxic effects of colloidal hematite in water appears to be on a large kill of salmon roe, but studies are still on-going to determine if further damage is being done to vegetation, and the benthonic and planktonic life in the aquatic environment. Although human ingestion of this water has so far appeared to be harmless, long term affects are being studied to assure future generations do not suffer from a chronic exposure.

Red fume from steel-making has been reduced but levels could still be lower. Continuous processes are evolving which tend to minimize the number of sources of dust, and promote heat recovery.

There is a vital need for a more consultative and cooperative scientific effort in Canada to resolve inherent problems in the iron ore industry. Unilateral efforts and initiatives by agencies of government will be insufficient to effect substantive change.

Competition

The severest challenge to Canada's iron ore industry will come from Brazil. No new technology exists and it is difficult to visualize new processing techniques being developed within the next twenty years, which will enable us to compete with high grade (67% Fe and less than 1% SiO_2) ores from Brazil (11,17).

Self Sufficiency

The most recent appraisal of Canada's iron ore reserves and resources which was conducted in 1972 by the Geological Survey of Canada (13) shows that:

Iron Ore Resources in Canada ($x10^6$ tonnes)

	Measured		Indicated		Total	
	Crude	Fe	Crude	Fe	Crude	Fe
Status 1	10 747	3 802	1 086	365	11 833	4 167
Status 2	6 303	1 817	7 696	2 244	14 000	4 060
Additional potential ore 3					128 761	37 119
	17 050	5 619	8 782	2 609	154 594	45 346

1 Exploitable under 1972 technologic and economic conditions.

2 High probability of becoming exploitable.

3 Additional resources that are potential future sources of supply.

Since 1972, there have been approximately 950 Mt of ore mined and an additional 2700 Mt is forecast to be extracted by the year 2000. Thus, Canada's resources are adequate for predicted domestic and export requirements into the twenty-first century. Reserve and resource definition is fluid with time and economics so that large quantities of iron ore currently defined as resources could change to reserves with newer and more sophisticated methods of technology being developed (24). With the diversificaton of the iron ore industry it is expected that Canada will be able to reduce to a large degree or eventually completely eliminate the importation of specialized steels, alloys and ferroalloys (12).

High-Tech Impact

Canada is becoming one of the more sophisticated nations in high-technology. It is hopeful that this technology will play an important role in the future in the recycling of steel scrap by developing methods of eliminating the deleterious material from the products desired. This is a highly competitive business however and must adapt rapidly to keep abreast of the US and Japan in the making of steel.

Conservation

Future improvements in iron recovery will require process changes. For example, as stated before recoveries of iron ore run from 70-75%. With newer and more innovative technology up to 25% more recovery might be obtained. This improvement in recoveries will have to come from a superior technology in working existing and marginal deposits, and a more highly developed technology to recover liberated iron within the mill process which is presently reporting to the tailings e.g. electrolytic methods of recovering colloidal hematite while solving the red water problem. This appears to be an area where a great deal of R&D is required. Iron reserves could be increased by 20% if new technology were developed for reworking old tailings. Direct use of waste is expected in the future e.g. use of slag as a cement replacement, and development of technology to optimize the recovery of base metals from fume.

Energy Conservation and Replacement

Although widespread concern about energy shortages did not materialize until the mid-1970's, the Canadian steel industry has for many years been a leading practitioner of energy conservation and energy management. The use and efficient use of energy has always been a major concern, because energy accounts for more than 20% of the steelmaking costs. The annual fuel bill amounts to close to $900 million. Canadian steelmakers are predicting less gains in energy efficiency by 1985 than are other industrial sectors. The fact of the matter is that the steelmaking sector has no "easy" conservation measures left to introduce. The industry energy efficiencies are close to the technically achievable limits of existing production facilities and programs.

Approximately 85% of the raw steel in Canada is produced in the plants of five integrated steelmakers -- Algoma, Dofasco, Sidbec-Dosco, Stelco, and Sysco. In 1979, speaking jointly through the Ferrous Industry Energy Research Association (FERA), these companies committed themselves to reducing energy consumption, per ton of raw steel produced, by 7.1% over the 1974 base, by the year 1985. These five companies had achieved a 4.7% reduction in energy consumption by the end of 1980.

The Canadian steel industry is highly energy efficient by global standards. The industry efforts to further decrease energy consumption are

being hampered, however, by a shortage of available capital brought about largely by steelmaking costs escalating at a rate in excess of that of steel prices. Another factor, as seen by the steel producers, has been stringent government regulation, especially in the area of environmental control, which has also increased industry costs and infringed on the supply of available capital (25).

In past years, Canadian steelmakers have pioneered many developments that have resulted in improved energy efficiency. The industry was, for instance, the first in the world to commercially use prereduced pellets, to operate blast furnaces with low slag volume which in turn reduced significantly both limestone additions and coke consumption rates, and to inject heavy oil into furnaces to bring down overall fuel rates. It also introduced to North America the concepts of self-fluxed sinter and a 100% pelletized blast furnace burden, both of which have had major energy conservation implications. Dofasco installed the first Basic Oxygen Steelmaking Furnace in North America. The domestic steel industry also pioneered the direct reduction process which employs very low gangue pellets to minimize energy loss in slag, and there are currently three of these high energy efficient facilities in operation.

More recently, Stelco invented and developed an entirely new concept in hot strip rolling known as the Coilbox. This device has effected a major decrease in energy consumption as well as significantly reducing the capital cost of constructing hot strip mills. A number of other energy conservation innovations took place within the Canadian industry which are too numerous to mention in this report.

The Canadian steel industry is currently participating in a joint research program with the Centre de Recherches Métallurgiques (CRM) at Liège, Belgium, on plasma injection of superheated gas into a blast furnace. Potential benefits of this plasma technology include:

- Reduced coke rate due to increased useage of electric energy
- Diminished coke oven emissions
- Increased flexibility in fuel resource utilization
- Reduced dependence on imported metallurgical coking coal from the United States
- Extension of the life span of existing coking resources

In BTU terms, the companies in FERA consumed an average of 20.51 million BTU's per net ton of raw steel produced in 1980, or a total of 302 trillion BTU's (equivalent to 52 million barrels of crude oil). Of this, 65% came from coal, 19% from natural gas, 10% from oil, and 6% from electric power.

The International Iron and Steel Institute statistical models indicate that 2.03 million BTU's per raw ton of steel produced can be saved by converting from ingot to continuous cast production, which certainly constitutes a significant decrease in energy consumption rates.

Direct rolling of steel ingots represents a viable alternative to continuous casting and is already being practiced by one of the FERA member companies. Direct rolling ingots to coils lowers energy consumption by reducing the number of reheat/rolling steps required to get from an ingot to finished product. Energy savings are estimated to be 1.2 million BTU's per finished ton.

Rapidly escalating costs of oil and natural gas will cause a shift from these fuels to coal and electric power in the coming decades. A trend to increased electric arc furnace steelmaking technology is predicted, although this will be limited by the availability of scrap, sponge iron and electricity.

Higher utilization of coal (coke) in the steel industry will increase the availability of internally generated gas, reducing the consumption of purchased natural gas (25,26).

In the iron ore industry, it appears probable that with the increase in the price of fossil fuels, that the industry will become more dependent upon electrical energy, especially in the Quebec-Labrador and Northern Ontario regions being located near the James Bay Project and additional sources coming from nuclear reactors (1).

Diversification

As mentioned earlier, it appears that the major iron companies are in the process of developing silicon, ferro-silicon and other strategic metals (12).

The steel industry will make an attempt to develop new products in their own areas of specialization, exemplified by the introduction of continuous coils of zinc-protected galvanized sheet in 1955 by Dofasco and Algoma's development of wide flange beams in 1961 (25).

Labour

The iron ore industry will continue to face problems in recruitment and retention unless the Northern Allowance Remission Order is rescinded (20).

It is projected that the Canadian steel industry will need to invest $15 000 million in 1980 dollars before the year 2000 if it is to remain in a competitive position to satisfy domestic and foreign markets. This will mean an increased labour force, more highly skilled and better trained to cope with new technological advances (25). Any R&D done at CANMET would have insignificant impact upon the labour situation.

REFERENCES

1. Mineral Policy and Energy Sectors; "Canadian Mineral Survey"; EMR; 1981.
2. "Structural problems of the Canadian Iron Ore Industry" Mineral Policy Section; EMR, 1982, (in preparation).
3. Stats Canada; "Iron and Steel Mills"; Statistics Canada Annual Publication 41-203; 1980
4. The Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy; "Milling Practice in Canada"; CIM Special Volume 16; 1978
5. Wabush Mines - Personal Communication.
6. Sirois, L.L., Joe, E. and Cameron, W. "Water Recycling Practice in Canadian Mines and Mills" Division Report MRP/MSL 81-105(OP); CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1981.
7. Russel and Vaughan, "Steel Production" John Hopkins University Press; 1976
8. Mineral Policy Sector; "Canadian Iron Ore Industry Statistics"; MRI 81/11; Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1979-1980.
9. New Technologies in Iron Ore Processing; "Reduction Processes Outside the Blast Furance and their Effect on Future Iron and Steel Production in the World"; Battelle Institute Report; 1973.
10. Soles, James A. "Anthophyllite From Wabush Lake, Labrador, And Its Identificaion In Lung Tissue From A Miner"; Investigation Report MRP/MSL (CF) 75-15(IR); CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1975.
11. Mineral Policy Sector, EMR - Personal communication.
12. Iron Ore Company of Canada - Personal communication.
13. Mineral Policy Series; "Iron Ore"; Mineral Bull MR148; EMR; 1976.
14. Boucher, M.A. "Iron Ore"; Commodity Report; Mineral Policy Sector; EMR; 1980.
15. "Chromium; An Imported Mineral Commodity"; Commodity Report; Mineral Bulletin MR 196, EMR; 1982.
16. Law-West, D.G. "Manganese"; Commodity Report; Mineral Policy Sector; EMR; 1980.
17. "Canadian Minerals Yearbook"; EMR; 1979.
18. "Technology And Steel Toward 2000"; EMR; 1979.
19. White, D.W. and Gow, W.A. "The Contribution of Science and Technology in the Mining Processing And Utilization Of Minerals In Assuring An

Adequate Resource Base for Canada"; Program Report MRP 75-22; Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1975.

20. Department of Finance - Personal communication.
21. From Iron Ore Company of Canada - Personal communication.
22. Government personnel and contracts office - Personal communication.
23. Various iron and steel companies - Personal communication.
24. Mineral Policy Sector - Personal communication re update of ref. 13.
25. Canadian National Committee, World Energy Conference; "Canadian National Energy Forum"; World Energy Conference; 1981.
26. Klimoff, E. "Ferrous Metals Energy Consumption Conservation Task Force Report"; FERA; 1981.
27. "Vanadium-an Imported Mineral Commodity"; Mineral Bulletin MR 188, EMR 1980.

INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

Canadian shipments of industrial minerals in 1980 were valued at $4200 million; non-metallic minerals (asbestos, barite, fluorspar, etc) contributed $2530 million and construction materials (cement, lime, sand and gravel, stone) $1670 million. Shipments and dollar values are summarized in Table 1.

TABLE 1: Canada, shipments of industrial minerals, 1980 (1)

Nonmetallics	tonnes	$
Asbestos	1 323 053	618 493 360
Barite	1 323 053	4 380 167
Fluorspar	-	-
Gypsum	7 336 218	39 538 688
Magnesitic dolomite	7 336 218	10 404 392
Nepheline syenite	599 699	15 935 661
Potash (K_2O)	7 201 217	1 020 705 248
Quartz	2 251 831	29 184 492
Salt	7 422 854	122 775 145
Sodium sulphate	480 666	30 468 339
Sulphur, elemental	7 655 723	444 095 310
Sulphur, smelter gas[1]	894 732	28 912 895
Sulphur, pyrites[2]	14 328	344 770
Other nonmetallics[3]	14 328	167 124 065
Total nonmetallics		2 532 362 532
Total construction materials		1 668 577 000
Total industrial minerals		4 200 939 532

1. Sulphur content 2. Estimated sulphur content. 3. Includes titanium dioxide, talc and soapstone, peat moss and gemstones.

The 1980 production of industrial minerals, at $4200 million, represented 43% of the total value of minerals ($9700 million) exclusive of fuels, for a per capita value of $175.

Canada ranks second as a world producer of a number of industrial minerals - asbestos (27.5% of world production), potash (23.6%), elemental sulphur (16.8%), and gypsum (11.5%).

Industrial minerals contribute substantially to the Canadian economy. Principal production and trade statistics for 1979, the latest year for which complete statistics are available, are noted in Table 2.

TABLE 2: Statistical Data, Industrial Minerals, 1979 (2)

	Industrial Minerals			
	Non Metallics ($000)	Structural Minerals ($000)	Total Minerals ($000)	% of Total
Production	1 354 921	1 312 061		
	2 666 982		18 399 832	14.5
Exports	2 170 800	N.A.	19 818 600	11
Imports, crude and fabricated	931 000	N.A.	12 446 500	7

The industrial minerals industry is composed of a number of large Canadian and multi-national corporations employing hundreds of people, many intermediate sized operations and, as well, some small operations with perhaps only 5 or 6 employees on a part-time basis. The 1979 Minerals Yearbook (2) notes there were 21 798 employees in the nonmetallic industry (exclusive of structural materials) working in 378 separate mines or plants. The total number of employees in the industrial minerals industry, which includes structural material operations, probably would be double the noted 21 798.

Industrial minerals are utilized in practically all industrial processes and products. General use categories and minerals are summarized in Table 3.

TABLE 3: Uses/applications for some Industrial Minerals(3)

Application	Mineral
Abrasives (loose grains, bonded and coated papers, and wheels)	quartz sand, silicon carbide, aluminum oxide, garnet, topaz, talc, etc.
Asbestos/Cement Products (brake lining, papers, pipe, cements).	asbestos, silica
Ceramics (clay brick, glass, sanitary ware, refractory products)	clay, kaolin, silica sand, feldspar, nepheline syenite, etc.
Chemicals (sodium hydroxide, chlorine, hydrofluoric, hydrochloric and sulphuric acids)	salt, fluorspar, sulphur, etc.
Construction Materials (aggregate, concrete, gypsum and lime products, insulation materials, monuments, roofing granules)	sand, gravel, crushed stone, slag, cement, gypsum, stone, etc.
Electronic and Optical (electronic equipment and applications)	quartz
Fertilizers	nitrogen, phosphate, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulphur, etc.
Fluxes (metal production and forming)	fluorspar, silica, lime/limestone
Foundry Sand (iron and steel and other metals, moulding, and fabricating	silica, zircon, olivine
Mineral Pigments, Fillers and Extenders (rubber, plastics, asphalt, paint, tile, gypsum products)	most industrial minerals
Oil Well Drilling (drilling aids and muds)	barite, mica, asbestos, bentonite, diatomite, etc.

2. TECHNOLOGY

Many industrial minerals, e.g., gypsum, are recovered from surface or near surface deposits by open-pit mining. Potash is an example of others that occur only at depth, and traditionally are recovered by underground mining. In most instances, the bulk of the mined material is utilized, exceptions are asbestos and, to a lesser degree, potash.

Many industrial minerals are processed by dry methods including crushing and grinding, sizing by screens or air separators, and magnetic separation. Others may require wet processing such as jigging, attrition scrubbing, flotation and acid leaching. Some are processed further, eg., by calcining, to produce portland cement, lime, and gypsum plaster. Processing and recovery of a few industrial minerals are somewhat unique, e.g., sodium sulphate, recovered from brine lakes in western Canada by concentration and evaporation; sodium chloride which, in addition to being mined, is recovered from underground brines or solution cavities and processed by multi-stage, vacuum pan evaporation; and elemental sulphur which is a co-product of the production of natural gas from "sour" gas wells in western Canada. Thus processing may differ markedly from one industrial mineral to another. Two typical flow sheets, one for silica sand, the other for gypsum/gypsum products are noted in Fig. 1.



* Processing may include washing to remove mud, salt, etc., and heavy media separation to remove anhydrite.

Fig. 1. Typical steps in processing silica and gypsum

A few specific minerals will now be examined, particularly with regard to processing problems, current R&D, gaps in technology, and challenges.

Because the industrial mineral sector is so varied, it will be described under two major headings, each sub-divided into two components as follows:

Nonmetallics
- gypsum, lime, phosphate rock, potash, salt, silica
- asbestos*

Construction Materials
- aggregate, cement and concrete
- ceramics

*Asbestos, being one of the more important non-metallic minerals, is considered separately.

NON-METALLIC MINERALS

3. PROBLEMS, CURRENT R&D, GAPS AND CHALLENGES

GYPSUM

Canadian gypsum production in 1981, from 6 provinces, was 7.3 Mt, valued at $39.5 million. Almost 70% is exported, chiefly from Nova Scotia, to the eastern United States. The remainder is used in Canada for gypsum plaster and wallboard manufacture, and in portland cement. Impurities typical of many gypsum deposits include anhydrite, limestone, mud and salt. These are reduced by selective mining, crushing and sizing and, on occasion, by water washing on screens. Processing principally involves reduction to fine powder by crushing and grinding and, for gypsum products, low-temperature calcining (200°C). The calcined gypsum is slurried with water and formed into wallboard which is dried prior to shipment. Problems in the industry relate to quality of raw gypsum ore, high energy cost in processing and drying gypsum products, the development of new building products, and competition among producers. R&D during the last 8 to 10 years has centered around the development of continuous calcining systems to replace the batch, kettle system. Various types, including a "halo-flite" unit, another developed by National Gypsum and a third by Western Gypsum, are

in use. Current R&D interest is in the field of energy conservation in calcining and, more critically, in drying gypsum board. An NEP CANMET project on conservation of energy in industrial minerals, ($1.5 million) probably will address this problem during the 4-year term of this program. Other areas of interest relate to the development of stronger, thinner wallboard and there appears to be some interest in sandwich construction, insulated gypsum building panels. Interest in phosphogypsum, a waste product from the phosphate fertilizer industry, is at a low level because of contained radium which discourages use of this material for gypsum products. A limited study of the feasibility of reducing radioactivity in phosphogypsum is planned by CANMET as part of a larger program on radioactive materials during FY 82/83.

LIME

Lime production in Canada in 1980 was 2.5 Mt valued at $129 million and was from 25 plants, principally in Ontario and Quebec, which together account for 85% of production. Lime is produced by calcining lump (crushed and sized) limestone in various types of kilns, the calcined product being used as flux for the steel-making industry and in treating pulp for newsprint manufacture. Other uses include acidity control during processing for uranium ore, in domestic water and sewage treatment, and for the production of many calcium-based chemicals and fertilizers. A developing use is for SO_2 control in stack gas emissions from power plants. CANMET undoubtedly will become involved in this area of research in view of government's expressed concern re SO_2 pollution and acid rain. The development of uses for the resulting gypsum sludges also could be an area of study by CANMET.

PHOSPHATE ROCK (4)

The term "phosphate rock" is commonly applied to rock or granular material containing phosphate minerals. This material is mined and processed into a variety of products including elemental phosphorous, phosphoric acid, and phosphate fertilizers and chemicals. Canada has significant resources of phosphate rock but there is no domestic production, our entire requirements being imported, chiefly from the United States. Current requirements are in the order of 4 Mt/a, with consumption expected to increase to over 5 Mt/a by 1990. Most commercial deposits are of the sedimentary type (carbonatites) and consist of loosely compacted, granular material. Processing is relatively simple and consists of water washing to remove extremely fine

material, and flotation to recover a 30% plus P_2O_5 product. Domestic occurrences of interest are essentially restricted to Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia, with those of the Cargill area of northern Ontario being the most attractive for commercial development. These have been under intensive study during the past 7 to 8 years by several Canadian companies. Although it has been shown that the production of a high-grade concentrate for the Canadian market is technically feasible, high capital and operating costs prohibit plant construction and production at this time. Current studies relate to the possibility of producing by-product vermiculite, clay minerals, quartz sand and columbium-bearing minerals that are associated with the ore body in significant amounts. In this regard, CANMET currently is engaged in a cost-recovery study to evaluate the technical feasibility of producing commercial-grade, expanded vermiculite from crude ore. Associated studies conceivably could be undertaken on the remaining minerals by CANMET during the next few years. The Cargill deposit lies on the Kapuskasing high, a several hundred kilometer geological structure extending from Lake Superior to James Bay. This structure is known to contain at least 9 other carbonatite complexes. These deposits should be studied in detail to develop mineralogical and processing data which would substantially add to our knowledge and assist future development. A recently completed reference document (4), MR 193, suggests that by the year 2000, Canadian demand for phosphate rock may exceed the amount that Florida, in particular, is able to supply. The development of Canadian resources of phosphate rock is therefore imperative.

POTASH (KCl)

Canada's total current production of potash, about 7 Mt/a, is from underground deposits in Saskatchewan where there are 10 mines and one solution mining operation. In underground mining, continuous mining machines cut and load the potash which is raised to the surface, crushed scrubbed with a brine solution, and treated by flotation to separate the potash from the salt; the potash (KCl) is dried, sized and packaged for shipment to markets; the salt (NaCl) is sent to large surface storage areas. About 1.5 t of waste salt are produced for every 1.0 t of K_2O equivalent.

In solution mining, a hot solution is injected under pressure into a cavity in the potash beds through boreholes; concentrated solutions of salts are withdrawn from the bottom of the cavity and pumped to the surface for

processing which consists of evaporation, cyrstallization, and salt separation; a portion of the sodium chloride is transported in slurry form to a neighbouring plant for the recovery of fine crystallized salt; the remaining salt brine is pumped to a large disposal pond.

Although processing of potash is relatively simple, concern has been expressed about the loss of potash values to the waste salt. In this regard, CANMET has initiated a 3-year (2.5 PY) study of the surface chemistry of potash ore to determine whether improvements can be made in the flotation process. A second problem relates to the surface storage of vast quantities of waste salt. Wet processing underground is undesirable; however, if electrostatic separation or other type of dry processing techniques could be effected economically then the bulk of the processing could be carried out underground, the waste salt being left there as backfill in mined-out areas. Although dry processing has been studied in the past there is need for further study of this problem. Utilization of waste salt from the potash operations is also an area deserving of further attention. A small portion is used as de-icing salt but the bulk remains in open-pond storage. Although surface storage of waste salt/salt brine presents no serious problem at present, this salt nonetheless is a potential source of ground water pollution and storage areas must be carefully controlled and monitored at all times. This problem could become critical during the next decade or two as potash production is expanded to aid and assist food production in Third World countries.

SALT (NaCl)

Salt is recovered by underground mining at three operations, two in Ontario and one in Nova Scotia and, by brining, at six plants in Ontario, Alberta, Saskatchewan and Nova Scotia. Production averages 7 Mt/a. Rock salt, a coarse salt for use in ice control on highways, is processsed by crushing and sizing, the chief impurities, limestone and anhydrite, being largely concentrated in the coarser sizes which are discarded. High-purity "fine" salt for use in food processing is recovered from brine solution by multi-stage, vacuum pan evaporation. Impurities are eliminated in "purge" tanks prior to evaporation. Although problem areas are few, R&D in regard to dry separation methods to remove finer sizes of impurities from coarse crushed salt, and for further purification during wet processing, should be considered. Another area of interest is that of crystal growth during the

evaporation/crystallization process. One company is currently investigating techniques for promoting larger size crystals which could, for example, be used to replace costly and energy-intensive "fused" salt, particularly in water softener applications. Fused salt is produced by melting evaporator salt in large oil-or-gas-fired furnaces, cooling the molten salt by briquetting, and crushing and screening the resulting product to required size specifications.

SILICA

Silica is produced by only a few companies, principally in Ontario, Quebec and Manitoba, in lump form for use as metallurgical flux, and as crushed material or sand for the manufacture of glass, artificial abrasives, silica chemicals, etc. Production in 1980 exceeded 2 Mt which was less than 50% of the total Canadian consumption. The remaining requirements, imported from the United States, mostly consisted of high-quality sand for glass manufacture and for use by the foundry industry.

Production of lump material is relatively simple, the main requirement being that of accurate sizing to meet consumer specification, e.g., -10+5 cm (-4+2 inch) for ferrosilicon manufacture. Production of silica sand is more complex and requires size reduction of source material, which may be quartzite, quartz, sandstone or sand, to a specific size requirement, e.g., -700+104 µm (-28, +150 mesh) for glass manufacture, followed by scrubbing, flotation, magnetic separation and, on occasion, acid leaching to remove impurities to meet rigid customer specification, e.g., 0.02% Fe_2O_3 for glass manufacture.

Problems centre around the fact that Canada does not have ideal resources of silica material to meet the need of industry - which require 50% importation of high-purity silica sand. Domestic resources suitable for use, e.g., offshore marine deposits in the vicinity of the Magdalen Islands in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the Potsdam sandstones of eastern Ontario and southern Quebec, are extensive but require fairly costly treatment to render them acceptable for the principal markets - glass manufacture and foundry moulding. High silica content (99.6% SiO_2) and low impurity content (particularly iron and alumina) are mandatory for the glass industry; high silica content and proper grain shape and size distribution are required for steel foundry applications. Iron and alumina (feldspar) impurities in the Magdalen sands must be removed by flotation to render this material suitable

for use as foundry sand. A further reduction of the iron, by acid leaching, may be required to produce glass-grade silica sand. Pyrite (iron sulphide) and alumina are typical impurities in Potsdam sandstone. These must be removed or reduced, often with considerable difficulty. The Potsdam sandstone, reduced to sand size, is not ideal for foundry sand applications because of size distribution and grain shape, however, it is being used more extensively today, primarily because of the increasing high cost of imported sand.

Resolution of this problem requires an intensive study of Canadian silica resources to determine whether improved processing can assure production of both glass and foundry sand from these resources. CANMET recently completed a cost-recovery project (2 PY) of the processing and beneficiation of Magdalen Islands sand for foundry and glass sand markets and is currently investigating other selected deposits of silica as part of a 3-year program (6 PY). This program is being carried out in co-operation with provincial governments. Processing and beneficiation involves reduction of material from the silica resources to sand followed by attrition scrubbing, desliming, flotation and magnetic separation. In certain instances, acid leaching may be required to reduce iron to 0.02% Fe_2O_3, the target specification for many uses. Improvements are possible in two areas in particular, flotation and acid treatment. Both are or will be studied intensively during the course of this investigation. Of developing interest is the production of extremely high purity silica for use in the manufacturing of fibre optic filaments, and silicon for solar cell applications. CANMET recently initiated a limited study (0.1 PY) in this area which will involve chemical treatment of higher quality silica materials. This work is considered to be important and should be enlarged in 1983 and subsequent years as interest increases and as more resources become available.

INDUSTRIAL MINERAL RESOURCE BASE: GENERAL R&D REQUIREMENTS/NEEDS

Canada continues to import significant quantities of some minerals which, although available from domestic deposits, are not exploited to the degree that they could be, e.g., phosphate, fluorspar, kaolin, silica. An extensive R&D program had been initiated on domestic silica resources. This should be followed by equally extensive studies on resources of other industrial minerals in Canada. The objective of such studies would be

threefold: 1) to develop basic background on Canada's resources of industrial minerals and the technology required to utilize these resources; 2) to identify existing or perceived problems re supply sources, beneficiation requirements, market opportunities, etc., and 3) to undertake the R&D required to ensure optimum utilization of these minerals in the domestic and foreign market place. In this regard, CANMET has underway a study to identify the R&D needs of the industrial minerals industry. Returns from a questionnaire to the industry are being received and compiled and a report will be available in early 1983. Returns to date note R&D needs in a number of areas and provide some information re actual R&D by companies. This information is briefly tabulated in Tables 4 and 5.

TABLE 4: R&D needs identified by industry

Mineral	
Asbestos	- removal of mica impurity from fibre - efficient and economic separation of fibre at 74 µm (-74 µm, -200 mesh dust considered harmful) - development of new asbestos-based products - development of chemically modified fibres - use of asbestos fines (5 µm fibres) in plastics. - screening of damp material (2 to 3% water) on 589 and 417 µm (28 and 35 mesh) sieves. - drying of fibre to reduce degradation with regard to efficiency and economics - improved filtration of asbestos-cement slurries (products) - use of asbestos wastes in soil conditioning/fertilizing - energy conservation.
Barite	- development and beneficiation of deposits in Atlantic Canada for use in oil-well drilling.
Bentonite	- physical characteristics vs acceptability as oil-well drilling mud. - chemical reactions between nuclear wastes and bentonite - use of bentonite as sealant in landfills, lagoons, ponds for waste and tailing storage - greater utilization of western bentonites in Canada - use of bentonite as forest fire retardent
Gypsum	- quality of ore reserves in some areas - blasting/crushing/processing modificaion to reduce fines production - pelletizing/crushing of fines for recycling

Mineral	R&D Needs
Gypsum (Con't)	- markets for fines-soil conditioning/reclamation
	- removal of impurities - silica, silt, mud, salt
	- process monitoring controls, energy cost reduction, gypsumboard paper cost reduction, reduction of board weight
	- use of byproduct, TiO_2 and SO_2 abatement gypsum
Cement	- low purity of limestone in some areas
	- high sodium/potassium oxide and chloride
	- expansion of use of portland cement in construction
	- reduced consumption of fuel and electrical power
	- limited supplies of gypsum for use as retarder in western Canada
	- fly ash and other additives to reduce consumption of portland cement in concrete
	- use of fine limestone additive to replace up to 5% of portland cement in concrete - can this be increased to a maximum?
	- utilization of high-alkali waste kiln dust, possibly as soil conditioner/fertilizer and as feed supplement
Lime/Limestone	- handling of dust/sludge from collecting systems at lime plants - utilization of same
	- conservation of energy in lime plants
	- expanded use of lime to combat effects of acid rain
	- limestone, control of oversize during breaking
	- control of sulphur and magnesium contents for product quality and emission standards
	- development of alternate fuels - municipal solid waste, pulverized coal, petroleum/coke mixtures
	- information re blending of limestone/fertilizer to meet specific needs
	- use of limestone in SO_2 abatement systems

Mineral	R&D Needs
Nepheline syenite	- ultra-fine classificaiton for production of minus 10 micron product - use as filler in plastics - reduction of iron minerals in hornblende nepheline syenite - use of byproduct biotite - utilization of tailings
Silica	- beneficiation and agglomeration of fines, especially at plants providing lump material for ferrosilicon/silicon manufacture - location/development of high grade quartz deposits suitable for silicon metal manufacture - production of ultra high purity silicon for silicon chip manufacture - beneficiation of specific silica resources to meet market specifications - beneficiation/utilization of silica in fibre optics and in solar cells
Sodium Chloride	- control of roof rock in underground mining - reduction of diesel emission contaminants and reduction of NO_2 from blasting - consolidation/compaction of waste fines - reduction of energy cost in brine evaporation
Sodium sulphate	- occasional high magnesium in brines - soil entrapment of salt crystals in ponds - filtration of brine concentrates - energy costs
Talc	- grinding/beneficiation to produce higher quality products

TABLE 5: R&D studies by industry

Mineral	
Asbestos	- use of fine fibre as reinforcement in plastics - asbestos tailings for mineral wool manufacture - recovery of magnesium from tailings - recovery of magnesium compounds (MgO, MgOH, and $MgCO_3$) from tailings - production of refractory products from tailings
Bentonite	- use of bentonite in the storage of nuclear wastes - use of bentonite as a carrier for sodium selenate (fertilizer additive for sheep-grazing land) in eastern Canada
Gypsum	- recycling of waste products (board) and development of required processing equipment
Cement	- addition of carbonate to normal portland cement to meet revised CSA Standards
Lime/Limestone	- conservation of energy in lime plants, cheaper fuels, kiln characteristics - improved flame control in rotary kiln to minimize fuel consumption and to maximize emission control and product quality - use of heat reclaimers - blending of soil nutrients to meet present/future agricultural needs - beneficiation of crushed limestone to reduce impurity content
Shale/lightweight aggregate	- pelletized kiln feed - density reduction of aggregate - conservation of energy

Mineral	
Sodium chloride	- crystal growth studies relative to crystal size in brine evaporation plants - larger crystals desirable
Sodium sulphate	- heat recovery and reuse
Talc	- wet processing (grinding and flotation) to improve product quality - removal of dark impurities by dry beneficiation to improve product - development of more finely ground material for speciality applications, particularly as mineral filler/extender in paints, plastics, etc.

All respondents reported common problems relating to competition, increased cost of transportation and energy, the need to develop electronic process control equipment to improve product quality and performance, and the increasing burden of higher taxes.

MINERAL FILLERS/EXTENDERS/ADDITIVES

Developing interest by industry in the use of additive materials to improve performance and decrease consumption of more costly components in various systems (e.g., mica and graphite fibres as fillers in plastics and rubber, and certain mineral waste products as fillers in asphalt mixes, to decrease consumption of resin, latex and bitumen, and bentonite and other additives to aid pelletizing of iron ore and fertilizer prills) has pointed up a need to more precisely characterize these materials, to understand their precise function, and to improve that function where possible. Surface characteristics, particle size and shape, and size distribution all play important roles. Research in this area by CANMET is mandatory to ensure a more precise understanding of the interaction of these materials in specific systems and to aid industry in the development and fuller utilization of mineral filler minerals.

MINERAL WASTE RESOURCES

Canada's diminishing resources of favourably located ore-grade reserves of many minerals, because of depletion, urban encroachment, etc., is attracting attention to the possible economic use and/or recovery of minerals and mineral material from lower grade deposits and, as well, from our substantial reserves of mineral waste, e.g., waste rock and mill tailings, slags, flue dusts and chemical sludges. Mineral wastes are being produced at a rate in excess of 700 Mt annually with less than ½ of 1% being utilized. These mined and semi-processed mineral materials have been documented in CANMET's Mineral Waste Resources of Canada report series (4-12). CANMET should now embark on a long-term R&D project to examine select wastes in detail and to develop the technology necessary to ensure the reuse of such wastes and to thus effect conservation of our non-renewable energy and mineral resources. Research in this area is considered to be of high priority in many of the more developed nations of the world.

ASBESTOS

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Asbestos is a commercial term applied to the asbestiform or fibrous varieties of several specific silicate minerals (29). The principal variety is chrysotile from the serpentine group, a hydrous magnesium silicate. Other commercial varieties (all amphiboles) are amosite, a trade name for a complex iron magnesium silicate (mostly cummingtonite-grunerite), and crocidolite, a sodium-iron hydrous silicate variety of riebeckite. Of minor importance are tremolite asbestos and anthophyllite asbestos.

Chrysotile, the principal variety, is graded and grouped according to fiber length. Most of the groups are divided into subgroups to comprise the commercial specifications. The particular properties which give asbestos commercial value are fibrous structure, high tensile strength that imparts reinforcing characteristics to numerous products, and resistance to high temperature and to certain types of chemical attack (30).

Long fibres (10 to 20 mm) Crudes Nos. 1 and 2 and Group 3 are used in the textile industry, as electrical insulation, as a filtration medium and as reinforcing fillers in asbestos cement products where great strength is required.

Medium-length fibres, (3 to 10 mm), Groups 4, 5, 6 are used as reinforcing fillers in asbestos-cement products, in friction materials such as brake linings and clutch facings and in paper and pipe coverings.

Short fibres, (less than 3 mm), Groups 7, 8, 9 are used as reinforcing fillers in plastics, floor tile, asphalt, and in paints and oil-well muds.

Asbestos has more than 2000 uses, with the construction and building industry sector being the main market. About 80% of asbestos production goes to this sector for use in asbestos-cement products, asbestos papers and felts, floor tiles and other asbestos products. About 12% is used for friction materials and about 3% each for textiles and plastics with minor uses absorbing the remainder.

The total world production in 1980 was estimated at 5 Mt, of which chrysotile made up about 90%. Chrysotile is the only variety mined in Canada. The remaining production consists of about 6% crocidolite (blue asbestos) and 3% a mosite with the other types amounting to less than 1%. Canada accounted for about 30% of world production in 1980 with the

USSR, the world's largest producer, accounting for about 50%. Canada produced about 1.3 Mt in 1980, of which about 95% was exported. Production was valued at about $641 million and was the eighth most important non-fuel mineral produced in Canada. In 1980 the asbestos mining and milling sector employed about 8000 persons. In Quebec, which produces about 90% of Canada's asbestos generally from open pits, about 30% of the rock mined is milled, exclusive of over-burden, giving an average yield of about 6% fibre (16,17).

2. TECHNOLOGY IN USE

Chrysotile is an asbestiform variety of serpentine and is normally associated with massive serpentine. It can occur either as "cross fibre" or "slip fibre". In the former occurrence, which includes most deposits of economic significance, the asbestos is distributed through the gangue matrix in veins varying in width from 0.2 mm to more than 25 mm. The recovery process is, therefore, unique in that it usually involves separation of a fibrous mineral from a massive form of the same mineral. Because the fibre has the same density and chemical composition as the host rock, advantage is taken of the physical difference of the rock and fibre after the latter has been released under impact and fluffed up in a dry state. In this state, the asbestos is lighter in bulk density and amenable to separation from the serpentine rock by screening and air suction. The essential stages of present day dry milling operations consist of crushing, screening, separation, fiberizing, grading and packaging (13,31).

Wet processing of asbestos ore has also been used on occasion for the recovery of fibres. Although wet processing has not yet found acceptance for large-scale commercial application in Canada, research work was carried out in the mid 1920's on wet processing run of mine ore (32). Wet processing was used for a short time by Johnsons Company Ltd. in the early 1950's and by Asbestos Corporation Ltd. in the 1960's for treating mill tailings on a small scale to recover short, commercial-grade fibres. A wet process mill has been in operation in the United States since the late 1960's using a beneficiation technique developed by Union Carbide Corporation to treat the short-fibre chrysotile of the Coalinga district of California (33). The short fibres are shipped as high density pellets which are used as a reinforcement agent in thermoplastics. Currently

a wet process is under development by an Australian asbestos producer to produce a full range of fibre grades from run of mine ore.

Much of the development of improved and new process equipment, technical knowledge and expertise has taken place in Canada. Advances in Canadian technology over the past ten to fifteen years and their introduction into asbestos mills has included the development of equipment using fluid bed techniques for drying ore and separating fibre from the gangue (34); development of preconcentration techniques to lower cut-off grades in mining to improve overall recovery (18); improvements to grading and dedusting equipment; development of better control systems and quality control (35); improvements in packaging and shipping techniques; and improvements in the overall dust collecting systems to bring down fibre levels in the air, in-plant and in the general environment within those specified in the appropriate regulations. Fibre counts have successively been lowered from 10 fibres/cc to 5 fibres/cc and most recently to 2 fibres/cc and may be further lowered to 1 fibre/cc or even 0.5 fibre/cc.

It can be said that there is no standard method of milling asbestos. Each operation is designed to suit the rock from an individual deposit and must be sufficiently flexible to meet varying conditions. Consequently, detailed flowsheets, and selection and use of equipment components vary throughout the industry. However, the basic operations of crushing, drying, fibre separation, cleaning, fiberizing, grading and packaging are common to all mills.

A dry asbestos milling process, by its nature of handling dry and fine material, can be an extremely dusty operation. The dust collection equipment must be designed to confine the dust at its source, to maintain adequate suction to capture the dust, and to effectively remove the dust once it is captured.

The dust-laden air from all of the various processing and dust control sections of the mill is pulled through an air filtering system. There is usually ten complete air changes in the mill every hour. The filtered air can be either discharged to atmosphere during warm weather or recirculated to the mill as heating air during cold weather with consequent energy savings. Current in-plant regulations restrict average concentration of asbestos fibre in the air to 2 fibres/cc, a condition met by current technology (15).



Fig. 2. Simplified Flowsheet, Canadian Asbestos Mill



Fig. 3. Schematic of Air-handing and Central Vacuum Cleaning System

The processed fibre products can be classified into five major groups according to fibre length distribution. These are spinning fibre (Group 3), asbestos-cement (Group 4), paper fibre (Group 5), paper and shingle fibre (Group 6), and shorts (Group 7). These major groups are further broken down into about thirty grades according to fibre length distribution. These grades are divided according to other important fibre characteristics, such as degree of fiberization or openness, cleanliness and strength, to meet the special requirements of the consumer. Tests to measure these fibre characteristics include: wet classificaton, fines content, bulk density, air permeability, filterability, absorption, viscosity, grit and spicule content, colour, magnetic rating and strength in asbestos-cement products (36).

3. PROBLEMS IN EXISTING TECHNOLOGY

1. Working Conditions:
   - Improved monitoring and control of fibre levels in the workplace
   - Improved dust collection systems to meet the more stringent regulations being considered
2. Environment:
   - Reduce stack fibre emissions to the atmosphere
   - Reduce wind borne dust from tailing piles
3. Maintaining Competitiveness:
   - Automation to achieve productivity improvements (14)
   - Development of appropriate measuring devices to allow for automatic control systems to improve product uniformity, homogeneity and quality
4. Self Sufficiency:
   - Canada has ample reserves of about 1500 Mt of ore containing about 50 Mt of recoverable fibre
5. Exotic Mineral-based Materials:
   - Asbestos has been used in a number of exotic materials. However, because of the adverse publicity given asbestos with regard to health, new products and new applications for asbestos have not been developed to the extent possible in light of its unique properties.

6. Conservation:
   - Recovery could readily be improved but markets, particularly for the shorter fibres would have to be developed. Nickel is present in the ores as a nickeliferous magnetite, a sulphide or as a silicate. Attempts to recover the nickeliferous magnetite and the sulphide have proved to be uneconomical to-date (37). Utilization of tailings including their use in mineral wool manufacture (38) and for MgO and Mg metal production (39).
7. Energy Conservation:
   - Improved drying and comminution to reduce energy consumption.
8. Sale of Machinery and Technology:
   - Canadian technical knowledge and expertise has resulted over the years in contracts for Canadian consulting engineers to design and construct asbestos milling plants. This in turn has led to orders for the specialized milling equipment usually available only from Canadian machinery manufacturing companies who have the manufacturing rights. However, subsequent orders have not always been forthcoming as the country concerned has developed its own manufacturing capability to produce the specialized equipment which in most cases is comparatively easy to fabricate once the design is available.
9. Working in Remote Areas:
   - At the Asbestos Hill mine operation , Ungava Bay, mining and a concentration stage take place over a nine month period. The concentrate is shipped to Germany for further processing to the various finished grades for the European and Middle East Markets (40).

4. CURRENT R&D

Very little R&D is currently going forward with regard to the processing aspects of asbestos ore. Individual companies are carrying out incremental improvements to their process equipment and mill circuits to improve the fibre produced, particularly as regards to further lowering of the dust content of the fibre.

The Trans Pacific Asbestos Inc. of Australia has completed its research work on a wet process. They currently are testing the process on a pilot plant scale to demonstrate the feasibility of their process to produce fibre suitable for the asbestos/cement market. Small tonnage lots are being tested and evaluated by asbestos/cement manufacturers (40).

Most of the current asbestos R&D projects relate primarily to the health problems of asbestos. These includes the medical aspects and biological effects of asbestos as well as improved methods for measuring the fibre concentrations levels in the air and water. Projects are also going forward dealing with the development of new products and applications for asbestos and asbestos tailings. About $6.5 million currently are being spent on asbestos related research of which about 90% is spent in the Province of Quebec (42).

Breakdown of asbestos R&D effort in Canada for 1981 is estimated as follows:

Federal Government (Intramural, Grants & Contracts)	$ 500K
Province of Quebec (SNA, IRDA, QAMA)	$4 800K
Province of Ontario (Royal Commission on Asbestos)	$ 300K
Industry (In plant R&D)	$ 500K
Provincial Research Institutes and Universities	$ 400K
TOTAL	$6 500K

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Three major challenges must be met by the asbestos mining industry:

1. Produce fibres of superior quality, particularly with lower dust content and improved filterability of A/C grades at a competitive cost, while meeting appropriate regulations for air-borne fibre concentrations in the workplace and in the general environment which in all probability will be lowered from the current 2 fibres per cc to 1 fibre per cc level or even further to 0.1 fibre per cc.

2. Develop new and improved products and applications for asbestos fibre and the utilization of asbestos tailings to off-set the possible phasing-out of existing asbestos containing products due to the possible health risks associated with asbestos.
3. Counter the many controversies concerning asbestos in relation to health, safety and the environment.

AGGREGATE, CEMENT AND CONCRETE

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

The concrete industry in Canada has been in existence for a century, the first Portland cement plant being established in Hull, Quebec in 1889.

At present, there are 24 cement plants with 51 kilns located in all provinces except Prince Edward Island. The production of cement in 1981 was 10.37 Mt valued at $680.6 million. In the 1970's many technological advances were made by the Canadian cement industry and new equipment installed. Continuing export of cement to the United States was advantageous.

It may be worth noting the degree of foreign ownership of the Canadian cement and concrete industry: more than one-half of Canada Cement Lafarge and of Genstar, and almost one-half of St. Lawrence Cement is foreign-owned.

Aggregate is produced by about 300 firms in quantities ranging from 20 000 to 4 Mt/a. All districts are served by local pits and quarries with delivery distance rarely in excess of 50 km (19).

There is little lightweight aggregate manufacture in Canada; in recent years, some plants have closed down. In 1981, there were 7 plants in operation with the production of expanded clay, shale and slag totalling 521 000 m^3 with a value of $8.5 million.

It is relevant to note that ready-mix concrete suppliers are generally small firms: 40 to 60 trucks in metropolitan areas and possibly only 6 in rural districts (19).

Many block plants are also small and sell to a local market. Precast concrete plants producing structural elements are larger and typically have 50 to 100 employees but some are larger still and ship their products over a distance of several hundred kilometres (19).

There is one special feature of the Canadian cement, aggregate and concrete industry: the so-called vertical integration, i.e., the five largest cement companies own or control an undisclosed number of ready-mix, concrete and aggregate business establishments with perhaps 60 percent of the value of output (19).

Since the cement, aggregate and concrete industry is a supply industry to the construction sector, the cement, aggregate and concrete activity is very largely dependent on the overall construction activity.

While this is also true of structural steel, the steel industry, unlike the cement industry, has many non-construction outlets.

Canadian shipments of construction materials are shown in Table 4. Exports of cement and of clinker to the United States have played a great part in the successful development and expansion of the Canadian portland cement industry. Record amounts were exported during 1979, principally to the states of New York, Michigan, Vermont, Washington, and the Canadian industry has been lamenting the fact that these amounts have not been duplicated since that time because of the recessionary conditions in the United States. Exports of cement were expected to be reduced by about 7% in 1981, while clinker exports, principally to Canadian owned grinding subsidiaries in the United States, will likely be down more than 25%. If so, these figures will be 40% and 66% lower, respectively, than the 1979 records.*

* Source: Mineral Policy Sector, Energy, Mines and Resources, Canada.

TABLE 6: Canada, shipments of construction materials, 1980-81

	1980		1981*	
	tonnes	$	tonnes	$
Cement	10 274 000	581 372 000	10 368 000	680 587 000
Sand and gravel	276 452 000	508 364 000	287 468 000	547 096 000
Stone	103 366 000	341 156 000	94 579 000	345 518 000
TOTAL		1 430 892 000		1 573 201 000

Canada, cement shipments, 1980-81

	1980		1981*	
	tonnes	$	tonnes	$
Ontario	3 397 000	163 056 000	3 620 000	200 115 000
Alberta	1 155 000	97 000 000	1 400 000	135 409 000
Quebec	2 765 000	128 999 000	2 527 000	128 973 000
British Columbia	1 351 000	90 881 000	970 000	81 546 000
Manitoba	510 000	34 680 000	650 000	50 904 000
Saskatchewan	370 000	30 200 000	398 000	37 413 000
Nova Scotia	370 000	18 379 000	398 000	26 323 000
New Brunswick	370 000	11 762 000	398 000	12 516 000
Newfoundland	370 000	6 415 000	398 000	7 388 000
TOTAL	10 274 000	581 372 000	10 368 000	680 587 000

* Preliminary

Source: Mineral Policy Sector, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada.

2. TECHNOLOGY IN USE

Portland cement is produced by calcining an accurately proportioned, finely ground mixture of limestone, silica, alumina and iron oxide in a rotary kiln to produce clinker, a fused chemically complex mixture of calcium silicates and aluminates which, in turn, is finely interground with gypsum to form cement. This material is then mixed with sand, aggregate and water to form concrete. The schematic outline of cement production is shown in Fig. 4 and the schematic outline of conditions and reactions in a typical rotary kiln (dry process are shown in Fig. 5).

3. PROBLEMS IN TECHNOLOGY

The problems associated with the processing of cement and lightweight aggregate mainly relate to the very high energy costs. To produce 1 t of cement requires 4×10^9 Joules (or 4GH) of energy. Also, environmental control requirements to minimize the amount of alkali in the flue dust have increased the alkali content of cements and resulted in potential alkali-aggregate reaction problems. During the past 10 years, all lightweight aggregate processing plants have closed down in Ontario and Quebec due to high energy costs and local clean air bylaws. In the manufacture of normal weight aggregates, there is the unresolved issue of what to do with waste limestone dust. Several million tonnes of this dust is stockpiled in limestone quarries. Further needs include the development of high-strength, durable concrete for construction in the North and for offshore drilling platforms, and a study of the problems of finding suitable aggregate sources for concrete in northern Canada.



Fig. 4. Schematic outline of cement production (from Concrete by Mindess & Young, 1981).



Fig. 5. Schematic outline of conditions and reactions in a typical cement rotary kiln (dry process)(from Concrete by Mindess & Young, 1981).

4. CURRENT R&D

The total expenditure for R&D in cement, aggregate and concrete in Canada is in the order to $3.5 million annually. This should be viewed against the value of cement production, $650 million (estimated), and that of construction, $5000 million (1980). The breakdown of the research effort for 1980 is as follows (19):

Industry	- $1 296 000*
Federal Agencies	- $ 651 000
Provincial Agencies	- $ 947 000
Universities	- $ 577 000
	$3 471 000

(* This includes research done by a large number of small and large companies across Canada and covers all aspects of the concrete industry.)

Most research by provincial agencies and industry is concerned with solving specific problems and, as such, has no Canada-wide application.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

To reduce energy needs in cement, aggregate, and concrete; to conserve mineral resources; to find durable concrete for use in marine environment and extreme cold weather regions of Canada, long-term research should be undertaken in the following areas:

1. Perform research to optimize grading of cement.
2. Develop processing methodology to produce cement at temperatures of about 1000°C instead of 1500°C.
3. Develop technical data on supplementary cementing materials such as fly ashes, silica fume, and blast furnace slags to reduce consumption of energy in cement manufacture.
4. Develop processes to obtain by-products closely resembling cement from fluidized bed processes for the burning of coal.
5. Develop technical data bank on non-ferrous slags for use in mine backfill and for low strength concretes in the mining areas.
6. Perform research to utilize aggregates from slags obtained from coal gasification plants.
7. Develop durable concretes for offshore structures.
8. To develop NDT techniques for in-situ testing for both above and underwater concretes.
9. Develop technology to manufacture lightweight aggregate with reduced energy requirements.

CERAMICS

Ceramics, by definition, comprise a diverse family of artifacts manufactured from inorganic, non-metallic materials that, at some stage in their fabrication (or end use) are processed at temperatures above red-heat. For the most part, they consist of complex mineral mixtures that undergo a series of physicochemical changes during processing to produce the desired physical, chemical and mechanical properties of the product. Because of variation in the naturally occurring raw materials and the complexity of the compositions, it is rarely possible to precisely predict, on the basis of chemistry and mineralogy, the suitability or processing behavior of any given constituent. Hence, evaluation of Canadian mineral materials for use in ceramic formulations necessarily involves not only their characterization individually, but also evaluation of their effect on product properties and processing parameters.

For purposes of this report, the ceramic spectrum is divided into five classes: clay products, pottery and whitewares, refractories, glass and glassceramics, and ceramic materials for advanced technology. Each class plays or can be expected to play a significant role in the Canadian context. Less significant classes, e.g., abrasives and procelain enamels, are included only inferentially.

CLAY PRODUCTS

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

The structural clay products industry in Canada produced goods valued at $127.8 million in 1980, employing a total workforce of 2993 persons, 2350 of whom were directly assigned to production (21). Products include clay building bricks and tiles, sewer pipes, flue-liners and farm drain tiles. Production has remained steady for the past several years, with increasing value partly reflecting inflation. The industry comprises 4 major multiplant corporations and a continually decreasing number of (usually very small) independent plants.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Raw materials are common clays and shales, usually obtained at or near the plant-site, in turn located near major markets. Processing involves comminution, forming, drying and firing stages: the larger plants use best

North American state of the art technology, including stiff-mud extrusion and tunnel-kiln firing.

3. PROBLEMS AND CHALLENGES

The process is energy intensive. In recent years the industry has significantly reduced energy consumption (reportedly by 15-20%) by closer control of the firing process and better utilization of waste heat; more efficient European tunnel kiln designs have not yet been adopted owing to capital costs. Environmental considerations can be expected to have major effects in the next two decades. Restrictions on quarrying (particularly in central Canada) and emissions (noteably fluorides) will prompt exploration for new deposits and possible relocations of plants. R&D will be required to prove new materials and develop new products, particularly of lighter weight, larger size and increased durability under Canadian climatic conditions.

4. CURRENT R&D

Current R&D is performed largely on an individual company basis, usually in concert with quality control; no statistics are available, but expenditures are estimated at about $400-500K annually. In addition, a research program at NRC into durability of bricks has been supported for the past 3 years on an industry-wide basis ($225K). Some federal government funding has been provided through the Industrial Research Assistance Program, administered by NRC. Currently, EMR, is proposing to fund R&D into fluoride emissions control and resultant energy inefficiencies, at $425K under the NEP Minerals program.

WHITEWARES AND POTTERY

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

The whitewares and pottery industry in Canada produced goods valued at $83.4 million in 1980 from imported clays: the total workforce is reportedly 1882, with 1523 assigned directly to production (2). Including goods made from indigenous clays, for which no statistics are available owing to the multiplicity of small operators, the total value undoubtedly exceeds $100K. Imports (mostly tableware) in recent years have been steady at about $60 million. The industry presents a great diversity in products and plant size. Products include fine chinaware, tableware, sanitaryware, electrical

and technical porcelain, and ceramic wall tiles (which are traditionally manufactured from white-burning raw materials) and artware and floor tiles (for which the underlying body -- exclusive of the glaze -- may be coloured). Plants range from very small to medium in the tableware/artware sectors to medium to large in the electrical/sanitaryware sectors; taken together the sanitaryware producers dominate the industry followed by the tile manufacturers.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Indigenous clays are commonly used in the artware sector, where clay preparation usually involves only washing and screening to remove gross impurities: mechanization and the use of modern, fuel-efficient kilns are usually precluded by the small scale of the operations. In the whitewares group, raw materials include clays, feldspar, nepheline syenite, silica, alumina, talc, and minor minerals such as whiting, wollastonite, etc. All materials are purchased to ceramic grade specifications from industrial minerals suppliers. Their preparation includes the usual processes of grinding, sizing, washing/leaching, flotation, magnetic separation, with attendant problems related to energy consumption and environmental concerns: these will be intensified and will require more R&D as existing high-grade ores continue to be depleted. In general the ceramic manufacturing processes are normal state of the art for North America. Body preparation in the tile industry is partly automated, and employs spray drying; the nature of the product lends itself to mechanical handling and glazing, though mechanical loading and unloading is rare. Because of the diversity and large sizes of various types of sanitaryware, the casting process is employed. Automatic casting is not practiced in Canada -- difficulties of control and heavy losses during upsets have discouraged its adoption in North America, although it appears to be the norm in the U.S.S.R.. Similarly, loading of kiln cars is manual.

3. PROBLEMS

Production of all ceramics is energy intensive; the whitewares industry has made significant progress in reducing energy consumption by improved kiln controls, change from muffled to direct-fired kilns, single firing of glazed ware and better utilization of waste heat. Recently, the

first roller-hearth kiln firing sanitaryware in North America was commissioned at Eastern Potteries Ltd.; this highly efficient installation was partly funded by EMR's Office of Energy Conservation.

4. CURRENT R&D

The extent of R&D in the Canadian pottery and whitewares industry is not recorded, but is unquestionably small, given the small size of many plants and the fact that the major producers are subsidiaries of foreign companies with research facilities largely centered in the USA. Development of new glazes and improved body formulations is carried out on a routine basis at a number of plants. Some federal funding has been provided through the Industrial Research Assistance Program, contracts usually being of the mini-IRAP type.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Increased efficiency is largely possible through increased automation and fuel efficiency to be gained by introducing further kiln technology capable of firing cycles registered in minutes rather than hours. Much R&D will be required to develop bodies and glazes capable of maturation in such fast-firing regimes.

REFRACTORIES

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

A variety of acid and basic refractories, both fired and unfired types, are produced in Canada for use as high-temperature resistant linings for furnaces for heat generation (boilers, heat treating equipment) and processing (pyrometallurgical smelters and refiners, ceramic and cement kilns). Shipments of manufactured products in 1980 were valued at $135.7 million, and until the current recession had been growing at 6-8%/a (23). The establishment of a major new plant (Didier Refractories) in 1979 had a major impact in the value of goods produced. The industry is dominated by 4 or 5 large plants (subsidiaries of foreign parents) and several smaller (some Canadian owned) firms. The former generally provides a more comprehensive range of products, whereas many of the latter make only specialty products, such as castables and mortars, and have no firing facilities. The comparatively small Canadian market for many special types

of refractories limits the variety actually produced to those in major demand by Canadian industry or, rarely, for export (e.g. Canadian Refractories Ltd).

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Medium- and high-heat duty fireclay brick, primarily used in boiler settings, are produced from both indigenous and imported fireclays, in both dense and insulating varieties: more-refractory types are produced by additions of imported bauxite. Insulating bricks of diatomite are manufactured by one Canadian company. Basic brick, for use in ferrous and non-ferrous metals refining are manufactured from Canadian and imported magnesite and dolomite, along with imported chrome ore. High alumina and mullite refractories are now being manufactured in Canada from Canadian and imported raw materials.

Process technology is essentially state of the art. Comminution and sizing of materials is automated, as is mixing and pressing of shapes but loading and unloading of kilncars is usually manual. Preparation of grog or deadburned magnesia is usually by rotary kiln with heat recovery systems, and kiln controls and waste heat recovery facilities have been upgraded for increased energy efficiency. Reject brick can usually be recycled to grog.

3. PROBLEMS

The very high temperatures involved in firing refractories make the industry energy intensive. No facilities exist in Canada for manufacture of large, dense, isostatically pressed or fusion-cast blocks (as used for example in glass tanks).

Recent developments in pyrometallurgy and in thermal power engineering have led to higher temperatures and more aggressive ambient conditions requiring new or improved refractories. Similarly, with the end of the current recession and oil glut it is likely that interest will revive in areas such as gasification and liquifaction of coal, where existing refractories have been shown to be little more than adequate. In fact, no new refractory materials available at a commercially acceptable price are in sight, and improvements are likely only through use of less-impure raw materials and progressive development such as has occurred in chrome-magnesia bricks, which have improved from silicate bonded to direct bonded to

re-bonded fused grain to fusion-cast products. New combinations of existing raw materials are also possible, as in current development of carbon-impregnated periclase bricks, currently being investigated by Canadian Refractories Ltd. at Laval University with funding assistance from NRC (24). New silicon carbide compositions are expected to find much wider use although existing manufacturing processes present serious emission problems.

4. CURRENT R&D

R&D in refractories in Canada is going forward on a continuous basis at Ecole Polytechniques, Canadian Refractories Ltd., and presently in CANMET. Intermittent research is underway at Laval University, University of Toronto, McMaster University, University of British Columbia and several other manufacturers and users. Total resources committed at any time are estimated to be in the area of $500-600K/a. The work by CRL is partly funded by NRC.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Of equal or more importance, reliable test methodologies are lacking for predicting behaviours of refractories in service in most applications, and need to be developed, e.g., non-destructive methods appear promising.

GLASS AND GLASSCERAMICS

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

In 1980, the value of glass manufacture (melted) in Canada was $484.3 million, with 8604 employees, supplemented by a further $260.8 million shipments of glass products manufacture such as mirrors and tempered glass, employing a further 3439 persons (25). Container production has remained relatively stable, but flat glass production has been adversely affected by the slump in auto and housing production. Except for a few small operators producing hand-blown artware, all the plants are of substantial size commensurate with economies of scale. The container segment comprises two multi-plant corporations with 12 plants located from coast to coast; two major corporations form the flat glass segment, with plants located in central Canada near the major markets. The third segment, glass fibre, is dominated by Fibreglass Canada Ltd. with 4 plants, although others produce glass and mineral wools. There is no production of glassceramics or fusion cast materials in Canada.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

The raw materials for glass melting comprise silica, nepheline syenite, feldspar, soda ash, salt cake, limestone, dolomite, borax, barytes, and other minor ingredients such as decolourizers. Raw materials are purchased at glass-grade specifications from industrial minerals processors, who beneficiate them by methods standard to the industry. The nepheline syenite and much of the silica, soda and lime is derived from indigenous Canadian sources, the remainder is imported from the United States.

Technologies in glass making are North American state of the art. Batching is automatic, frequently computer-controlled; considerable R&D has been done to develop batching techniques to speed melting. Except for fibre-glass, which is all-electric, the energy-intensive melting process uses natural gas in regenerative furnaces and increased insulation is being used in furnace construction. Closer combustion control has been instituted to save fuel. Containers are formed by modern, high-speed, multi-station machines. One flat glass producer employs the Pilkington float process, the other a fully modernized Pittsburgh process.

3. PROBLEMS AND CHALLENGES

Problems in the glass industry relate to needs to improve energy efficiency, increase durability of tank refractories, reduce emissions, develop improved mould materials, recycle used glass and dispose of waste products. Particulate emissions are controlled by dust collectors but emissions of NO_x and sulphurous gases are a problem. A current issue in the USA is disposal of used chrome-bearing refractories from the regenerators, considered a source of toxic wastes: research is required into means, preferably, of recycling these materials. Used glass, a significant source of litter, is a beneficial raw material, each percent of cullet reducing fuel requirements by 0.25 to 0.5%. A recycling program by the Canadian container industry has demonstrated successful reclamation of waste glass, as well as potential use of cullet up to 80% of the batch. Collection now presents the prime problem.

Because glass is an inherently brittle material, its full potential cannot be exploited as a structural material. Research is required into glass failure mechanisms and methods of strengthening it, possibly

reinforcing with refractory fibres or plates or development of intrinsic two-phase systems by exploiting immiscible phases.

4. CURRENT R&D

R&D in Canada in glass is limited and strongly oriented to "development" of in-plant processes. Some industry sponsored research on strength of sheet is underway at ORF and some in-house work on glass structure is being conducted at U.B.C. Total effort can probably be valued at a few $100 000.

CANMET has sponsored contracts ($75K, 2.5 years) to develop glass ceramics for abrasion-resistant linings, currently utilizing waste steel plant slags: this technology is widespread in the USSR and Warsaw Pact countries to produce structural components and abrasion/corrosion resistant materials from waste glass and slags (sitals), as well as fusion-cast basaltic compositions.

CERAMIC MATERIALS FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

A great variety of ceramic materials are under development or envisioned to be required as critical components in devices and systems for energy conservation, conversion and storage, and in the areas of transportation and communications, as well as industrial applications. Most of this product development is at the leading edge of technological development expected to reach fruition only in the next 5-20 years, following which much research can be expected to be required to improve performance in the usual process of evaluation of prototypes.

The raw materials required are most often chemically prepared and are of high purity. Processing often involved preparation of precursor powders by chemical precipitation, sol-gel, spray-drying, freeze-drying, etc., product fabrication by conventional forming and sintering or new technologies such as hot isostatic pressing or completely novel process modifications developed to suit the occasion. Problems relate chiefly to optimising compositions and processing methods to suit the requirements.

Internationally, the effort expended in R&D in these areas is extremely large: in Canada development of these systems undoubtedly is counted in the hundreds of millions of dollars, with the ceramic component

probably in the 5-20% range in most cases. Much of the R&D is industrial-confidential or has military connotations and thus cannot be determined.

The CANMET program to develop fast ion-conducting ceramic electrolytes for storage batteries and fuel cells (5) is currently provided with about 5 PY and $200K, with possible increase during the 1982-83 fiscal year by 2 PY and up to $500K. Complementary support from NRC and DREE has been in the order of $200K/a. Opportunities are seen to expand this program to develop materials for MHD systems, electrolysers, thermoelectric generators (for conversion of waste heat) and a variety of sensors and potential materials (metals) refining systems.

Recently the Japanese announced the first prototype ceramic engine in the diesel configuration: it reportedly employs low-expansion glassceramics in the high-temperature components. Over the past several years a great effort has been put forward (largely coordinated by IEA) in developing a high-temperature turbine engine that will employ ceramic SiAION materials in the rotors and stators. Although some research on processing and engineering properties of both types of materials has been done at Canadian Universities, Canada's contribution in this challenging area has been insignificant, but future opportunities will exist for a contribution to improve materials in the normal process of development.

There is a clear need for refractory materials with high thermal-shock resistance for use in high-temperature heat exchangers. There is present and future opportunity to exploit Canadian materials for thermal storage media, particularly in the residential area in conjunction with active solar systems or utilization of off-peak electricity.

There is continuing need for new and improved ceramic electronic components: transducers, semi-conductors, capacitors, substrates suited to higher temperatures and greater heat-sink capacities for use in ultra-high frequency devices, e.g. for communication satellites. Semi-conductors for photogalvanic applications are being researched in Canada, but a vastly increased effort will be required if Canada is ever to become a prime mover in the area of photovoltaics on the international scene.

A number of ceramic materials have potential biological uses, e.g. for implanted prostheses but development is slow and an increased effort could be advantageous.

There has been considerable interest in prognosticating opportunities for ceramic research in this and the next decade. Regardless of origin there is a significant concurrence on major themes, most of which have been touched upon above. A useful overview may be gained from references 26,27,28.

REFERENCES

1. Canadian Mineral Survey, 1981, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada.
2. Canadian Minerals Yearbook, 1979, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada.
3. Industrial Minerals and Rocks, 4th Edition, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1975.
4. Phosphate Rock, MR 193, Mineral and Earth Sciences Program, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1982.
5. Collings, R.K., "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 1 - Mining wastes in Ontario"; CANMET Report 76-2; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1976.
6. Collings, R.K., "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 2 - Mining wastes in Quebec"; CANMET Report 77-55; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1977.
7. Collings, R.K., "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 3 - Mining wastes in British Columbia"; CANMET Report 79-22; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1979.
8. Collings, R.K. "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 4 - Mining wastes in the Atlantic Provinces"; CANMET Report 80-12E; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1980.
9. Collings, R.K. "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 5, Mining Wastes in the Prairie Provinces"; CANMET Report 81-9E; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, 1981.
10. Collings, R.K. "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 6 - Mining wastes as potential fillers"; CANMET Report 80-13E; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1980.
11. Collings, R.K., and Wang, S.S.B. "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 7 - Ferrous metallurgical wastes"; CANMET Report 80-19E; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1980.
12. Collings, R.K. and Wang, S.S.B. "Mineral waste resources of Canada, Report No. 8 - Non-Ferrous Metallugrical Wastes"; CANMET Report 81-17E; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1981.
13. Riley, G.W. "Milling of asbestos ores", Milling practice in Canada, CIM Special Volume 16, Chapter 12, pp 349-370.
14. Cossette, M. "Automation of asbestos milling circuits", CIM Bull, Vol. 64, No. 708, pp 25-33, April 1971.

15. Rozovsky, H. "Application of air to asbestos milling at the new Jeffrey mill of the Canadian Johns-Manville Company Limited, Asbestos, Quebec", CIM Bull, Vol. 48, No. 520, pp 486-520, August 1955.

16. Energy, Mines and Resources. "Asbestos", Mineral Policy Series MR 155, Energy Mines and Resources Canada, July 1976.

17. Vagt, G.O. "Asbestos, 1980 annual review", Energy, Mines and Resources Canada.

18. Martinez, E. "Magnetic concentration of asbestos ore", CIM Bull, pp 100-104, May 1979.

19. Neville, A.M. "Long-term applied research in construction materials of mineral origin in Canada with particular reference to CANMET"; CANMET Contract Report 2556272; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, 1981.

20. Malhotra, V.M., Berry, E.E. and Wheat, T.A., editors; "Energy and resource conservation in the cement and concrete industry"; Seminar; Energy, Mines and Resources, Canada, 1975.

21. Statistics Canada. "Clay Products Manufacturers: From Domestic Clays" Catalogue 44-215 Annual (1980).

22. Statistics Canada. "Clay Products Manufacturers: From Imported Clays" Catalogue 44-216 Annual (1980).

23. Statistics Canada. "Refractories Manufacturers" Catalogue 44-214 Annual (1980).

24. Perry, J.R. Canadian Refractories Ltd., personal communication.

25. Statistics Canada. "Glass and Glass Products Manufacturers" Catalogue 44-207 Annual (1980).

26. Wachtman, J.B. Jr., Gordon, R.S., Roy, R., Sinnott, M.J. and Wilcox, B.A. "An evaluation of needs and opportunities for fruitful fundamental research in ceramics"; Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.; 57:12:19-24 (1978).

27. Kingery, W.D. "Social needs and ceramic technology"; Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.: 59:6:598-600.

28. Roberts, J.P. "The future of ceramic technology"; Euroclay (J. Inst. Clay Tech); 6: Nov-Dec. (1981).

29. Campbell, W.J. "Selected silicate minerals and their asbestiform varieties"; Information Circular 8751, USBM 1977.

30. Radollet, M.S. "Physical properties and technical applications of asbestos Minerals"; CIM Bulletin, Vol. 62, No. 684, April 1969, pp. 432-437.

31. Winson, R.W. "Asbestos industrial minerals and rocks"; 4th Ed., Stanley, J. Lefond, Editor, Sealey W. Mudd Series, AIME, 1975, pp. 379-426.

32. Camochan, R.K. "The wet separation of asbestos from its gangue", Mines Branch Publication No. 605, Summary Report on Mines Branch Investigations 1922, pp. 120 to 124.

33. Clifton, R.A. "Asbestos"; Mineral Commodity Profiles USBM, July 1979.

34. Evans, R.G. "Operations parameters, design concepts and pre-installation testing for a new asbestos processing complex at the Jeffrey mine"; CIM Bulletin, Vol. 68, No. 759, July 1975, pp. 105-110.

35. Berger, H. "Development of the control system for the Jeffrey mine's asbestos processing complex"; CIM Bulletin, Vol. 68, No. 754, Feb. 1975, pp. 53-59

36. "Testing procedures for chrysotile asbestos fibres"; 2nd edition, 1966, Asbestos Textile Institute, Mineral Fiber Products Bureau, Quebec Asbestos Mining Association.

37. Riley, G.W. "Pilot plant investigation for the recovery of nickel, iron and asbestos fibre from plant tailings of Carey-Canadian Mines Limited, East Broughton, Quebec"; Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 68-42, July 1968.

38. Winer, A. and Wilson, H.S. "Mineral wool from asbestos tailings"; Mines Branch Investigation Report IR 73-42.

39. Nagamori, N., Plumpton, A.J. and Houilier "The activation of magnesia in serpentine by calcination and the chemical utilization of asbestos tailings - a review"; CIM Bull Vol. 73, No. 824, pp. 144-156, Dec. 1980.

40. Saunders, G.C. "The ungraded - fibre mill"; CIM Bull, Vol. 69, No. 775 pp. 75-80, Nov. 1976.

41. News Release-Asbestos, Vol. 64, No. 2 pp. 24, Aug. 1982

42. Personal Communication.

APPENDIX

1973-1974

1. Crystal chemistry of phosphate minerals. 2. Crystal chemistry of zirconium minerals; Chao, G.Y.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $11 900

1972-1973

1. Crystal chemistry of phosphate minerals. 2. Crystal chemistry of zirconium minerals; Chao, G.Y.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $8500

1972-1972

Synthesis and stability of MG-FE-CA-Pyroxenes and Tritium-Lbaelled asbestos minerals; Turnock, A.C.; Earth sciences; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7200

LIGHT AND REACTIVE METALS

LIGHT AND REACTIVE METALS

This commodity grouping includes the "light metals" aluminum and magnesium and the "reactive metals" titanium and zirconium. The term reactive metal is applied to titanium and zirconium because they have a strong affinity for gasses in the air at elevated temperatures and when combined, form very stable compounds. Although not related chemically or in terms of common extraction processes, they are included with the light metals for convenience.

ALUMINUM

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

The world aluminum industry is essentially controlled by six multinational companies: Alcan, Alcoa, Kaiser Aluminum, Reynolds Metals, Pechiney Ugine Kuhlmann (PUK), and Alusuisse. These companies are fully integrated and own sometimes on a shared basis, the mines, alumina refineries, smelters and fabricating plants. They control nearly 50% of the world capacity in this metal and are associated with practically all new projects of international significance (5). In Canada, metal production of approximately 1 Mt/a* is accounted for by Alcan (85%) and Reynolds (15%) with smelters in Quebec and British Columbia. With the present recession all the world's smelters are operating at reduced capacity (Canada 88%, US 65%). In 1980, Canada exported 784 720 t valued at $1500 million.

Canada's low electrical energy costs, principally hydroelectric, relative to the rest of the world give it a strong position as a major aluminum producer. Japan which a few years ago was the third largest producer of aluminum (a position now held by Canada) has been forced to cut production drastically because of its high energy costs (3). For this same reason it is unlikely that many new smelters will be built in the USA. Future smelting will go where there is cheap power and in fact Canada's smelter capacity has been recently increasing. The production of alumina from bauxite will tend to become more concentrated in the countries which have the ore. One reason being that it is cheaper to transport alumina than bauxite.

---

* Denotes million (10^6) metric tonnes per year.

Aluminum is the most abundant metallic element in the earth's crust, 8.8%. Bauxite, the ore of aluminum, is spread throughout the world principally in countries of temperate climate. In 1980, Canada imported 3.5 Mt bauxite and 984 000 t alumina principally from Australia, Africa, South America and the Caribbean; these operations generally are owned or partially owned by Alcan.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

In the Bayer process to transform bauxite to alumina, bauxite is digested with sodium hydroxide to dissolve alumina, which is purified, precipitated as a trihydrate and calcinated to Al_2O_3. This alumina is the feedstock to the Hall-Hérault process wherein it is electrolysed in a fused salt bath of sodium-aluminum fluoride to metallic aluminum. Although both processes are approaching 100 years old, they are used to produce almost all the world's aluminum. They have, and are, being continuously improved and automated principally to increase production capacity and to reduce the high energy consumption of this industry. Examples are flash fluid calciners replacing rotary kilns, improved cell and anode design, addition of LiF to the cell and computer controls. Cell current efficiency is now approaching 95% and power requirements to reduce the oxide to the metal have been lowered by about 30% in the past 30 years to 13 Kwh/kg (2). These improvements, as well as the use of alumina scrubbers to capture fluoride emissions from the cells, have reduced atmospheric pollution and improved the working environment.

3. PROBLEMS

The aluminum industry is a mature industry, but it is continuously ameliorating its processes to improve the environment and reduce costs. The technology for reducing fluoride emissions with alumina scrubbers is in place in many smelters. Red mud tailings are still impounded although numerous R&D attempts have been made to recover the residual alumina and soda content. The energy required is a very large factor in aluminum smelting and is the object of continuous R&D and process development. Up to 18 000 kwh is required per tonne of aluminum compared to 150 kwh for steel. As mentioned Canada must import all its raw material, bauxite and alumina, thus raising the question of security of supply. However, bauxite deposits are so widely dispersed throughout the world that lack of supply is not a major threat.



Figure 1. Flow sheet of the Bayer process.

4. CURRENT R&D

In 1974, the formation of the International Bauxite Association (IBA) as a cartel spured research by many countries into non-bauxite alumina sources and processes. CANMET had its own project investigating the resource base of alumina-bearing clays, coal wastes, and anorthosite. Various processes were investigated to extract alumina from these materials and cell grade alumina was produced. However, the processes were more complex and were evaluated as being more expensive than the Bayer process using bauxite. As a result of CANMET's work and discovery of an acid soluble anorthosite deposit, Alcan then worked on and refined the acid-anorthosite process. They are still evaluating their results. The USBM, with direction and partial funding by a number of aluminum companies (including Alcan and Reynolds; CANMET conducted some of the research required and had observer status), built several miniplants to investigate non-bauxite alumina processes. The most attractive was an HCl-clay process. This work continues but at a lower level of effort. Alcan and Pechiney built and operated a 20 t/d H_2SO_4-clay or shale process (the H^+ Process) in France in 1975-76. The results are still being evaluated and some laboratory work continues. The R&D effort on non-bauxite alumina has been decreased lately for various reasons: the IBA did not become a strong cartel as was initially feared, large bauxite deposits have been found and are being developed in Australia and Brazil, and the recession has reduced funding available for R&D.

In smelting, the Alcoa process is the most recent attempt to displace the Hall-Hérault Process. It reportedly requires about 30% less power. In the process, alumina is impregnated with oil and chlorinated to $AlCl_3$. This is then electrolysed in a fused salt bath to metal. A 15 000 t/a plant was built in the mid 1970's and although it was to have been expanded to 50,000 t/a in the late 1970's, it was not. There are apparently still technical problems probably associated with the chlorination step.

Alcan has two R&D laboratories in Canada at Arvida, Que. and Kingston, Ont., one in the UK and one in Spain. Additionally, all the smelters carry on some process R&D themselves. Including headquarters staff associated with R&D, technology and engineering Alcan had 1 200 people and a budget of $47 million in 1980 (3). Reynolds R&D labs are in the US. Some small scale research is occasionally carried on at various universities. As mentioned, CANMET had an alumina project from 1975-80. All the aluminum

companies in North America have been historically very secretive about their R&D efforts. Such secrecy makes any R&D CANMET might contemplate less effective. Alcan has been and would be the principal beneficiary of CANMET's work.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Aluminum is a 1000 million dollar Canadian industry and hence CANMET should maintain a knowledge base in alumina-aluminum. CANMET could contribute by maintaining some effort on the resource base of non-bauxite materials for security of supply. Our wider viewpoint of extractive metallurgy than the aluminum industry has, may in future indicate an area of useful process R&D.

REFERENCES

1. Kirk-Othmer "Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology", 3rd edition, Vol. 2, John Wiley and Sons, Toronto; 1977.
2. Light Metals, AIME; 1980.
3. Alcan Aluminum Limited; Annual Report. 1980.
4. Traynor, H. "Aluminum: a shining example of Canadian enterprise"; CIM Bull. 30-33; Oct. 1980.
5. Peterson, G.R., et al. "Alumina availability-domestic"; USBM IC 8861.

MAGNESIUM

MAGNESIUM (CALCIUM, STRONTIUM)

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY (1,2)

In 1980, the world production of primary magnesium was 320 000 t with the US accounting for about half of this total and the USSR and Norway 24% and 15% respectively.

In 1980, the production of magnesium in Canada was 9200 t with a value of $27 million of which 6000 t were exported. Canadian consumption was 5000 t which included 3500 t of imports.

Commercial-grade magnesium which represents the major proportion of Canadian production, is used for general fabrication and alloying, mostly with aluminum to impart hardness and strength. Magnesium finds increasing use in the ferrous industries as a deoxidizing and desulfurizing agent. It is also used as a reducing agent in the production of titanium and other reactive metals as well as for cathodic protection.



Fig. 1. Diagram showing the electrolytic extraction of magnesium from sea water.



Fig. 2. Diagram showing extraction of magnesium metal from dolomite ore by ferro-silicon process.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Primary magnesium is produced by two basic processes. The first is by electrolysis of magnesium chloride derived from seawater and brines. The second method (Pidgeon process) reduces magnesium from ores such as dolomite or magnesite, with ferrosilicon at high temperatures. Flowsheets for the two methods are shown in Fig. 1 and 2 (8). Canadian production, as well as plants in France, Italy, Japan and one in the United States, use the latter method. The other producers use the electrolytic method. The electrolytic process consumes considerably more energy than the ferrosilicon reduction process.

The Chromasco Ltd. plant at Haley, Ontario which is the only Canadian producer of primary magnesium, is rated at 11 000 t/a (1). Calcined dolomitic limestone ($MgCO_3$ $CaCO_3$) is mixed with ferrosilicon and compacted into briquettes which are charged into horizontal retorts eleven inches in diameter and ten feet in length within the hot zone (1150-1200°C) of a gas or

electrically heated furnace (3). The retorts are evacuated and as the reaction proceeds, magnesium vapour condenses in the water-cooled end of the retort which is outside the furnace.

Magnesium is also commercially electrowon from a $NaCl-KCl-MgCl_2-CaCl_2$ fused salt electrolyte, maintained at 700-790°C, which is more dense than the magnesium metal itself (4). For this reason, in commercial magnesium chloride electrolysis cells (the Dow and I.G. Farben (IGF) cells), the cell cathodes are equipped with collection systems, which trap the rising metal and channel it outside the cells.

The Dow cell is designed to operate with a magnesium chloride feed that contains 25 wt% water, whereas the IGF cell operates with an anhydrous liquid $MgCl_2$ feed. In the Dow cell, water vapour evolved at the introduction of the hydrous $MgCl_2$ feed reacts with some of the chlorine evolved at the anode to form gaseous HCl. Since no attempt is made to recover concentrated chlorine gas from the anodes, the anode-cathode spacing is made as small as possible consistent with preventing the back-reaction of magnesium and chlorine. In practice, this anode-cathode separation is 4 cm, which allows high current densities to be achieved.

The gaseous mixture of HCl and Cl_2 is converted in an acid furnace essentially to hydrochloric acid which is then used to dissolve $Mg(OH)_2$ to produce $MgCl_2$ solutions for crystallization.

Since feed to the IFG cell is anhydrous $MgCl_2$, concentrated chlorine is evolved at the anodes and recovered for chlorination of magnesium oxychloride-coal-peat briquettes. An anode-cathode spacing of 13 cm is necessary for chlorine recovery, however, and this increases cell resistance and thus decreases current density. The production rate of the Dow cell is 20 kg magnesium per hour, that is, a similar output to that of the Hall-Héroult cell.

A new prototype Dow cell, which apparently uses 50% less energy than the present Dow cell, is being tested with a view to employment for expansion of capacity.

3. PROBLEMS

Among the recent pressures and problems facing the magnesium production industry today, it appears that the following are of primary concern. Until recently, a major requirement for the production of magnesium

by the retort method was heavy manual exertion under significant heat stress and dust conditions during charging and discharging (3).

Another concern within the industry is the energy consumption for the production of magnesium (3).

The lack of a Canadian source of strontium minerals (celestite $SrSo_4$, and strontianite $SrCO_3$) appear to be of concern (3), since Chromasco produces 100 tonnes of strontium per year by aluminothermic reduction of SrO, mostly for alloying with aluminum, and must import its raw feed materials. Concern was also expressed over the availability of relatively high grades of limestone, since these are required for the 500 t/a of aluminothermic calcium production.

4. CURRENT R&D

The problem of heavy manual labour in an unpleasant working environment is being overcome by the implementation of hydraulically-operated pneumatic charge-discharge systems which provide a very significant reduction in the work load and greatly reduce the risk of accident and health problems to workers (3).

The Société Nationale de l'Amiante (SNA) which is the Québec crown corporation empowered to enter fields related to asbestos, has recently developed and operated a pilot plant to produce pure $MgSO_4$ from $MgSiO_3$-bearing asbestos tailings, of which 20-30 Mt/a are produced in Québec (5,6). They are also developing a new process for the production of metallic magnesium (7) which is related to the magnetherm process but is simplified and intended, in contrast to the Pidgeon retort process, to operate continuously and at atmospheric pressure.

The process for magnesium sulphate is based on the calcination of asbestos tailings with ammonium sulphate and will be adapted to produce $MgCO_3$ which in turn may be calcined to form MgO feed for magnesium metal production (7).

It is evident that the above innovations, if taken to production scale, will have beneficial results on reducing the environmental effects of asbestos tailings and recovering valuable metals from waste products. It is too early to determine whether the new process for magnesium production will be more energy efficient than the conventional processes.

Research on the thermodynamics and kinetics of the direct reduction of MgO is presently being conducted at the University of Waterloo (2) with

one of the objectives being to reduce the energy requirements by recovering some of the enthalpy of condensation of the magnesium vapour.

Research is also being conducted at Chromasco (3) into the development of a new, more thermally efficient electric furnace than those currently being employed. Additionally, modifications are being made to their present furnaces.

High purity dolomite availability is not expected to be a problem in the future.

Expansion of production by overseas suppliers appears not to be of concern since the plant at present is operating at about 75% of capacity.

Environmental issues are related to the non-toxic calcium silicate dust emissions from the retort residues. Through the use of bag-houses and scrubbers, these emissions are minimized.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

Major improvements in the work load associated with the retort production of magnesium have been effected in the last decade. Reduction of energy consumption by the retort method should continue as a primary consideration in research on magnesium production. Little other significant change to the basic retort process is anticipated.

The development of quality sources of limestone, strontianite and celestite are of concern to the Canadian producer of calcium and strontium.

REFERENCES

1. Pearson, D. "Magnesium", Mineral Policy Sector, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, Ottawa; 1979.
2. Wynnyckyj, J.R., University of Waterloo, personal communication; 1982.
3. Proats, A., Chromasco, Renfrew; "Pidgeon siliconthermic process in the 70's", in "Light Metals 1980", C.J. McMinn ed., Met. Soc AIME, Warrendale, P.A., USA; 1979.
4. Skeaff, J.M. Trans IMM C71:89; 1980.
5. Société Nationale de l'Amiante (SNA); Annual Report-1980-81.
6. Anon. Chem Eng, June 14, 17;(1982)
7. Lalancette, M., SNA, Sherbrooke, personal communication; 1982.
8. Kirk-Othmer "Encyclopedia of chemical technology; John Wiley; 1970.

TITANIUM

TITANIUM

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

Although titanium dioxide has been used for pigments and fillers for over 60 years, the metal has been produced commercially for only half that time. Even now only 10% of titanium ores are used for metal production and of that about 60% goes to the aircraft and aerospace industry (7). In 1980, approximatley 100 000 t of titanium metal sponge were produced by the USSR, US, Japan, UK and China (4). There is no metal production in Canada.

The free world sponge capacity should exceed 56.7 Mkg in 1982, an increase of 4.3% over 1980 capacity. The production of the metal was initially sponsored by the US military for aerospace and has gone up and down according to their needs, e.g., one B-61 bomber would use 90 720 kg of metal (11). However, because of the metal's light weight, high strength and excellent resistance to corrosion and chemicals non-aircraft uses are becoming more common. In Russia, titanium is often used where we would use stainless steel. They recently built a submarine using titanium which resulted in their reducing exports. This, combined with high demand, forced the price from $1.47 to about $11.34/kg. It is now about $2.27/kg (8).

Canada does have very large reserves of ilmenite ore and one company, QIT-Fer et Titane, Quebec, produces an ilmenite concentrate (2.5 Mt/a capacity) and a titanium slag (860 Kt/a capacity) (3). The ilmenite ore is upgraded from about 86 to 93% combined titanium and iron oxides by heavy media, spirals and cylcones separators. In 1979, Canada was the world's third largest exporter of ilmenite concentrate. QIT also produces Sorelslag (70-72% TiO_2) and Sorelmetal (pig iron) by first calcining the ilmenite concentrate to remove sulphur and then smelting it in electric furnaces with coke. QIT is planning improvements to its electric furnaces and auxiliary equipment. About 90% of the slag is exported. The remaining 10% of the slag is sold to two Quebec producers of TiO_2 pigment. This is made by sulphuric acid leaching of the slag, fitration to remove iron and silica, seeding, precipitation and calcining of TiO_2. Both producers have the same 34 Kt/a capacity. All three of the above companies are foreign owned (3).

There are two small titanium metal fabricators, the larger one being Titanium Ltd/Ltée with annual sales estimated at $10 million (1982). They import sponge (which is melted by Eldorado Nuclear), ingots and plates which

they have rolled by Atlas Steels Ltd. to various shapes used by Titanium Ltd/Ltée to fabricate heat exchangers, tubes, etc. This company had investigated building a sponge plant in 1979-80. Its cost at $50-60 million and lack of government support (in fact difficulties with FIRA) cancelled the project. It would have operated on imported rutile (TiO_2) (8).

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

Titanium sponge is produced by the Kroll Process. A TiO_2 concentrate of rutile, ilmenite or slag is chlorinated with coke to $TiCl_4$. The tetrachloride is then reduced to sponge metal with magnesium or sodium metal in a closed inert system. It is a batch operation and although some reactors have been increasing in size such that 3-7 t of sponge can be produced per batch, most are kept small in order to keep good quality control on metal. Residual magnesium is removed by vacuum distillation or by leaching; residual sodium is leached. The sponge is formed into consumable electrodes with scap or alloy and melted in a vacuum arc furnace and cast as large ingots.



Fig. 1. Conversion of ilmenite and rutile to refined TiO_2 and $TiCl_4$. Courtesy American Society for Metals.



Fig. 2. Thermal reduction of titanium tetrachloride to sponge titanium. Courtesy American Society for Metals.

Another process, developed in the US and also in Japan, but not yet commerciallized on a large scale, is the electrolysis of $TiCl_4$ in a molten salt bath (4,5). Shawinigan Chemicals operated a pilot plant in 1948-51 using molten salt bath electrolysis. The D-H Company announced the operation of its 1 M lb electrowinning plant in 1981. It shut down in early 1982 due to technical problems (10).

3. PROBLEMS

A major problem affecting the titanium industry is its lack of stability, that is, the extreme variation of supply and demand as a result of being so closely tied to the aerospace industry (2). Presently there is a large excess capacity. Energy requirements to make the metal are high, about 1½ times that of aluminum. The raw material from which this metal is usually made is rutile which would have to be imported, although it can be made from ilmenite.

4. CURRENT R&D

The only R&D in Canada appears to be by a private organization, Titane de St-Laurent which is doing research with a group of UK scientists (9). They have a pilot plant in England and claim to have produced metals from uncalcinated TiO_2. A pilot plant is to be build in Ottawa and then a plant in Quebec. Sorelslag will be the feed stock. It is an electrochemical process but apparently not based on chloride metallurgy. They have decided to keep the process secret rather than to patent it. There is a lot of interest in the US e.g. Timet, Boeing and also in Canada but people are waiting to see metal produced.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

An area of research which QIT and at least one pigment plant are working on is HCl leaching of Sorelslag to make TiO_2. Presently this is done with H_2SO_4 but there is an environmental problem with the waste acid which must be neutralized (10). CANMET could possibly assist with this problem.

REFERENCES

1. Kirk-Othmer "Encyclopedia of chemical technology"; 2nd edition, John Wiley and Sons, Toronto, 1973.
2. Burte, H.M.; Ceylon, D. "Compatibility of energy and aerospace interests in titanium"; J Metals 20-22; Jan. 1982.
3. Boucher, M.A. "Titanium and titanium dioxide" Mineral Policy Sector, 1981.
4. Minkler, W.W. "Titanium in 1980", J Metals 41-44; April 1981.
5. Tokumoto, S. et al. "The deposition of titanium metal by fusion electrolysis"; J Metals 13-23; Nov. 1975.
6. Boucher, M.A. "Trip report on visits to titanium and zirconium metal manufacturing plants in the United States, Oct. 14, 1980.
7. Rosenbaum, J.B. "Titanium technology trends"; J Metals 76-80; June 1982.
8. B. Williams, Titanium Ltd.
9. G. Hay, Titane de St-Laurent
10. H. Lee, QIT.
11. Minkler, W.W. "Titanium"; E and M.J. 108-111, Mar. 1982.

ZIRCONIUM

ZIRCONIUM

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY (1,2)

In 1980, world production capacity of zirconium was 7100 t of which Teledyne Wah Chang Albany (TWCA), Pechiney Ugine Kuhlman (PUK) and Western Zirconium Inc. (WZI) accounded for 92%. Western world consumption of zirconium was estimated at 3300 t for nuclear power stations and 900 t for non-nuclear applications. Although world zirconium production is relatively small, it is nonetheless critical in that zirconium is the only metal suitable for cladding of nuclear reactor fuel bundles. Reactor grade zirconium accounted for a "substantial portion" of Canada's 278 t of 1980 imports of zirconium metals and alloys.

Australia is the major world supplier of zircon, which is imported into Canada (27 500 t in 1980) to make cores and facings on foundry moulds.

Zirconia (ZrO_2) is also imported, usually in the form of baddekyite (64 t in 1980) to make artificial abrasives by fusion with alumina (Al_2O_3).

Ferrozirconium alloys are imported (232 t in 1980) for use in corrosion resistant vessels and piping.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY (3,4)

The conventional process for the production of reactor grade zirconium is influenced by the necessity of separating hafnium and zirconium which are practically indistinguishable in chemical properties and always occur together; there is about 2% hafnium present in zirconium. The separation is required since the presence of hafnium in the zirconium cladding of uranium fuel bundles would poison the nuclear reaction due to the high cross section of hafnium for thermal neutrons.

As shown in Fig. 1 (3), reactor grade zirconium is conventionally produced as follows:

(1) Zircon sand ($ZrO_2.SiO_2$) is heated with coke in an arc furnace to produce zirconium carbonitride and volatile SiO.

(2) The zirconium carbonitride is treated with chlorine to produce zirconium tetrachloride which is purified of oxides and other chlorides by resublimation in an inert atmosphere.

(3) Hafnium is separated from the zirconium at this point by dissolution of the crude zirconium tetrachloride in ammonium thiocyanate solution and solvent extraction of the hafnium thiocyanate complex by methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK). The zirconium remaining in solution is precipitated with NH^4OH as the hydroxide and kilned to produce ZrO^2.

(4) The halnium-free zirconium oxide is then pelletized with carbon and powered sugar and reacted with chlorine a second time to produce a pure $ZrCl_4$ sublimate.

(5) The $ZrCl_4$ is reacted with liquid magnesium under argon to form sponge zirconium and by-product magnesium chloride which is separated from the sponge by melting and vacuum distillation.

(6) The zirconium sponge is crushed, pressed into bars, arc-melted under vacuum and cast into ingots.

Production of other grades of zirconium is conducted by omitting steps 3 and 4.



Fig. 1. Conventional production of reactor-grade zirconium.

3. PROBLEMS

Zirconium is a strategic requirement for the CANDU reactor system, but is not produced in Canada. In the event that domestic supplies of reactor-grade Zr should be required, it would be necessary to develop Canadian production facilities. Canadian imports in 1980 amounted to about 300 t with a value of about $13 million (1).

4. CURRENT R&D (5,6,7)

In 1977, an ad hoc committee with representation from Ontario Hydro, Noranda Mines, Universities of Toronto and Waterloo, the Province of Alberta, Eldorado and CANMET was formed as a result of concerns expressed by Ontario Hydro over the long-term security of supply of CANDU-grade zirconium (5). The committee was to coordinate the development of new Canadian technology for the production of hafnium-free zirconium and in fact NSERC funding for this purpose was awarded to certain of its members.

A subsequent Ontario Hydro study showed that a Canadian plant producing zirconium by conventional methods could be justified only if it were of such a size that half of its production could be exported (6). However, with a more than adequate supply of zirconium from the present suppliers who, due to the postponement or termination of many nuclear power programmes, are operating at about 70% capacity, there appears to be no urgent move towards self-sufficiency in zirconium production. Furthermore, the appearance of the second US supplier (WZI) on the market appears also to have reduced Ontario Hydro's level of interest in developing a Canadian supplier of zirconium (7).

This picture could change in the event that new Canadian technology could produce reactor grade zirconium at costs significantly lower than those of conventional technology.

At present, there appears to be no research being conducted in Canada on zirconium extraction, although zircon occurs to the extent of about 0.03% in Alberta tar sand (1) and may be extracted in the form of concentrates by physical method (8). However, research has recently been conducted at the University of Waterloo and at the University of Toronto.

The Waterloo project was directed towards developing a continuous process for the distillation separation of hafnium from zirconium in chloride systems. Some success was achieved with a reboiler-condenser system and NaCl

as the carrier salt (7). However, work was discontinued before conclusive results could be obtained. The effort amounted to $186 000 and 4PY (9).

The University of Toronto project showed that zirconium and hafnium could be separated by the vapour phase reaction of the impure zirconium tetrachloride with various binary liquid alkali chlorides. Their maximum separation efficiency was about 48% (10).

It is evident from the description of the conventional process for producing nuclear grade zirconium, with duplicate chlorination steps and an expensive solvent extraction state (11), that a challenge exists to develop a Canadian process for reactor grade zirconium production. A new simplified process for the production of nuclear grade zirconium has been proposed by the University of Waterloo (3).

The process involves the chlorination of zircon with calcined petroleum coke in an electrothermal fluid bed chlorinator, separation of silicon tetrachloride from the $HfCl_4$-bearing $ZrCl_4$ vapour by fluid bed condensation and separation of $HfCl_4$ from $ZrCl_4$ by reflux distillation from NaCl-KCl eutectic solution. The $HfCl_4$-$ZrCl_4$ separation is based on the vapour pressure differential between $HfCl_4$ and $ZrCl_4$ in the NaCl-KCl eutectic at about 400°C. The remainder of the proposed process is essentially similar to the conventional one, except that magnesium and chlorine are recovered from the magnesium chloride by-product by fused salt electrolyses.

For the production of zirconium for non-nuclear applications, the process would be further simplified by the omission of the distillation separation of Hf from Zr.

While the process appears to be for the most part feasible, most of the stages require verification on a pilot scale.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

It would appear, that while there is at present no urgent requirement for the domestic production of nuclear-grade zirconium, it is uncertain how long the present stable supply situation will last. Given the strategic importance of zirconium to the CANDU reactor system, a case could be made for the development of a Canadian process to the pilot stage.

Zirconium-bearing minerals, the most important of which is zircon ($ZrO_2.SiO_2$) are not produced in Canada, so that all domestic requirements as well as metallic zirconium are imported (1).

REFERENCES

1. Boucher, M.A. "Zirconium", Mineral Policy Sector, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, Ottawa; 1980.
2. de Poix, V.P. "Zirconium", Eng Min J 183:3:118; 1982.
3. Spink, D.R. CIM Bull 145; Nov. 1977.
4. Kirk-Othmer "Encyclopedia of chemical technology", Vol. 22, John Wiley, 1970.
5. Dutrizac, J.E. Division Report MRP/MSL 77-124(FT); CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1980; also personal communication.
6. Mills, D. Ontario Hydro, personal communication; 1982.
7. Spink, D.R. University of Waterloo, personal communication; 1982.
8. Trevoy, L.W., Schutte, R., and R.R. Goforth. CIM Bull 175; March 1978.
9. Isabelle, E. NSERC, Ottawa, personal communication; 1982.
10. Pickles, C.A., Flengas, S.M. "The separation of $HfCl_4$ and $ZrCl_4$ by the selective reactivity of $HfCl_4$ vapour with solid or molten alkali chlorides and their mixtures"; Proc. Extractive Metallurgy of Refractory Metals, Met. Soc. conference proceedings, AIME Feb. 22-26/81, Chicago; 1981.
11. Spink, D.R. Proceedings of the International Solvent Extraction Conference, ISEC 77; B.H. Lucas, G.M. Ritcey and H.W. Smith, editors, Toronto, Sept. 9-16, 1977, CIM Special Volume 21:454; 1979.

APPENDIX 1

1979-1980

Dissolved AL, silicon dioxide and LI in marine sediment pore waters: evidence for clay mineral authigenesis; Moore, R.M.; Oceanography; Dalhousie University, Halifax; NS; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $28,000

1974-1975

1. Crystal chemistry of zirconium minerals 2. Descriptive and comparative studies of rare minerals from nepheline syenite, Mt. St. Hilaire, Quebec; Chao, G.Y.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7,900

1971-1972

1. Crystal chemistry of phosphate minerals. 2. Crystal chemistry of zirconium minerals; Chao, G.Y.; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $8500

1. Fluid-bed chlorination of zircon ". Diret separation of ZrCl4 from HfCl4 3. Liquid-liquid extraction studies 4. Metal reduction studies 5. Electrowinning of hafnium; Spink, D.R.; Chemical engineering; University of Waterloo; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7000

URANIUM

URANIUM

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

At the present time (July 1982) there are five primary uranium producers in Canada: Denison Mines Limited, Rio Algom Mines Limited, Cluff Mining (Amok Ltd./Saskatchewan Mining Development Corporation-partnership), Gulf Minerals Canada Limited (partnership with Uranerz Canada Limited and Eldorado Nuclear), and Agnew Lake Mines Limited. Rio and Denison operate underground mines, Cluff and Gulf operate open-pits and Agnew Lake is a surface heap leaching operation. During the past year the economic recession and decreased world demand for uranium has resulted in Eldorado Nuclear closing its Beaverlodge operation and Madawaska Mines closing at Bancroft. In addition, ESI Resources in Calgary, after less than two years operation for the recovery of uranium as a by product of phosphoric acid, has ceased production.

Production figures for 1980 when all the above plants were in operation, amounted to 7145 t U (1). About 67 percent of Canada's total uranium shipments in 1980 were from the Ontario mines with the remainder from Saskatchewan. An estimated 6368 t U (90% of Canadian production) valued at $638 million was exported in 1980. In spite of a general slow-down in the industry over the past two years, mill expansion continued at Denison Mines and Rio Algom Mines in Elliot Lake.

A list of operating and planned ore processing plants are given in Table 1. As of December 1980, the total number of persons employed in Canada's uranium industry amounted to 6068, comprised of 2557 in mining and 831 in the mills, with the remainder described as general employees (1). The work force probably did not change significantly until recent months with the closure of Eldorado Nuclear and Madawaska Mines.

TABLE 1: Canadian Uranium Ore Processing Plants

Plant	Location	Nominal Daily Capacity (tonnes of ore)	Annual Production Rate (1) (tonnes U)	Total No. of Employees (mine, mill general)
A. Operating				
Agnew Lake Mines Limited	Agnew Lake, Ont	n.a. (2)	195	79
Cluff Mining (Amok Ltd./SMDC)	Cluff Lake, Sask.	360 (3)	11	241
Denison Mines Limited - Denison Mill	Elliot Lake, Ont.	6 440 (4)	1 712	2 027
Eldorado Nuclear Limited	Eldorado, Sask.	1 630 (5)	423 (6)	845 (11)
Gulf Minerals Canada Ltd.	Rabbit Lake, Sask.	1 500 (7)	1 960	320
Madawaska Mines Limited	Bancroft, Ont.	1 360	235	381 (11)
Rio Algom Limited				
- Quirke Mill	Elliot Lake, Ont.	6 350	1 879	1 404
- Panel Mill	Elliot Lake, Ont.	2 990	730	771
B. Committed				
Key Lake Mining Corp.	Key Lake, Sask.	500-700 (8)	3 100-4 600	
Rio Algom Limited				
- Stanleigh Mill	Elliot Lake, Ont.	4 540 (9)	-	
C. Planned and Possible (10)				
Brinco Limited	Makkovik, Nfld.	-	-	
Canada Wide Mines Ltd.	Midwest Lake, Sask.	-	-	
Consolidated Rexpar Minerals & Chemicals Ltd	Birch Is., B.C.	-	-	
Norcen Energy Resources Ltd	Beaverdell, B.C.	-	-	
Rio Algom Limited				
- Milliken Mill	Elliot Lake, Ont.	-	-	

n.a. not applicable
\- no data
(1) 1980 production for operating plants; planned rate for others.
(2) Using an underground and surface heap-leaching technique; ceased mining 1980 but continued with surface operations.
(3) A two-stage program the first phase of which was completed in 1980.
(4) Increased to 13 610 t/d by mid-1981.
(5) Operated at about half nominal capacity in 1980.
(6) Includes 6 tonnes U from Cenex Limited.
(7) Joint venture with Uranerz Canada Limited; operating in excess of nominal capacity.
(8) Production expected by July 1983.
(9) Rehabilitation to be completed 1983-84.
(10) No firm decisions as to timing and size of operations.
(11) Mine and plant shut-down in 1981.

The estimated annual production capability from known Canadian deposits should increase from the present 7145 t U to 10 200 t U by 1991*. Annual fuel requirements for Canadian power plants are expected to grow from 110 t U in 1981 to between 2100 and 2400 t U in 1991 (1).

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

The processing of uranium ores in Canada and through-out the world is essentially the same, with only slight variations from mill to mill. With the exception of the Eldorado Beaverlodge mill (recently closed) which processed the ore by alkaline leaching (2), all other plants in Canada have employed acid leaching (3-10). In Canada there are many uranium minerals identified (11) and the mineralogy of the particular uranium ore influences the process flowsheet (12) to an extent, but mineralogical differences can usually be handled by varying the operating parameters required for a particular stage. The economics of a particular flowsheet are dictated by the ore type and process technology used (13).

The general schematic flowsheet of a uranium processing plant is shown in Fig. 1. The ore is first crushed and ground in rod or ball mill circuits, or by semi-autogenous grinding. The crushed ore can be upgraded by electronic sorting in order to reject as much of the gangue material before processing further. Preconcentration may be valid for application to certain ores. Leaching of the ground ore, at about 55% solids, is accomplished by atmospheric oxidation acid leaching in pachucas or air lift agitors (4,5,6,9,13); bacterial leaching as practiced at Agnew Lake Mines on surface heaps (1,14); pressure oxidation leaching for complex ores of Saskatchewan (7) and alkaline oxidation leaching in pachucas was used at Eldorado Nuclear's Beaverlodge operation (2).

Following the liquid-solids separation by filtration, countercurrent decantation wash thickeners and clarification, the uranium-bearing filtrate is sent to purification and uranium recovery. In the case of the alkaline circuit, the uranium is precipitated with caustic, filtered, dried and

---

* Projection based on operating and committed production centres only; certain planned but as yet uncommitted expansions at operating production centres are excluded. Output from resources currently mineable at a uranium price of $135/kg U or less (1).



Fig. 1. Schematic Flowsheet for Processing Uranium Ores

purified by ion exchange (3,4,5,6,9,13) whereby the uranium is upgraded before the concentrated solution is precipitated with either ammonia or magnesia to recover either an ammonium or magnesium diuranate product. Other plants use solvent extraction to produce the upgraded and purified uranium product (7,8,10,13) which is similarly recovered as an ammonium or magnesium diuranate. This "yellow cake" product is filtered, dried and drummed for shipment to a buyer, or to the Eldorado Nuclear refinery in Port Hope for further refinement to products of UO_3 and UO_2 (15), which are subsequently clad in zircalloy tubing as fuel bundles for the Candu nuclear reactors (16,17).

Recovery of uranium in the overall process amounts to about 92%. Detailed description of plant flowsheets worldwide are given in several books by IAEA (12) and others (18,19).

The solid and liquid wastes are discharged to a tailing impoundment area as a slurry. The radium is precipitated by the addition of barium chloride (20-21), producing an effluent of less than 10 pCi/l Ra^{226}. The Madawaska mill was precipitating the radium prior to discharge to tailings, and storing in a separate holding area (9). Approximately 95-97% of the original radium in the ore reports in the solid tails, while 3-5% is in solution.

In addition to uranium, there have been by-products produced over the years. The Port Radium mill of Eldorado Nuclear at one time produced a cobalt gravity concentrate for subsequent purification and use in cobalt therapy treatment. Rio Algom produced a thorium product from treatment of uranium barren solution, which was further refined in the U.K. (22). The Elliot Lake mills at one time produced a bulk rare earth concentrate also from treatment of uranium-barren liquors (23). These by-products were sold in the U.S.A. CANMET did considerable work in the early 1970's to improve the rare earth flowsheet (24). The complex high grade ores of Saskatchewan, notably Key Lake and Midwest Lake both contain nickel and arsenic (7,10) which eventually could be recovered as valuable by-products. Although the thorium is not presently in demand, the longer term objective in the fuel cycle is to use thorium (25). At the present time even experimental quantities of thorium oxide for research on the fuel cycle are being imported into Canada (25). In the long term Canada could probably recover a high purity thorium product from the Elliot Lake barren solutions. CANMET has

done some work in that area (26). Rare earths are becoming increasingly useful in TV phosphors, flints, ceramics, glass, and are now in demand as catalytic converters in automobiles. With some effort and expenditure by Canadian industry, Canada could be a producer of all the rare earths plus yttrium by treating the Elliot Lake uranium barren solutions. At the present time the thorium, yttrium and rare earths are discarded in the barren solution sent to tailings.

3. PROBLEMS

Although the general processing practice at Canadian uranium mines has not varied much in the past thirty years, since it was developed by CANMET, nevertheless problems remain unresolved. The major concern is for the environmental, health and safety of the workers, community and the surrounding environment (27,28,29). Because of the nature of the process, using H_2SO_4 leaching, 95% or greater of the radionuclides are in the tailings. Subsequently the Ra^{226} is slowly solubilized during air and bacteria oxidation and weathering of the tailings, resulting in the slow release of Ra^{226}. Such solubilized material must be treated by chemical precipitation with barium chloride to precipitate $RaBaSO_4$. Pyrite oxidation to sulphuric acid with the resultant leaching of other metals in the tailings also add to the tailings problem. Dusting of dry tailings, containing radon gas emanation, is often prevalent. Vegetation cover and stabilization of the tailings to prevent dusting and water seepage therefore become important. Thus there is a high cost entailed in present tailings management. Decommissioning of shut-down mine/mills also adds a very high cost (30).

Expanding development of the rare earth technology and, to some extent, that of uranium in other countries, could make it more difficult in the future for Canadian mills to remain competitive. China, for example, has the largest known reserves of rare earth minerals, and has the present technical capability for producing high purity, individual rare earth products (31). Also China has a large effort directed to the end use of these products (31).

The uranium industry, like most of the Canadian mining industry is located in remote areas. The manpower turnover is therefore large. A few of the mills, notably Cluff Lake of Amok, and the Gulf Rabbit Lake operation fly

their crews in each shift change, This has resulted in a real reduction in turnover compared to other mines at similar latitudes (32).

4. CURRENT R&D

Current R&D on the development of improvements to conventional technology is being carried out by the Federal Governement (CANMET) and to a small extent by custom research organizations such as Lakefield Research, Saskatchewan Research Council, and Ontario Research Foundation. Research is also being conducted at the following universities: Ottawa, Carleton, Saskatchewan, Waterloo and McMaster. A list of recent federally funded research projects is found in Appendix I. Development of new, alternate technology is mainly centered at CANMET, where chloride based technology (33,34) is being studied. This research has the major objective (35) of solubilizing the radionuclides for subsequent isolation in the mill, the disposal of an environmentally acceptable tailing, increased uranium recovery and increased recovery of by-products.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

As noted earlier, the general processing scheme for uranium has been practiced for about 30 years with only incremental improvements. However, there are still areas where improvement in the unit process could result in increased recovery, decreased capital and operating costs, decreased energy requirements and increased by-product recovery. Improvement in tailings technology must also be accomplished.

The following are areas within the uranium process flowsheet where there appear to be technology gaps, and where improvements to assist the process are required.

Preconcentration

The possibility of making a uranium concentrate by physical means (flotation, magnetic separation) for subsequent leaching and a tailing having an acceptably low level of radioactivity for safe, long-term storage should not be overlooked. However, for such an approach to be technically and economically feasible, the mineralogical characteristics of the ore would have to be such that 95% of the uranium could be concentrated in less than 15% of the weight. This is not the case for the Elliot Lake area where known

concentration technology has reached the required recovery but in about double the desired weight (36,46).

Leaching

Further work is required in the use of oxidants to improve the kinetics and completeness of leaching of uranium (37). Also countercurrent leaching should be optimized. In the pressure leaching of uranium ores leach residues might be produced that possibly would be more stable to environmental weathering. Such a problem exists in the arsenical ores of Saskatchewan (7). During the leaching in sulphuric acid the radionuclides may initially be dissolved but appear to be precipitated on the gangue particles. Consequently, following the leach, only about 3-5% of the original Ra^{226} present in the ore is solubilized. Technology is required to promote solubilization of the Ra^{226}, in the presence of a relatively large sulphate concentration, during leaching possibly by complexation.

Liquid-Solids Separation

Reagent, energy and capital costs related to the liquid-solid separation stage are significant (13). Development of better surfactants and improved equipment could result in both reduced plant areas and equipment costs. Reduction of uranium soluble losses in the filter cake may also be possible. High capacity thickners and belt filters are examples of recent developments to optimize this stage of the process.

Solution Purification

Conventional technology has employed ion exchange or solvent extraction for purification or direct precipitation (13). In the case of ion exchange, fixed bed or moving bed have been used, and now continuous ion exchange appears to be the better and more economical route (14,38,39). Two Canadian designs have been proposed, that of Himsley (40) which has enjoyed full-scale application and of CANMET (38,39) which has been tested successfully on a pilot plant scale. The Himsley column is now installed in plants throughout the world, in one in Canada at Agnew Lake (14), and is proposed for the Rio Algom Stanleigh mill (41). The NIMCIX continuous ion exchange column has been developed in South Africa by the National Institute of Metallurgy and is in use in several of that country's mills. Improvements to resin density and specificity will lead to improved yellowcake products at

the mill site, and such a resin has been developed by Sentrachem in South Africa.

Solvent extraction has also undergone changes in operation, and equipment. Further improvements to the equipment and mode of operation can reduce the solvent loses due to entrainment in emulsions and crud (42,43). An improved understanding of the stripping characteristics that would result in a higher grade product at the mill site would be advantageous.

Some plants use a combination of ion exchange and solvent extraction, the Eluex (14) or Purlex process (44) are examples. Any significant advances will be made by improving the IX and SX processes involved.

As mentioned earlier, the liquid-solids separation stage is costly. This step could be eliminated prior to uranium recovery, by treating the slurry directly for uranium recovery, then a substantial savings would result (45). Although Canada as well as other countries have made significant advances in solvent-in-pulp or ion exchange-in-pulp extraction techniques as a means for reducing the cost of recovering uranium from solution, much more can and should be done in this area (31).

Precipitation, Filtration and Drying

There is often a problem in precipitation of the yellowcake and its subsequent filtration and drying because the scientific reasons for the problems that occur are not properly understood. Research in this area may lead to improved efficiency. Conventional precipitation of uranium for leach solutions is with ammonia, magnesia or caustic and peroxide. An improved understanding of the possibilities offered by a different route of precipitation to produce nuclear grade UO_3 or UO_2 at the mill site may result in reduced process costs. A uranium precipitate that could be dried at a lower temperature compared to the conventional high temperature drying now in use would reduce energy requirements.

Byproduct Recovery

Bulk products of thorium or rare earths have been produced at one time but if Canadian industry is to compete with other countries in this

area, more research is required to provide for high purity compounds. Additionally, there are other possible byproducts such as arsenic and nickel found in the northern Saskatchewan mills. Because these constituents are normally dissolved in the leaching process, some optimization of the leach conditions could maximize the dissolution of the byproducts. As they are essentially free to the process plant, the isolation for recovery of high purity compounds should be an incentive to develop technology for their recovery and possible end uses. However, any process to recover byproducts in uranium ore processing would have to take into account the need to eliminate radiation sources from the byproducts.

Tailings

Considerable research on migration of radionuclides and other constituents through uranium tailings has been done, but much remains to be accomplished in order to provide for optimum tailings site design. The type of tailings discharge method may be an important factor in diminishing seepage. The National Uranium Tailings Program, which has just been announced, will address the many aspects of uranium tailings and their management.

Analyses

More rapid analytical methods for application in uranium ore processing operations would be useful, particularly those for the determination of the radionuclides.

REFERENCES

1. "Uranium in Canada - 1980 Assessment of Supply and Requirements", Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; Report EP 81-3; September 1981.
2. "The Eldorado Beaverlodge Operation", Can Min J, 81:6:75-149; June 1960.
3. "The Gunnar Story"; Can Min J, 84:7:49-110; July 1963.
4. "Mining, Metallurgy and Geology in the Algoma Uranium Area"; prepared for the Sixth Commonwealth Mining and Metallurgical Congress; September, 1957.
5. Canadian Mining Journal 77:6:123-151; June 1956. (A comprehensive review of the Canadian Uranium industry).
6. "Bicroft Uranium Mines", Can Min J, 78:8:104-106; August 1957.
7. "The Key Lake Project"; Presentation to Conference of Metallurgists; August, 1980.
8. Moorish, A. "The Future of the Rabbit Lake Mill of Gulf Minerals Canada Limited"; Presented at 1981 Annual Meeting of Canadian Uranium Producers Metallurgical Committee, Saskatchewan; May 1981.
9. Kresin, P., Rollwagen, D. "Milling Practice at Madawaska Mines Limited"; Presented at Annual Meeting of Canadian Uranium Producers Metallurgical Committee, Ottawa; May 1980.
10. Melis, L. "Midwest Project - Canada Wide Mines"; Presented at 1981 Annual Meeting of Canadian Uranium Producers Metallurgical Committee, Saskatoon; May 1981.
11. Steacy, H. and Kaiman, S. "Uranium Minerals in Canada: Their Description, Identification and Field Guides". From "Uranium Deposits, Their Mineralogy and Origin", Short Course Handbook, No. 3, Mineralogical Association of Canada; 1978.
12. "Significance of Mineralogy in the Development of Flowsheets for Processing Uranium Ores"; International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1980. Technical Report Series 196; 1980.
13. Gow, W.A. and Ritcey, G.M. "The Treatment of Canadian Uranium Ores - A Review"; CIM Bull; December 1969.
14. Heymann, L. "Metallurgical Aspects of the Operation at Agnew Lake Mines Limited"; in Proc of Canadian Uranium Producers Metallurgical Committee, Ottawa; 1980.

15. Burger, J.C. and Jardine, J.M. Proc of 2nd United Nations International Conference on Peacful Uses of Atomic Energy; Geneva; 4:3;1958.

16. Boucher, M.A. "Zirconium" Annual Review, 1980; Pub. Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Mineral Policy Sector, Ottawa.

17. Aspin, N., Williams, R.M. and Dakers, R.G. "Canada's Uranium Future, Based on Forty Years of Development"; Paper IAEA-CN-41/142, IAEA Int. Conf. on Nucelar Power Experience, Vienna; 1982.

18. Merritt, R.C. "The Extractive Metallurgy of Uranium"; Publ. Colorado School of Mines Research Institute; 1971.

19. Ritcey, G.M. and Ashbrook, A.W. "Solvent Extraction - Principles and Applications to Process Metallurgy, Part 2"; Pub. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam.

20. Robertson, J.A. "The Control of Radium and Thorium in the Uranium Milling Industry"; Tropical Report WIN-112; U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Report AT(49-6)-924; 1960.

21. "Nuclear Policy Review Background Papers" - Energy, Mines and Resources Report ER81-2E; 1981.

22. Vermeulen, L.W. "Recovery of Thorium from Uranium Process Solutions"; J Metals 18:22-25; 1966.

23. Ritcey, G.M. and Lucas B.H. "Stagewise Separation of Uranium, Thorium and the Rare Earths by Liquid-Liquid Extraction"; Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources; TB 113; 1969.

24. Lucas, B.H. and Ritcey, G.M. "Examination of a Rare Earth Solvent Extraction Circuit for Possible Upgrading of the Yttrium Product"; CIM Bull; January 1975.

25. Private communication to G.M. Ritcey from AECL.

26. Ritcey, G.M. and Lucas, B.H. "Co-extraction of Uranium and Thorium"; J Metals 39-44; April 1972.

27. Commission of Inquiry, Health and Environmental Protection, Uranium Mining. David V. Bates, Chairman, ISBN 0-7718-8198-3.

28. The Cluff Lake Board of Inquiry, Final Report, Hon. Mr. Justice E.D. Bayda, Chairman.

29. The Expansion of the Uranium Mines in the Elliot Lake Area, D.S. Caverly, Chairman.

30. Private communication to G.M. Ritcey from Eldorado Nuclear.

31. Ritcey, G.M. Technical Report of China Visit; November 1981. Division Report MSL-INT 81-59; CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1981.
32. Private communication to G.M. Ritcey from Gulf Rabbit Lake.
33. Haque, K.E., Lucas, B.H. and Ritcey, G.M. "Hydrochloric Acid Leaching of an Elliot Lake Uranium Ore"; CIM Bull 141-146; July 1980.
34. Skeaff, J.M. and Laliberté;, J.J. "Continuous High Temperature Chlorination of Uranium Ores", Presented at AIChE Meeting, Oregon, August 1980; Division Report ERP/MSL 80-48(OP); CANMET, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada; 1980.
35. Ritcey, G.M. "CANMET Research Pertaining to Ra266"; in Proc Radium-226 Workshop; Ottawa; October 1977.
36. Raicevic, D. "Decontamination of Elliot Lake Uranium Tailings" CIM Bull; August 1979.
37. Haque, K.E. and Ritcey, G.M. "Comparison of Oxidants for the Leaching of Uranium Ores in Sulphuric Acid"; CIM Bull; May 1982.
38. Slater, M.J., Lucas, B.H. and Ritcey, G.M. "Use of Continuous Ion Exchange for Removal of Environmental Contaminants from Waste Streams"; CIM Bull; August 1978.
39. Lucas, B.H., Lakshmanan, V.I., Johncox, B.A. and Slater, M.J. "Treatment of Beaverlodge Uranium Mine Water by Single Stage Deep Fluidized Bed Continuous Ion Exchange"; Presented at AIChE 745th Annual Meeting, New Orleans; 1981.
40. Himsley, A. and Farkas, E.J. "Operating and Design Details of a Truly Continuous Ion-Exchange System"; in "Theory and Practice of Ion Exchange", Cambridge, Soc-Chem. Ind.; July 1976.
41. Hester, K.D. "Rio Algom Process Modifications"; Proc of Canadian Uranium Producers Metallurgical Committee; Ottawa; May 1980.
42. Ritcey, G.M. "Crud in Solvent Extraction Processing - A Review of Causes and Treatment"; Hydrometallurgy 5:97-107; 1980.
43. Ritcey, G.M. "Crud in Uranium Solvent Extraction", paper for presentation at 14th International Mineral Processing Congress, Toronto; October 1982.
44. Tunley, T.H. and Faure, A. "The Purlex Process", Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the AIME; February 1969.

45. Ritcey, G.M., Slater, M.J. and Lucas, B.H. "A Comparison of the Processing and Economics of Uranium Recovery from Leach Slurries by Continuous Ion Exchange and Solvent Extraction"; Proc of International Hydrometallurgy Symposium, AIME, Chicago; 417-474; February 1973.

46. Raicevic, D. and Raicevic, M. "Preconcentration of Uranium Ores Yielding Tailings of Greatly Reduced Environmental Concern, MRP/MSL 80-59(IR), Mineral Sciences Laboratories, Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology, Energy, Mines and Resources, Canada; 1980.

APPENDIX 1

1980-1981

Field investigation of radon gas emanation parameters from mine backfill containing uranium mill tailings; Archibald, J.F.; Wilson, E.G.; Mining Engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8000

The extraction and recovery of uranium using open cell polyurethane foam sponge (OCPUFS); Gesser, H.D.; Chemistry; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; $9500

Hydrogeologic, Hydrogeochemical and model studies of ground water contaminant migration from waste rock at an abandoned uranium mine near Bancroft, Ontario; Gillham, R.W.; Cherry, J.A.; Earth Sciences; University of Waterloo; Ont; (AECD) Atomic Energy Control Board; $12 154

Treatment of Uranium mining effluents; Huck, P.M.; Systems engineering; the university of Regina; Sask; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $7500

Organophosphorus compounds as extractants for uranium and thorium; Janzen, A.F.; Chemistry; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $16 470

High temperature desulphurisation of gases using rare earth mineral concentrates; Kay, D.A.R.;p Metallurgy/materials science; McMaster University, Hamilton; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $13 000

1. Evaluation of uranium distribution in lake water and sediment 2. Mineralogy, petrology and sulphide potential of layered gabbros in the Lynn Lake area 3. Uranium migration and deposition within the Athabasca formation; Parslow, G.R.; Geological sciences; the University of Regina; Sask; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $9091

Mineralogie, petrologie, geochimi et metallogenie de certaines occurrences d'uranium au Québec; Perrault, G.; Cameron-Schimann, M.; Technologie des mineraux; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (EMR) Energie, Mines et Ressources; $4500

1979-1980

Application of impregnated fibres for sampling and removal of radium from uranium mine effluents; Bland, C.J.; Physics; the University of Calgary; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $10 000

Hydrogeologic, hydrogeochemical and model studies of groundwater contaminant migration from waste rock at an abandoned uranium mine near Bancroft, Ontario. On behalf of AECB; Gillham, R.W.; Cherry, J.A.; Earth Sciences; University of Waterloo; Ont; (SSC) Supply and Services Canada; $55 335

Stable isotope (O,C,S,H) Geochemistry of uranium mineralization; Morton, R.D.; Muehlenbachs, K.; Hattori, K.; Geology; the University of Alberta, Edmonton; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $12 000

Mineralogie, petrologie, geochimie et metallogenie de certaine occurrences d'uranium au Quebec; Perrault, G.; Technologie des mineraux; Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal; Que; (EMR) Energie, Mines et Ressources; $3000

Conduct a quantitative assessment of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon levels in uranium mines. On behalf of EMR; Westaway, K.; Chemistry; Laurentian University of Sudbury; Ont; (SSC) Supply and Services Canada; $31 418

The extraction and recovery of uranium using open cell polyurethane foam sponge (OCPUFS); Gesser, H.D.; Chemistry; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8000

The extraction and recovery of uranium using open cell polyurethane foam sponge (OCPUFS); Gesser, H.D.; Chemistry; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8000

Organophosphorus compounds as extractants for uranium and thorium; Janzen, A.F.; Chemistry; the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg; Man; (NSER) Natural sciences and Engineering Research Council; $15 000

1. Evaluation of uranium distribution in lake water and sediment 2. mineralogy, petrology and sulphide potential of layered gabbros in the Lynn Lake area 3. uranium migration and deposition within the Athabasca formation; Parslow, G.R.; Geological sciences; the University of Regina; Sask; (NSER) Natural sciences and engineering research council; $8280

Conduct a quantitative assessment of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon levels in uranium mines; Westaway, K.; Chemistry; Laurentian University of Sudbury; ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $31 418

1978-1979

Application of impregnated fibres for sampling and removal of radium from uranium mine effluents; Bland, C.J.; Physics; the University of Calgary; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $10 000

Oxygen isotope fractionations and geothermometers among miunerals of uranium deposits; Morton, R.D.; Muehlenbachs, K.; Geology; the University of Alberta, Edmonton; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $8000

1. Evaluation of uranium distribution in lake water and sediment 2. Mineralogy, petrology and sulphide potential of layered gabros in the Lynn Lake area 3. Uranium migration and deposition within the Athabasca formation; Parslow, G.R.; Geological sciences; the University of Regina; Sask; (NSER) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council; $8000

1977-1978

Investigation of radium content of effluent from uranium mines and methods for its removal; Bland, C.J.; Physics; the University fo Calgary; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $10 000

1. Evaluation of uranium distribution in lake water and sediment 2. Mineralogy, petrology and sulphide potential of layered gabros in the Lynn Lake area 3. Uranium migration and deposition within the Athabasca formation; Parslow, G.R.; Geological sciences; the University of Regina; Sask; (NRC) National Research Council Canada; $7150

Distribution of uranium in minerals from selected ore environments; Zentilli, M.; Geology; Dalhousie University, Halifax; NS; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $5000

1976-1977

1. Evaluation of uranium distribution in lake water and sediment 2. Mineralogy, petrology and sulphide potential of layered gabros in the Lynn Lake area 3. Uranium migration and deposition within the Athabasca formation; Parslow, G.R.; Geological sciences; the University of Regina; Sask; (NRC) National Research Council Canada; $5000

Distribution of uranium in minerals from selected ore environments; Zentilli, M.; Geology; Dalhousie University, Halifax; NS; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $9500

1975-1976

Mineralogical study of uranium-bearing materials from the Elliott Lake-Blind River area, Ontario; Berry, LG.; Theis, M.J.; Geological sciences; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $7000

Oxygen isotope fractionations and geothermometers among minerals of uranium deposits; Morton, R.D.; Muehlenbochs, K.; Geology; the University of Alberta, Edmonton; Alta; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $5500

1974-1975

Crystal structure studies of minerals, mineralogical and sedimentological study of uranium bearing minerals of the Blind River-Elliot Lake area, Ontario; Berry. L.G.; Geological Sciences; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $7900

Mineralogical study of uranium-bearing materials from the Elliott Lake-Blind River area, Ontario; Berry, LG.; Theis, M.J.; Geological sciences; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (EMR) Energy, Mines and Resources; $6500

To study the sources of radon gas in Canadian uranium mines and their effects on mining methods and costs of mining; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $5000

1973-1974

To study the sources of radon gas in Canadian uranium mines and their effects on mining methods and costs of mining; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $5000

1972-1973

To continue studying the physical parameters affecting radon emanation in uranium mines with particular attention to geological nonconformities and rock stresses; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $5750

To study the sources of radon gas in Canadian uranium mines and their effects on mining methods and costs of mining; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $5000

1971-1972

To study the sources of radon gas in Canadian uranium mines and their effects on mining methods and costs of mining; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (NRC) National Research Council of Canada; $4000

Radon emanation rates in uranium mines; Thompkins, R.W.; Mining Engineering; Queen's University, Kingston; Ont; (EMRM) Mines Branch (EMR); $4500

Electron microprobe study of rare-earth-bearing minerals from alkalic rock complexes; Watkinson, D.H; Geology; Carleton University, Ottawa; Ont; (EMRG) Geological Survey of Canada (EMR); $1255

COAL

COAL

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY

In 1980, Canadian coal production was approximately 36 Mt. 16 Mt consisted of Alberta subbituminous coals and Saskatchewan lignites produced in captive operations adjacent to thermal power generating plants where coal preparation amounted to screening and crushing the coal prior to pulverizing for combustion purposes. The balance of the national production (20 Mt) was bituminous coal of metallurgical and thermal quality, destined for export and interprovincial markets. Essentially all of this production was processed (washed) coal, the output of ten (10) mine-site washeries in Alberta, British Columbia and Nova Scotia. The total installed capacity of these operations was approximately 6000 t/h run-of-mine coal.

All ranks of coal considered, Alberta was the major producer followed in order by British Columbia, Nova Scotia, Saskatchewan and New Brunswick. Of the estimated $1 100 million total value of output, $867 million was the results of export, primarily to Japan, from British Columbia and Alberta bituminous coking coal producers.

Two metallurgical coal mines ceased operation in Alberta in 1980 because of economic and marketing difficulties related in part to decline in reserves. These shut-downs reduced installed washery capacity by about 700 t/h. However, new installations now under construction are expected to increase coal washing capability approximately 1.5 times to about 9000 t/h (30 Mtp) by 1985. Turn-of-the-century requirements are projected at 3-5 times the 1980 capacity or 18 000 to 30 000 t/h installed.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGY

The purpose of coal preparation is to enhance the usability and value of the as-mined coal by reduction of the ash, sulphur and moisture contents as well as control of the coal size distribution. Developments in the preparation technology now in place have come about both as a response to the customer requirements based on existing utilization technologies and also as a direct result of some of the increased use of mechanised mining techniques and the development of stringent environmental protection regulations.

The basic operations of coal washing involve breaking and screening the raw coal, separation for removal of undesirable constituents, dewatering, thermal drying, water treatment, recovery of useable process materials where

TABLE 1: Installed capacities for cleaning processes used in Canadian washeries (1980)

Cleaning Process	Capacities Mt/h			Per Cent		
	Western Canada	Maritimes	Total	Western Canada	Maritimes	Total
*Heavy Medium Cyclone	2124	476	2600	34.5	7.7	42.2
*Water Only Cyclone	1141	27	1168	18.5	0.4	18.9
*Heavy Medium Vessel	736	-	736	12.0	-	12.0
*Jig	718	27	745	11.7	0.4	12.1
Flotation	560	204	764	9.1	3.3	12.4
*Table	145	-	145	2.4	-	2.4
TOTAL	5424	734	6158	88.2	11.8	100.0

* Process based on density differences.

applicable, and waste disposal. In Canada, approximately 88% of the output from bituminous coal mines was washed using separation processes based on differences in densities between the coal and ash components. Process selection is generally based on a consideration of cost-effectiveness, given the product specifications, raw coal characteristics, tonnage and known process limitations. The most consistent limitation of density-related processes is the rapid drop in efficiency as particle size decreases below 0.5 mm. For this reason processes which effect separation according to differences in surface properties of fine particles, e.g., froth flotation, are normally used in the finer size range. Froth flotation was employed on the 12% balance of mine output.

Coal washing processes

A distinction is made between coarse coal processes and fine coal processes with the dividing line usually set at 0.5 mm. Coarse coal washers are all based on separations due to density differences and operate in the particle size range 127-0.5 mm. They include the jig, heavy medium vessel, heavy medium cyclone and water cyclone and account for about 68% of the combined annual throughput of Canadian washeries. Fine coal washers which include the water cyclone, concentrating table and flotation are used for the balance of production. Each of these processes in described below.

Coarse Coal Cleaning

For coal in the size range 127 mm to 10 mm two types of coal cleaning equipment are dominant.

The Jig: A bed of raw coal in a water bath is stratified by a regular series of pulsations such that the lighter coal particles move to the top and the heavier ash particles sink to the bottom as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1: End view of a jig

Jigs are generally low cost units offering a medium to high efficiency and are used primarily for clearning thermal coals. The Canadian installed capacity is in four plants and accounts for 10% ($47 million) of our cleaned coal product. The waste losses from these units are estimated at 0.33% of product ($156 000).

The Heavy Medium Vessel: Raw coal is immersed in a "heavy medium" and cleaned strictly by differences in specific gravity. The specific gravity of the medium -- usually a suspension of finely divided magnetite in water -- is adjusted such that the high gravity material (ash) sinks and is removed as

refuse. The clean coal product floats off as in Fig. 2. The specific gravity at which the separation occurs can be adjusted by controlling the concentration of the magnetite in the slurry. This is a high efficiency system when used with large sized coal. The Canadian installed capacity of 735 t/h is devoted to metallurgical coal cleaning; it accounts for 12% of our installed capacity with a product value of about $170 million. The waste losses from these units amount to approximately 1.6% of product or $2.8 million per year.



Fig. 2: Simplified view of a heavy medium vessel

Intermediate Size Coal Cleaning

For coal in the size range 35 mm to 0.5 mm, the efficiency of both the jig and the heavy medium vessel deteriorates. In this size range the heavy-medium-cyclone and the water-only-cyclone are widely used.

The Heavy Medium Cyclone: These units are derived from the heavy medium vessel; the gravity separation between coal and ash is accelerated by the

centrifugal forces of the cyclone. The central vortex carries the low gravity coal particles through the cyclone and the high gravity ash is discharged at the apex of the cone as refuse. This gives a much more efficient separation than the heavy medium vessel alone. Individual cyclones have throughputs up to 100 t/h.



Fig. 3: The heavy medium cyclone

The Canadian installed capacity in heavy medium cyclones is spread across both eastern and western coalfields and amounts to 2400 t/h and is responsible for the major part of coal preparation in Canada. The estimated annual value of the product streams is $560 million; losses in the refuse streams account for 0.23% of the product and are valued at $1.3 million per year.

Water-only-cyclones: These are based on the same design principles as the heavy medium cyclones. The separation of coal from ash is controlled by

variations in the design parameters for the cyclone and by the velocity of the water within the cyclone. They make a less efficient separation than the heavy-medium cyclone but have the advantage that no provision need be made for the recovery of the heavy-medium material.

Water cyclones account for 19% of our installed coal cleaning capacity with a cumulative throughput of 1150 t/h. The value of coal cleaned in this way is approximately $215 million; waste stream coal losses are valued at $12 million.

Fine Coal Cleaning

For coal in the size ranges below 3 mm, the efficiency of the cyclone and jig systems is poor. It becomes necessary to use a separation system based on coal properties other than specific gravity if the ash is to be removed to give a marketable quality coal.

In this size range the concentrating table and the froth flotation cell are commonly used.

The Concentrating Table is a ribbed, inclined table which is given horizontal reciprocation. The coal is carried down the incline over the ribs by a low velocity water stream. The combination of downward movement due to the wash water and sideways motion due to the oscillations leads to a separation of the clean coal at the base of the incline with the high ash refuse moving with the reciprocating ribs towards the end of the table.

These units are generally of low cost and are more efficient than water cyclones when required to clean fine coal. Canadian capacity is limited to one plant with a 145 t/h installation. The output of this plant is valued at $34 million per annum; the waste stream has a high coal content (20%) with losses valued at $6 million.

The Froth Flotation Cell uses the surface characteristics of fine coal particles which allow them to become attached to air bubbles passing through a coal slurry. Ash particles, on the other hand, do not possess this surface characteristic and do not attach themselves to the air bubbles. A clean coal "froth" therefore develops at the top of the cell with an ash refuse being discharged from the base. The technique is restricted to coal sizes below 0.5 mm; the units are expensive and generally of low capacity and performance

can be sensitive to the chemical characteristics of the coal surface. An illustration of a froth flotation cell is shown below.



Fig. 4: A froth flotation cell

The Canadian installed capacity of 732 t/h is spread across 5 plants and accounts for 12% of our coal cleaning capacity. The clean product is valued at about $170 million and the system losses at $24 million.

The preponderance of highly mechanised coal mining operations leads to a run-of-mine product which is not efficiently cleaned by the coarse coal cleaning technologies. In addition, the geological development of the coal seams of western Canada has given rise of a highly fissured coal which breaks down rapidly during mining and transportation. The technologies for intermediate and fine coal cleaning therefore dominate the industry; this situation is unlikely to change.

The performance data for the coal preparation industry for 1980 indicate that the industry as a whole suffered losses of 4% or $50 million as a result of process shortcoming. Nearly all of these losses ($45 million)

occurred in the cleaning of fine coal sizes below 0.5 mm. This inefficiency loss relates only to the performance of the preparation equipment and is incremental to mining and transportation losses.

Mechanical Dewatering, Drying and Water Treatment

Water is removed from all washery products -- from the coarsest to the finest clean coal and reject. Water removal from the clean coal enhances its value and reduces shipping costs, improves handling and prevents freezing. Removal from the rejects, although it entails largely non-recoverable costs, improves handling and stability and prevents environmental contamination following disposal. The recovered water must meet stringent quality criteria to permit recycling to the plant or disposal to the environment. The importance of water treatment as an integral operation in coal preparation is often underestimated since it apparently does not add directly to product value. However, the costs of not treating the water would add to the costs of operation as manifested by reduced plant capacity, increased losses of saleable coal, increased losses of magnetite in heavy medium processes, increased costs of maintenance and water supply and environmental contamination.

Mechanical dewatering

The difficulty and costs of dewatering increase proportionally to decreases in particle size. The separation processes involve the use of stationary/vibrating screens, thickening cyclones, vacuum/pressure filters, centrifuges and sedimentation vessels. In an analagous manner to the individual coal-ash separation processes, both the coal-water and the ash-water separation processes are most efficient when applied to discrete ranges of solid particle sizes.

Screens are low-cost, low capacity systems which can be applied equally well to large sized coal or reject streams. With particle sizes above 10 mm a feed coal stream can be efficiently reduced to a surface moisture content below 10%. Screens usually perform multiple functions as sizing, rinsing and dewatering equipment, and in many instances form the first stage in a multi-stage water removal process. In Canadian coal preparation plants,

screens are used to give a final clean product at a total production rate of 320 000 t/y.

Centrifuges are used for dewatering coal in the size range 25 mm-0.5 mm as screen bowl systems; the less efficient solid bowl centrifuge is dominantly used in fine refuse dewatering. In both applications they are low-capacity, high-cost units giving rise to a product with a moisture content between 10 and 12 percent. Canadian capacity is approximately 1080 t/h and is responsible for dewatering approximately 45% of our clean coal production.

Filters are low capacity, high cost units that are usually used to treat the product froth from a froth flotation cell and are restricted to coal particle



Fig. 5: Rotary disc filter

sizes below 0.5 mm. The vacuum disc systems shown in Fig. 5 are the most common. In these units, suction is applied to one side of a cloth or screen surface to draw the solids and water towards the surface; the filtration surface retains the solids but allows the water to pass through.

Filters account for about 45% of our final stage clean coal dewatering capacity.

Thermal Drying

Thermal dryers are used only in Western Canada for final drying of metallurgical coals. In most cases the feed to the dryer is a mixture of the output from dewatering screens, centrifuges and vacuum filters blended to give a stream with a moisture content of between 13 and 15%. The dried product contains approximately 6% moisture.

The installed Canadian capacity is capable of handling 3000 t/h.

Water treatment

The process of water treatment in coal washeries involves clarification of a portion of the total plant water by sedimentation of the finest solid particles in tailings thickeners and/or ponds, and thickening of the sedimented layer to the extent that the solids can be effectively dewatered in filters or centrifuges and or pumped to the impoundment area. Since the sedimentation rate is proportional to particle size and the area required to effect the separation of the solid and the liquid increases as particle size decreases, chemical coagulants and flocculants are added to the thickener feed stream to produce aggregates of fine particles that settle more rapidly. The clean water is recycled to the washing circuits.

Tailings thickeners are utilized in 8 washeries. The feed solids usually consist of flotation tailings and/or classifier cyclone and screen undersizes finer than 0.25-0.15 mm and at concentrations from 3-8% by weight. Thickener areas vary between 186 and 4470 m^2 and total 12 600 m^2 for the 8 plants. Hourly throughput totals 14 745 t of effluent consisting of 345 t of solids and 14 200 m^3 of water. This throughput amounts to approximately 2 Mt of solids and 84 Mm^3 of water per year. The costs of water clarification/tailings thickening for all washeries amount to $2.6 million per year of which chemical reagent costs account for $1.5 million (60%). As a charge against clean coal value, the cost generally varies between $0.09 and $0.11/t of product but may be as high as $.22. From the perspective of water clarification for environmental protection and water conservation the costs vary between $0.02 and $0.06/$m^3$. From the point of view of the thickening aspect of the operation, costs vary between $0.80 and $2.00/t of tailings with an average of $1.38 for the 8 plants. However, this cost relates only to the first step of fine tailings treatment: an additional

expenditure varying between an estimated $0.06 and $0.30/t, depending on choice of subsequent dewatering method(s), would be needed to achieve a technically and environmentally acceptable product for disposal.

Total costs of the solid-liquid separation processes involving removal of water from clean product and water clarification/tailings thickening are conservatively estimated at $20.5 million/y. The greatest single expenditure amounting to $13.5 million/y arises from the drying operation. In all, removal of water from the clean product by centrifugation, filtration and drying requires an average expenditure of over $0.90/t for a total of $17.9 million/y.

This outline has focussed on the solid-solid and solid-liquid separation processes that are used in coal preparation. It is emphasized, however, that technical shortcomings which add to the cost of processing are aggravated by mechanical deficiencies arising from poor design, improper use and/or inappropriate applications. Some of these can be alleviated through adequate maintenance and attention of skilled operators. Coal preparation technology therefore includes various elements of mechanical, materials, and systems engineering in addition to the processing aspect itself.

3. PROBLEMS

Many of the problems associated with coal preparation are shared with other countries. However, there are two unique problems to Canadian coals. Coal friability common in Western Canadian coals and finely dessiminated pyritic sulphur in Eastern Canadian coals.

Coal friability

Western Canadian coals are known for their friability which leads to the run-of-mine coal containing up to 60% smaller than 0.5 mm. Tectonic movements are the major cause of extensive fracturing of coal in the mountain districts. Mining methods and handling and processing contribute to the generation of fines. However, the amount of fines generated during mining, handling and preparation depends significantly on the degree of natural fissuration.

The relative friability of different coals is of great importance in preparation because the greater the proportion of fines the greater the total preparation costs. The preparation cost per ton of feed is really a function

of the number of particles per ton. Thus, preparation costs increase rapidly as the mean particle size becomes smaller. For example, preparation of coal less than 5 mm diameter costs four times more in capital investment and five times more in operating expenses than coarse coal above 0.5 mm. New preparation plants are usually designed at an early stage of the development of a property before the start-up of mining activities. Underestimating the amount of fines leads to overloading of fines cleaning, dewatering, drying and water recovery circuits and causes operating problems and losses of fine coal to the discard pile. A recent performance evaluation study of Canadian washeries showed that yield losses in the fine circuits were approximately 10 times those occuring in the coarse coal circuits.

Sulphur reduction

Increasingly more stringent sulphur emission regulations to alleviate acid rain problems are forcing the coal industry to search for improved means to reduce sulphur content. Maritimes coals are known for their high sulphur content (2-6%). Iron pyrite is responsible for 30-70% of the total sulphur and in most cases is finely dissiminated. Crushing and grinding are required to liberate pyritic sulphur. The consequent decrease in the particle size of the coal produces an exponential increase in preparation cost.

Sulphur reduction in coal can be achieved by partial removal of pyritic sulphur depending on pyrite particle size and distribution. Organic sulphur can only be removed through chemical cleaning which is a "future technology" with much higher cost than the currently mature technologies. Density separators can remove pyrite particles down to 50-70 µm, however at smaller sizes, density separation is inadequate. Two stage froth flotation has been shown to remove pyrite particles finer than 50 µm on a pilot plant scale; the process requires demonstration on an industrial scale.

Successful reduction of sulphur through R&D in the Maritimes coal would increase use of coal locally and increase chances for export, particularly to Europe.

4. CURRENT R&D

CANMET

For about 20 years the coal research Laboratory in Edmonton has been in the forefront of Canadian coal preparation research. R&D efforts are

directed at resolving problems that occur in coarse and fine coal preparation. The program is carried out at four levels: laboratory and bench scale, mini plant, pilot plant and mobile plants. It includes studies of coal degradation, coal structure characterization, water treatment, flotation of partially oxidized coal, dewatering, on-line instrumentation, process control and optimization and modelling.

The Mineral Science Laboratory in Ottawa is involved in sulphur removal from high sulphur coal, chemical comminution, simulated processing of plants and dry separation.

National Research Council of Canada

The NRCC Chemical Engineering Laboratory at Ottawa for over a decade has been developing and improving an oil agglomeration process. Work currently involves recovery and dewatering of fine coal from washeries tailings and is being undertaken using a mobile demonstration plant.

Atlantic Canada Facilities

The Research and Productivity Council of New Brunswick and the Technical University of Nova Scotia are active in investigating coal cleaning options for sulphur reduction including chemical cleaning. Research is restricted to the bench-scale.

Ontario Hydro Research

Research is concentrated on fine coal cleaning for sulphur reduction. A small pilot plant facility is used to conduct R&D.

Coal Mining Research Centre - Alberta

The CMRC programs includes moisture reduction, dry cleaning, washing of low rank coal, waste disposal and utilization. Current research plans are restricted to the dry-cleaning of Alberta sub-bituminous coals.

Coal and Mineral Processing Centre - BC

Laboratory and pilot plant facilities are used for teaching and research. Areas of research include coal handling, oxidized coals, cleaning and dewatering of fines, tailings dewatering and dry separation.

5. GAPS AND CHALLENGES

The outlined summary of the various coal preparation processes and problems points to the fact that a number of areas exist where improvements will result in substantial economic and environmental benefits as manifested in greater production (recovery and grade), less costly and environmentally more acceptable operations.

These improvements can be classified into two main categories: those for processes cleaning coarse sizes and those for cleaning fine coal. The former relates mainly to improvements of the efficiency of separation equipment which could be achieved by operational optimization and/or mechanical optimization. Operational optimization can be obtained as a result of the application or development of better monitors and controls promoted by the "electronic revolution" and mechanical optimization by better measurements and controls of the functions of the equipment itself. Optimization by improved control of the heavy medium bath density has resulted in sharper separations; equipping cyclones with controlled underflow spigots and changing the feed inlet geometry again gave sharper separations; better controls on jig operations resulted in the Batac Jig. A long list of similar examples could be cited; however the underlying fact is that these improvements have mainly been adopted as a result of developments in areas other than coal preparation, and a great deal more needs to be done to bring coal preparation up to the technological forefront reached by many other industries.

Traditional fines treatment in coal preparation plants was to deslime the coarse product on screens and send the fines to disposal areas. Lack of developments in this area was due to the difficulty of characterising the different properties of fine coal and fine reject on which their separation could be based. This is still the case. Additionally, the interactions between the different components and additives to the system as well as their behaviour in the separation equipment are difficult to determine involving, as they do, the chemical and physical nature of the coal as well as the engineering design of the system equipment.

Much of the research in this area up to now has been typified by two categories of approach, highly empirical, i.e. case specific, or purely theoretical dealing with idealized conditions which do not exist in nature. The former has the inherent lack of generalization and extrapolation; each

individual case is dealt with on its own merits and only temporary half-measure solutions can be achieved. The purely theoretical approach lacks realism, and by itself fails to solve industrial problems.

Research in coal fines recovery has been directed to flotation with much less effort devoted to such processes as spherical oil agglomeration, high intensity magnetic separation, selective flocculation, chemical and bacterial leaching and electrostatic separation. Efforts have been equally distributed, in this area, between development of chemical additives (floculants, flotation reagents), process efficiency enhancement (design of circuitry of separation), and minor improvements in equipment. The development of chemical reagents has had very little emphasis and has been mainly carried out at chemical companies and is subject to proprietary restriction on the information developed. Selection of the proper reagents and optimization of their application has been carried out in numerous agencies as well as in preparation plants but mainly on a trial-and-error basis.

In summary, coarse coal preparation is a more established technology, fines preparation stands to improve the most through research. Areas of great potential benefit for the Canadian coal industry are coal characterization in relation to coal preparation and utilization, process control and plant automation, dewatering and water recovery, coal preparation in relation to utilization, and sulphur reduction.

The emphasis in the future will focus on the design of fully automated preparation plants where the modular and distributed control system concept is employed. This requires development and design of adaptive control schemes and development of probabilistic models for all preparation systems. Deep cleaning will be applied to produce high quality products of metallurgical coal for export and low ash and sulphur coal for thermal use to compete on the international market. Deep cleaning will require finer liberation sizes and new techniques for transporting coal slurries to minimize the need for dewatering and drying and to reduce dust problems associated with handling fine coal. This will support coal as an economically justifiable energy source and will also make it easier to handle and use with minimum environmental hazards. Emphasis in future R&D will involve linking coal preparation to new technology development to meet requirements for both combusion and conversion. Reduction of losses in fine

coal can be achieved through closely controlled and optimized operations resulting in high recovery and less waste disposal problems. In general, efficient and improved coal preparation technology will reduce the cost of transporting coal to distant markets, both to Central Canada and overseas.